

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/








FY


C. M. Hawley

ø









THE .

GORGIAS

07

PLATO,

CHIEFLY ACCORDING TO STALLBAUM'S TEXT.

WITH

NOTES,

BY

THEODORE D. WOOLSEY.

PRESIDENT OF YALE COLLEGE.

Athenis diligentius legi Gorgiam: quo in libro in hoc maxime admirabar Platonem, quod mihi in oratoribus irridendis ipse esse orator summus videbatur. Cuc. del Oratore. I. 11.

NEW EDITION, WITH ADDITIONS.

BOSTON AND CAMBRIDGE:

JAMES MUNROE AND COMPANY.

1854.



Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1848, by

JAMES MUNROE AND COMPANY,.

in the Clerk's Office of the District Court of the District of Massachusetts.

CAMBRIDGE:
STERBOTYPED AND PRINTED BY
METCALF AND COMPANY,
PRINTERS TO THE UNIVERSITY.

TO

PROFESSOR FELTON

OF HARVARD UNIVERSITY

THIS EDITION OF GORGIAS

IS INSCRIBED,

AS A MEMORIAL OF LONG FRIENDSHIP,

BY

THE EDITOR.



PREFACE.

1. The text of this edition principally follows Stallbaum's, published at Gotha in 1840. After examining the various readings, as Ast has given them, the editor was led to make about forty changes in the text of Stallbaum's first Gotha edition of 1828. On receiving, not very long since, the same critic's second edition of 1840, mentioned above, the editor was pleased to find a large part of these alterations, and nearly all the more important ones, made by Stallbaum himself. A number of others have since been made, in reliance upon Stallbaum's long study of Plato and ability; and the text now differs from his last revision chiefly in the following places.

450, D, my ed. inserts ή before ἀριθμητική. — 451, A, I have given σὖν for νῦν. — 459, A, τοι νῦν δὴ for τοίνυν δή. — 460, C, Stallb. has no brackets. — ibid. D, omits the words in brackets. — 461, B, vid. not. — 462, E, Stallb. has ἄρ' for δ'. — 465, B, gives ἐσθῆσιν. — 466, A, omits the words in brackets. — 472, A, has ταὐτὰ for ταῦτα. — ibid. B, has Πυθοῦ. Vid. not. — ibid. ἐνθάδε. Vid. not. — 480, D, has τοῦτο for τούτφ. — 481, A, omits αὖ before θανάτου. — 483, E, puts a colon after πλάττοντες. — 491, D, vid. not. — 492, B, omits the words in brackets. — 494, E, omits *το*. —

496, A, reads ἀνθρωπος. — 497, A, gives καὶ . . . νουθετεῖς to Callicles. Vid. not. — 500, B, brackets κατὰ τὸ σῶμα after μαγειρικήν, which I have omitted. — 505, E, joins οὖτως to the next sentence. — 508, B, inserts *ἄθλιοι*. — 514, C, I have bracketed ὑφ'. — 522, C, have given οὖτως. Vid. not. — 525, D, have omitted τοὺς before τούτων. — 527, C, Stallb. gives ὁ σὸς λόγος. Vid. not.

In the text, like other editors of Plato, I have allowed oùroûr, nonne igitur, to be thus accented, and, as I think, for good reasons. In the notes, I have followed what is, I believe, Hermann's practice, in treating a single Greek oxytoned word in an English sentence just as it would be treated in a Greek one. If, for example, are occurs in the middle of a clause, it is not written ar.

2. I have had access to the following editions of Gorgias, which include all the modern ones of much value.

1. Routh's, Oxford, 1784. 2. Findeisen's,—an edition of indifferent judgment. Gotha and Amsterdam, 1796.

3. Heindorf's second, edited by Buttmann, Berlin, 1829,—excellent, especially for the Commentary. 4. Bekker's.

5. Stallbaum's Leipzig ed. These are concerned only with the text. Bekker's has some Scholia. 6. Coray's, Paris, 1825, together with Xenophon's Memorab. 7. Ast's, in his Plato. The bulky Commentary on Gorgias is in Vol. XI., Leipzig, 1832; valuable, but ill-arranged and tedious, and not always judicious. 8. Stallbaum's, in his Gotha ed. of Plato, 1828 and 1840. Both text and interpretation owe much to him, and he has collected most of what is useful in other editions.

From these editions, above all from the last, I have derived great assistance, which is often acknowledged and

often not. In a work like this, it would be plainly impossible to trace everything up to its source, but nothing has been adopted without examination; much, also, is original; but I fear that, if any one should pass the severe judgment upon the edition, that much of what is faulty is original, he would not be very far out of the way.

Besides these editions, I have consulted several of those works relating to Plato, to which an editor of his dialogues would naturally think of turning for aid. Of this description are Ast's Lexicon Platonicum (the first three vols.), which is of no great use after all, Ast's, Socher's, and the first vol. of C. F. Hermann's Introduction to Plato, Schleiermacher's and Cousin's translations, and Dobson's translation of Schleiermacher's Prefaces.

- 3. An introduction is prefixed to the text of the dialogue, with a view to give an explanation and critique of the argument. To this are added, in notes, rather literal translations of a few illustrative passages out of very many from Plato's other writings, most of which I have read with reference to the present edition during its preparation. I had intended to add an index, in which some things omitted in the notes were to find their place, and had made some progress in it, but was tempted by the overpowering tediousness of the task to lay it aside until too late.
- 4. I have said nothing, thus far, as to the propriety of laying the Gorgias before American students of Greek, rather than some other dialogue of the same great author. To persons familiar with the Platonic dialogues, perhaps nothing need be said on this point. Let me say, however, that while many of the dialogues would not have suited my design, on account of their abstruseness or their length, the

Gorgias deserved to be preferred to others equally finished in style, on account of its positive inculcation of truth and its high moral tone. Something better is to be found in it than the miserable doctrine of instruction, and the duty of the politician to obey the popular will.

Yale College, New Haven, July, 1842.

A SECOND edition of the Gorgias being called for, the editor has done what he could, amid the pressing cares of a new office, to render it, by the necessary changes and additions, less imperfect than the former. In doing this, he has received kind aid from several friends, and takes this opportunity of making his acknowledgments to Professor Crosby of Dartmouth College, Mr. Sophocles of Harvard University, Mr. Hadley of Yale College, and C. A. Bristed, Esq., of New York.

Yale College, June 1, 1848.

INTRODUCTION.

THE times in Greece which just preceded the age of Socrates gave birth to a class of men denominated the This title, which before was honorably applied Sophists. to such as excelled in wisdom or ingenuity, was then chiefly confined to those who, with mercenary views, professed a vain and shallow kind of wisdom. Such is in substance Aristotle's definition of a Sophist. In the successive sketches of, Plato's Sophistes, he is hit off as a mercenary hunter after rich young men; a dealer and huckster in intellectual wares, especially of his own production; a logomachist; one who, by his power of taking the opposite on all subjects, gets a reputation for wisdom; one who is employed about that which is false, or merely appearing, instead of the invariable objects of true science, and who conceals his ignorance of true science by artificial and crafty turns of words. This last characteristic is one which gives the relation of the So, hist to philosophy. denied all objective truth, and stood only on the fluctuating, uncertain ground of subjective opinion. Hence, as to truth, he was an unbeliever. He could accommodate himself to one side or its opposite, contending for or against any point, as interest might dictate. Finding nothing in philosophy to employ himself with, he withdrew from the study of it to the arts of practical life, and aimed, by attracting admiration and educating the young, to gain wealth and honor. Gratification or pleasure was the immediate object in his view, and he could have no higher; for to instruct in virtue would imply the existence of unalterable moral differences, which he denied. Or, if he professed to teach virtue and justice, it was only in accommodation to a vague opinion of those who employed him; and it was necessary for him to pervert these notions, in order to make his instructions consistent with the rule of gratification by which he was governed.

It would carry us far beyond our bounds, were we to attempt to exhibit at any length the causes to which this class of men owed their origin and their prominence. These causes lay partly in the unsatisfactory results to which the prevailing systems of Greek philosophy had arrived, and partly in the circumstances of the times. On the one hand, many of the philosophers either wholly denied the existence of truth within the reach of man, or so contracted its dimensions as to make it not worth pursuing. Hence arose scepticism, despair, and the fading away of a serious regard for truth, which were succeeded by frivolity and by the purpose to gain immediate selfish ends through pretensions to superior knowledge. On the other hand, the decay of religious belief which attends upon increasing civilization in heathen countries, and the disregard of political morality so prevalent in Greece, threw uncertainty into the opinions of men upon the most important subjects. the same disease attacked the roots of philosophy, religion, and morals.

In the schools of the philosophers, the art of reasoning and its instrument had received by degrees some attention. Thus logic had been cultivated by the Eleatic sect, but was turned, in the hands of the Sophists, into an art of disputing, applied to puzzle the unpractised and display their own dexterity. The right and elegant use of words was employed by other Sophists to procure for themselves admiration and pupils. Many of this class of men exhibited their knowledge in public by answering any question that might be proposed to them, or gave lectures prepared with great care; while in private they imparted such instructions to young men as would give them polish and ability in civil and political life. All of them took money for their instructions, — a practice not regarded as entirely honorable at that time in Greece.

The sophistical principles of the time were brought into closer connection with public affairs by means of the art of rhetoric, which arose at about the same era. Rhetoric began to be taught as a means of gaining a cause in the courts at Syracuse after the year 466, when a popular government, succeeding to the sway of Hiero's family, greatly multiplied judicial proceedings. An art like this was calculated to be popular in free states, and especially in Athens, where the judicial function of the people was the most important one; where the crowds of ignorant judges were easily deceived by sophistry; and where there was an uncommon fondness for displays of skill in the use of words.

The sophistical tendency, which we have represented as one in its origin, affected all branches of truth and every art which can be referred to scientific principles. In metaphysics it may be exemplified by the tenets of Protagoras, that all knowledge consists in sensation, and that whatever appears true to any man is true to him; and by the doctrine of Gorgias, that there is no truth which men can ascertain or communicate to one another. In morals and politics, it appeared in the opinions, that there is no natural justice, or that justice is the interest of the stronger; and that pleasure is the chief good. In the use of rhetoric, it showed its nature when Protagoras offered to teach how to prevail

by the worse argument (τὸν ἥττω λόγον κρείττω ποιεῦν), and when Tisias and Gorgias said that the probable must be held in higher esteem than the true.

The Sophists could not fail to disgust a man like Socrates, who hated show and pretension, and who had a deep veneration for truth. Hence he was sometimes brought into collision with them, and in a degree his doctrines, as well as those of Plato, were shaped by opposition to theirs. And in accordance with this, Plato, especially in his first works, represents Socrates arguing against some false opinion or other maintained by a person imbued with this spirit.

One of the more prominent Sophists, with whom Socrates was contemporary, was Gorgias, after whom this dialogue is named. Gorgias was a Sicilian Greek of Leontini, a Chalcidian town, which lay some twenty miles to the north of Syracuse, and suffered much from its nearness to that powerful Doric state. The birth of Gorgias is assigned by Foss* to the first year of the 71st Olympiad, or 496 B. C. But there is good reason, I think, for putting it several years later. For the art of rhetoric began to flourish at Syracuse after 466 B. C., and Gorgias learned this art from Tisias, a scholar of Corax, the first preceptor.† And with this it accords, that Gorgias heard Empedocles in philosophy, whose birth even Foss places no earlier than the 71st Olympiad, while the ancients say that he flourished from forty to sixty years afterward.

From this time we know nothing of Gorgias until he was

^{*} De Gorgia Leontino Commentatio. Halle. 1828.

[†] This is asserted by the author of the Prolegomena to the Rhetoric of Hermogenes (in Walz's Collection, Vol. IV. p. 14). The passage is inserted by Spengel into his valuable book entitled Artium Scriptores. Stuttgardt. 1828. Foss denies it without authority, "tum propter alius testimonii inopiam, tum propter Tisiæ ætatem."

sixty years old or upwards. In the interval he may have taught rhetoric in Sicily, for Polus of Agrigentum appears at Athens as his disciple, and he probably stood high in his native state. In the second year of the 88th Olympiad, he came to Athens on the following occasion. "The Leontines (Diodor. 12. 53), who were emigrants from Chalcis, and of the same stock with the Athenians, were invaded by the people of Syracuse. As they were pressed by the war, and in danger of being reduced by the superior might of Syracuse, they sent ambassadors to Athens, begging the people to help them as speedily as possible, and rescue their state from its dangers. The principal ambassador among those who were sent was Gorgias, the orator, a man who excelled all his time in eloquence, and first invented the artifices of rhetoric * (τέχνας ρητορικάς). — On his arrival at Athens he was introduced into the Assembly, and discoursed before the people concernig the alliance. The Athenians, who were fond of displays of genius and skill in the use of words, were struck with wonder by the novelty of his style, by his various antitheses, his clauses of equal length, his words of similar forms and endings, and the like artifices; which then, being new, met with favor, but now seem to be a waste of labor, and are ridiculous if repeated so often as to produce satiety. At last, having persuaded the Athenians to form an alliance with the Leontines, and gained admiration at Athens for his rhetorical art, he returned to his native town." The sensation which his rhetoric produced at Athens is spoken of by others also. The days on which he made his exhibitions were called festivals, and his discourses themselves torches.† "He won great praise," says

^{*} See Spengel, u. s., p. 81.

[†] This is said by the commentator on Hermog. u. s., p. 15, by Olympiodor. apud Routh, p. 562, and other late writers.

Socrates in Plato's Hippias Maj. (282, B), "by his speeches in the Assembly, and by his private displays of his eloquence. By the instructions he imparted to the young (ovνων τοις νέοις) he gained a large amount of money, and carried it with him from Athens." If Plato, who is sometimes careless about precise facts and dates, may here be relied upon, he must have stayed long enough at Athens to act the part of a teacher before he went elsewhere. It is probable that, after discharging his mission, he soon returned to Greece, where the rest of his life seems to have been spent. Thessaly was his principal residence, and that he passed no very long time in Athens may be argued from the fact, that Isocrates, an Athenian, received his instructions in that country. There, also, he taught Meno, and Aristippus, one of the nobles of Larissa, and there, or in Bœotia, Proxenus, the comrade of Xenophon. The wealthy families of Thessaly had that rude taste which would make them fond of the glitter and ostentation of Gorgias, and were able to pay him well. He lived in splendor, affecting in his dress the same show and parade which marked his eloquence. (Ælian, Var. Hist. 12. 32.) Owing to his habits of temperance, he attained to a very great age, to six or eight years over a century, and acted the rhetorician to the last, by saying, according to Ælian (u. s. 2. 35), when invaded by a lethargic sleep, premonitory of his end, - "Sleep is now beginning to lay me in the hands of his brother." His works, in his capacity as a rhetorician, were, -1. One on the art, or on one branch of it, the art of speaking suitably to the occasion. 2. A number of orations, declamatory and laudatory. One of these was delivered at the Olympic festival, in which, like Isocrates afterwards, he tried to unite the Greeks against the Persians. Another was a funeral discourse in honor of Athenians slain in battle, a fragment of which, preserved by a Scholiast on Hermogenes, supplies us with the longest extant specimen of his style. These works exhibited a stately, uncommon, and poetical diction, together with frequent rhetorical figures, which must have been tedious and frigid in the extreme.* Two declamations still extant, bearing his name, are unlike his fragments in style, and ought probably to be regarded as spurious.

Gorgias was, as we have said, at bottom a Sophist,† but he avoided the title, which was not very popular, "and laughed at the Sophists, who professed to know how to make men better, confining himself to instructions concerning the art of speaking." (Plat. Meno, 95, C.) His literary labors in the more appropriate sphere of the Sophist were confined, so far as we know, to a work entitled mepl φύσεως ή τοῦ μή ὄντος, which may have been unknown to Plato, but is analyzed in a little treatise among the works of Aristotle. In this work, with such an ominous title, he attempted to prove, first, that nothing exists, then, if any thing exists, that it cannot be known, and, finally, that if known, it cannot be made known to others. Olympiodorus (in Routh's ed. of Gorgias, p. 567) says, that this work was written in the 84th Olympiad, that is, sixteen years or thereabouts before his embassy to Athens. For the sophis-

^{*} The fragments, which are few, are collected by Foss, but not completely. Thus, Clem. Alex. Strom. 1. 11, § 51, cites some words of Gorgias, apparently from his Olympian oration. The following words are a good sample of the style of Gorgias, and show some just thought. They are cited by Foss from Plutarch. Trey ins the thought of the style of Gorgias, and show some just thought. They are cited by Foss from Plutarch. Trey ins the thought of the style of Gorgias, and show some just thought. They are cited by Foss from Plutarch. Trey instances that the strong of the stron

[†] And so the men of that time regarded those who displayed their talents after the manner of Gorgias. Thucyd. 3. 38, ἀποῆς ἡδονῆ ἡσοώμενοι καὶ σοφιστῶν Οιαταῖς ἐωκότις καθημένοις. For the estimation in which the Sophists were held, comp. Protag. 316, seq.

tries out of which, with the help of the principles of the Eleatic Sect, he built up this triple wall against truth, I must refer to the treatise of Aristotle,* and to Ritter's history of philosophy.

Such was Gorgias. Of Polus, another speaker in the dialogue, little is known. He was a Sicilian of Agrigentum, a scholar of Gorgias in rhetoric, and perhaps of Empedocles in philosophy. He wrote, together with other works, a treatise, probably on rhetoric, to which Plato refers in the Gorgias. As we learn from the Phædrus and other sources, he gave great prominence to the figures of that artificial rhetoric of which his master was so fond; such as equality of periods and correspondence of adjoining words in sound; and taught the mode of using maxims and similes under separate heads, to which he gave pedantic names.

Callicles is an unknown Athenian, not a Sophist, as some have regarded him, but rather a contemner of the Sophists; although he carried out in practical life those principles which they laid down. He is a specimen of a considerable number of Athenians of his time, who, while they courted the people, despised it; who would have grasped at tyrannical power, without scruple as to the means; who looked down upon the pursuits of philosophy, when compared with the honors of political life; who had no faith in the distinction between right and wrong, and held pleasure to be the supreme good.

Besides these and Socrates, Chærephon bears a small part at the opening of the dialogue. He was an early friend and a follower of the philosopher, ardent in whatever he undertook, whose variance with his brother is mentioned in Xen. Memorab. 2. 3, and who obtained a response from

^{*} It is edited by Foss, in his Commentatio before mentioned, and by Mullach (Berlin, 1845).

the Pythia commendatory of Socrates, according to the Apologies of Plato and Xenophon. He went into exile in the time of the thirty tyrants, and died soon afterwards, before his friend's condemnation. He injured his health and complexion through his studies, and received the nicknames of purtepis, purtos mais (Aristoph. Birds, 1564, and Horarum frag.), for never coming abroad during the day, and of πύξινος θάψινος, for his sallow hue (Eupolis Πόλεων frag., Aristoph. Wasps, 1413), as well as other ridicule from the comic poets.* When, according to the Scholiast on Plato's Apology, these reckless jesters proceeded further to call him a sycophant, a parasite, and a thief, we may put by the side of these aspersions the testimony of Xenophon, who classes him among those friends of Socrates who sought his company to improve themselves in household and civil relations, and who, at no time of their lives, either did, or were charged with doing, any wrong.

The persons of this dialogue are represented as having met in the year after the sea-fight at Arginusæ. Gorgias, being then on a visit at Athens, was lodging at the house of Callicles, and there, it is generally supposed, the dialogue was spoken. There is, however, good reason, I think, to accede to the opinion of Schleiermacher, who lays the scene in some public place, like the Lyceum, where the parties in several other dialogues convened.† At the opening of the

^{*} In the procedum to Philostrat. Vitæ Sophist., another Chærephon, as we are there expressly told, is brought into connection with Gorgias. He asked the Sophist a foolish question, Aid of al número od parties question of the state of the s

[†] For some observations on the time and place of the dialogue, see Appendix, No. I.

work, Socrates and his friend find that they have reached the place where Gorgias and others were assembled, too late to witness his exhibitions of rhetorical skill. hope, however, of drawing from him his views concerning his art, they approach and begin the conversation. Gorgias being fatigued, the younger rhetorician, Polus, volunteers to take his place, and answer to the inquiries concerning the nature of rhetoric; but as it is soon apparent that he is unwilling or unable to observe a logical method, Socrates transfers the discourse to Gorgias, from whom he professes to think that more brevity and accuracy of definition may be expected. (447-449.) By a series of questions in his peculiar manner, he gathers from Gorgias that he is a rhetorician, and able to make others such; and that his art is employed about words as instruments of thought. Socrates asks whether the definition is not too general, since several arts called by other names are also employed about words. To this Gorgias replies, that other arts ask principally. "What is to be done?" and use words as a secondary thing; but that rhetoric inquires, "What is to be said?" (-450, B.) Socrates objects that there are other arts, such as those of calculation and astronomy, which mainly depend on words, and demands to what the words relate which rhetoric employs. The most important of human affairs, says Gorgias. (-451, B.) But what are the most important? asks Socrates; for other arts might make a similar claim. The good, replies Gorgias, to which rhetoric opens the way, is freedom for the orator and the power of controlling other men, and this it effects by the power of persuading public By this power it brings every other art under (-453.) Socrates still is not satisfied. although Gorgias has defined rhetoric to be the art of persuasion, he inquires whether other arts, which have to do with words, do not aim at persuasion also. What, then, is

the province and the nature of rhetorical persuasion? Gorgias is brought to admit that its province is to persuade judicial and other popular assemblies concerning things right and wrong; and that it attains its end rather by plausible arguments than by proofs drawn from absolute 'truth. (-455.) But Socrates still finds it hard to comprehend what is the peculiar sphere of rhetoric. In all public deliberations, the artificer, the general, or other person acquainted with the point in question, can give the best counsel. Where, then, is the orator's place? In reply, Gorgias refers to matters of fact. It was the advice of the political leaders which led to the building of the Athenian walls and docks, and not that of the artificers. He himself had often persuaded sick persons to comply with the directions of physicians, who had exhausted their arguments in vain. There was no occasion when an orator was opposed to an artificer, without gaining an easy victory. Such was the power of rhetoric, but, like other arts, it might be abused by those who learned it. Yet that abuse was no good cause of complaint against the teacher. (-457, C.) Socrates, after offering an apology for his seemingly contentious spirit. now forces Gorgias to allow that the orator may be ignorant of the subject-matter of other arts; all his study being concerned with finding the means of appearing to "those who do not know to know more than those who do." This Gorgias regards as an advantage, since it furnishes the orator with power acquired by small pains.* Socrates, without stopping to examine into the extent of this advantage, inquires whether the case is the same with justice and injustice, good and evil, and the like; whether, without know-

^{*} Philebus. 58, A. "I heard Gorgias say, on a variety of occasions, that the art of persuasion far surpassed all the other arts, since it brought all things under its sway, not by force, but by their own will."

ing what these are in themselves, the orator can gain a reputation for such knowledge; and whether, as a master in rhetoric, Gorgias would teach a scholar justice, who should come to him unacquainted with its nature. Gorgias has here to reply, either that there is no need of knowing anything about right and wrong in order to be an orator, and that, too, when he is perpetually employed upon questions involving right and wrong; or that he will teach his students their nature. He chooses the latter alternative, although the previous conversation shows that, to be consistent, he should have taken the former. Socrates now forces him to admit that he who knows justice is just. The rhetorician, then, under his training, must be a just man. But he had before said, that the art might be used for a good or a bad purpose, - to favor justice or injustice. Socrates brings forward this inconsistency as needing explanation, when this, the first part of the dialogue, is broken off by the impatience of Polus. (-461, B.)

There are two remarks which this portion of the discussion seems to demand. The first is, that, while it ends with an argumentum ad hominem, and with fastening upon Gorgias an inconsistency of no importance in itself to philosophical truth, it is not without its use. It shows how little the Sophists had reflected upon the nature of their arts, and how little they cared for truth or justice.* The

^{*} Several passages of Phædrus, a work which treats of the worthlessness of rhetoric when not dependent on philosophy, may be adduced in illustration of what is here said. On 260, A, Phædrus tells Socrates that he hears the remark made, "that one who wishes to be an orator has no need to learn true justice, but only what may seem to be such to the multitude, who will exercise the office of jurymen; nor the truly good or beautiful, but only the seeming; for from these sources, and not from the truth, persuasion is derived." And, again, 272, D: "They say that there is no need of making so much of this, or of taking such a long, round-about

art itself, having in its own nature no reference to truth, but merely to the force of arguments upon the minds of others, and, at the same time, being employed in discussions concerning what is good and just, could in its best estate be as easily used against truth as in its behalf. But as it then was, it was based on sophistical principles and opposed to sound philosophy, its aim being something else than the greatest good. It was an art of acting on the ignorant, and of acting on them by pretending to knowledge. It must use such arguments as were suited to persuade the masses, who are not capable of taking the true philosophical view of things. What arguments could a person ignorant of justice use before an audience also ignorant, when the question related to justice, but such as almost necessarily mislead?

course to reach it. For the orator, who would be well versed in his art, (they maintain,) has no occasion to possess true views in regard to just or true actions, or in regard to men that are such either by nature or education. In fact, they assert that no one in the courts cares for the truth about these things, but only for that which is adapted to persuade. And this is the probable," etc. Plato goes on to illustrate this by an example drawn from Tisias, one of the rhetoricians. If a brave man of weak body should have beaten and stripped of his garment a strong but cowardly man, and the case be brought before a court, neither ought to tell the truth; the coward ought to deny that the weak but courageous man was alone in beating him; while the other should ask, "how he, being so deficient in bodily strength, could have attempted it." He then shows how weak, at its very foundation, that unphilosophical rhetoric is which is built on merely empiric rules; since he who knows what is true must know, also, what is like truth, and he who studies the classes of human minds with philosophy for his guide will know by what arguments each class of minds is most influenced. "But," he adds, very nobly, "the virtuous man will not study this art of rhetoric for the sake of speaking and acting before men, but that he may be able to speak in a manner acceptable to the divinities, and to act so as to please them to the extent of his power."

Plato's opinion of advocates, which was none of the highest, may be found in Book XI. of the Laws, at the end.

But in the second place, if the art taught or presupposed the teaching of justice, its scholars would be a very different sort of persons from what even Gorgias allows that they were. For he who has learned justice is just. This looks to us like sophistry on the part of Socrates himself, as if the knowledge and practice of virtue could not exist apart. But whatever of untruth there is in the proposition, it was not meant for sophistry; it is a part of the system of Socrates and Plato. In the view of Socrates, and in that of Plato at first, all virtue could be resolved into science; all vice into ignorance.* Nor was the reason voluntarily ignorant, but merely deceived by the conceit of knowledge, and false opinion. When this was removed, and knowledge took possession of the mind, there was no cause why he who knew should not act in conformity with his knowledge.

In the second part of the dialogue, Polus takes his master's place. He begins by complaining that Socrates had unfairly involved Gorgias in inconsistency. Gorgias had said that he would teach justice to a scholar ignorant of it, only in accommodation to the prejudices of mankind, who regarded instruction upon that point as of high importance. A discussion now arises, in which Socrates explains in part his views of rhetoric. It is not an art, but a knack, or practical observation of rules aiming to produce pleasure. It belongs to a nature which is adroit, courageous, and skilled in mingling with mankind. It is reducible to flattery; like the arts of cookery, cosmetics, and sophistry, being mere routine or practice, not guided by the laws of absolute truth, and

^{*} Comp. Aristot. Ethic. Endem. 1. 5, is northwas (Sungárns) jur' sivas wásas vàs ágerás, 25°3' äna sumbánsus sidisas ve vin disasseinen nal sivas dinasos. See, also, Xen. Memorab. 3. 9. 5. Plato appears at first to have entertained the same opinion, but afterwards made virtue to consist in this: that the faculties of the soul respectively perform their parts, and are all obedient to the reason.

aiming at gratification. The real arts relating to the soul and body are two, with a twofold division each; of which the one, - the political art, or that which conserves the public good, - when it seeks to secure that good, is called the legislative art, when to restore it, is called justice. To these two arts, two touching the body correspond: gymnastics, aiming to preserve bodily good or health, and medicine, to bring it To these four arts four arts of flattery answer, and, acting adroitly without settled principles, slip into their places. These are sophistry, answering to legislation, rhetoric to justice, cosmetics to gymnastics, and cookery to medicine. Aiming at pleasure, and not at the highest good, these false arts attract and deceive the ignorant and thoughtless. And, being incapable of exact limits from their want of a scientific basis, they run into one another. (-466.) Polus is displeased at this brand of flattery put upon rhetoric, and asks if good orators are so regarded in the states where they live. Socr. "They are not regarded at all." Pol. "How not regarded? Have they not the greatest power in their countries?" To this Socrates replies by admitting that they do what seems good to them, but denies that they do what they wish. In explaining this, he shows that what men wish is not what they do, but that for the sake of which they do it. It is a good in prospect which moves to action. Unless, then, the great power which enables orators to dispose, as Polus says, of the lives and fortunes of others, is a good, it is not what they wish. And if to have great power is a good, orators cannot have it, seeing they use that which they call such as an evil. They may do, then, what seems good to them, without either really having great power, or doing what they wish. (-469.) Polus sneers at these views expressed by Socrates. "Just as though you would not choose the liberty of doing what seemed good to you in the state, and would feel no envy if you saw one killing

whom he liked, or stripping him of his goods, or binding him." Socrates earnestly declares, that he regards such a one, if doing this unjustly, as most wretched, and to do wrong as the greatest of evils. Polus is surprised that he should think so, and affirms, that to be wronged is a greater evil than to wrong; and that the possession of supreme power in the state - which is won by the orator - is to be desired as enabling him to do what he thinks fit, - to wrong, if he pleases, and to keep others from wronging him. on this, Socrates makes his opponent own that uncontrolled power in the state, if it is a good, may also be an evil. cannot, therefore, be a good in itself, and something beyond it must determine when it is good and when the contrary. This criterion is, that it shall be justly or unjustly exercised. (-470, C.) Polus responds in a superior tone to this, as going against the common sense of men, and appeals rhetorically to the prosperity of Archelaus, king of Macedon, which was begun by atrocious crimes. If you wish, says Socrates, to support yourself by examples and by testimony, you will have no want of them. But I shall not consider the matter settled until I force you, with whom I hold the argument, to acknowledge that the unjust man is unhappy, or you compel me to the contrary admission. (-472, D.)

The discussion during the rest of this part of the dialogue embraces two points, arising out of the proposition, that it is better to wrong than to be wronged: — 1. That the unjust man is, in every event, miserable; and, 2. That if he escapes punishment for his crimes, he is more miserable than if he suffers. And hence no man can prefer doing wrong to being wronged, which Polus says is the choice of all men.

1. Polus acknowledges that it is baser (αἶσχιον, more ugly) to wrong, but denies that it is more evil (κάκιον). This leads to an analysis of the ideas of the beautiful and the base (τὸ καλόν, τὸ αἰσχρόν), in which Socrates shows that

a thing is beautiful owing to its utility or pleasure, or both; and base, owing to its evil or pain. But to wrong — which has been owned to be baser than to be wronged — is not more painful; therefore it must be more evil. Neither Polus, then, nor any other man, as desirous of the highest good, can prefer the more evil and base to the less. (-476.)

2. Polus admits, that to be the object of justice (διδόναι δίκην) and to be justly punished for wrong-doing are the same; that a just punisher supposes one who suffers what is just, and that everything just is καλόν as far as it is just. If, then, what is suffered is just, it is καλόν, and if so, either useful or pleasant. But suffering for doing wrong is not pleasant; therefore, it is useful or good. This goodness consists in removing pravity of soul, which, of all kinds of badness, is the greatest. Justice, the medicine of the soul, effects this removal: hence, to escape from punishment is more miserable than to be punished. It is better to go unhealed of a disease, than not cured of this inward severer malady. And not to seek this cure from justice is like fearing the pain necessary for attaining to health. (-480.)

If these things are so, of what use is rhetoric? For if it place a man in a situation where he can wrong more easily than be wronged, it does him so much the more harm; and if he be enabled by it to escape the punishment of his misdeeds, again it does him so much the more harm. If it help him to punish an enemy, it benefits that enemy, — an object at which he is far from aiming. He cannot even defend himself against an enemy without doing the enemy this good.* (-481, C.)

The end reached in this second division of the Gorgias is to set forth in a clear light that a pretended art, like the rhetoric of Gorgias and Polus, which has the gratification

^{*} See Buttmann's view, in the note on 480, E.

of others, as well as one's own, in view, fails, by running against the law of right, to attain to anything good or useful, defeats its own objects, and falls into inconsistency with itself. It will not be doubted by the reader, that the moral tone of this discussion is worthy of the highest praise, and the conclusion most gratifying, considering it is formed by a heathen. But there are several places in the stream of the argument where we may fancy that we see shallows, or touch upon the ground. In the first place, we may question the accuracy of the comparison of the legislative art and justice with the self-styled arts of sophistry and rhetoric. What is the truth of the distinction, and what sphere must we suppose that Plato assigns to rhetoric? In reply, it must be owned, I think, that Plato fluctuates a little,* owing to his analogy between rhetoric and medicine, on the one hand, and between rhetoric and justice, on the other. If we press the resemblance to medicine, rhetoric must be confined to the reparation of injustice, and restoration of the public health. If we follow the parallel with justice, rhetoric must embrace within its limits every case where the question of conformity to the rule of right is involved; the rule of true right being laid down at the outset by the legislative art, and that of seeming right or gratification, in the place of justice, by sophistry. In this part of the dialogue, the narrower notion of rhetoric, determined by comparing it with medicine, seems to prevail in Plato's mind: the art has to do with the courts. And in this Plato was fully justified by the practice of writers on this art, who all, as Aristotle affirms (Rhet. 1. 1. 10), said nothing about the popular assembly, and confined their rules of art to the judicial

^{*} Comp. Aristides (2. 377, Dindorf.), τοτὶ μίν γί, φησι, ταυτόν ἐστιν, τ μαπάριι, ἡήτως καὶ σοφιστής, τοτὶ δ΄ αὖ τὴν σοφιστικὴν κριῖτταν εἶναι τῆς ἡητορικῆς καὶ διαφέριιν. See 517, A, note.

(περὶ τοῦ δικάζεσθαι πάντες πειρῶνται τεχνολογεῖν). But in the latter division of this work, Plato treats the rhetorician and the political man as the same, which accords with the analogy between rhetoric and justice. This is the truer view of the subject, for otherwise the assembly of the people, where there lay open a wide field for false art, must be unoccupied.* We must recollect that Plato himself speaks of the boundaries of rhetoric as uncertain; and, also, that he is not opposing the bare "art of inventing and arranging arguments," but a sophistical art opposed to truth.†

In the second place, we may doubt whether the analysis of the beautiful into the pleasant and the good, that is, the useful, and of the opposite to the beautiful into the painful and the harmful, is correctly made out, and, consequently, whether the principles of the latter part of the discourse be-

^{*} With more justice still, Socrates, in Phsedr. 261, A, regards it "as the art of bending men's minds by words without respect to the occasion, not only in courts and other public assemblies, but also in private meetings; being the same in nature, whether small or great affairs are in question." To which, in reply, Phsedrus says, that the rules of art are used in speaking and writing when suits at law are brought, and in speaking when addresses are made to the Assembly; but that he has not heard of a wider application of rhetorical precepts.

[†] Whately's definition. Aristotle's is, δύναμις στεὶ Ἰκαστον τοῦ Θεωρῖσαι τὰ ἐνδιχόμενον σεθωρίν. It is worthy of remark how Aristotle alludes to this dialogue without naming it. He begins with saying, that rhetoric is ἀντίστερος τῆ διαλικτικῆ. In speaking of its abuse, he says, "It tries to put on the garb (ὑσιδύεναι) of the political art"; and, soon afterwards, ἴστι γὰς μόςιόν τι τῆς διαλικτικῆς. Comp. 464, B, C. — This conduct of rhetoricians is ascribed to ignorance, or vanity, or other human reasons (1. 2. 7); and doubtless he joined with Plato in condemning the sophistical rhetoric of the preceding age. (Comp. 1. 1. 3.) But when he says that the judge himself ought to know whether a thing is just or not, and not learn it from the parties, whose only duty is to discuss the fact, he must be regarded not only as opposing Plato's views (454, B), but as condemning the Athenian and every other system where the judges were ignorant.

tween Socrates and Polus, true as we feel them to be, are not built upon the sand. If I am not deceived, Plato does not here intend to enter into a close dialectical exposition of what is meant by τὸ καλόν, the beautiful. But, still, his definition is exact enough for the argument and the purposes of the dialogue. The attempts of others to analyze this idea prove this. Whether the term beautiful is or is not applied on account of pleasure derived from contemplating the object so called, or on account of the perception of a certain fitness, implying a relation of the parts to the whole, and of the whole to some good or pleasant end; - whatever we may decide to be the primary and essential characteristic of beautiful objects, it is sufficient, I think, for the argument to say, that pleasure or utility, or both, invariably attend on objects so called, and the author was not required to stop and subject this idea to a closer examination. It is also to be remarked, that the good and the useful are treated here as identical.* Upon this point we need only say, that it is assumed that whatever is good tends to promote the - perfection or well-being of the person; in other words, is useful in reaching a good end.

Again, it may be thought that the last argument, concerning the good or utility of punishment, renders it necessary that punishment should reform the offender, whereas Plato. in this work and elsewhere, speaks of incorrigible criminals.

^{*} Comp. Repub. 2. 379, B. "Is not God truly good, and ought he not to be spoken of as such? Certainly. But nothing good is harmful. Is it? I think not. Can what is not harmful, then, do harm? By no means. Does that which does no harm do any evil (**ex***6****\sigma**)? No, no more this than the other. But whatever does no evil can be the cause of no evil. Is it so? Assuredly. But what? is the good useful (**epi-\(\text{lugs*})\)? Yes. Is it, then, the cause of well-being (**luga**\(\text{lugs*})\)? Yes." Etc.

[†] On the design and efficacy of punishments in society, a number of

If to suffer $\delta i \kappa a \iota a = \text{to suffer } \kappa a \lambda \acute{a} = \text{to suffer } \mathring{\omega} \phi \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \iota \mu a \text{ or } \mathring{a} \gamma a \theta \acute{a}$, can the criminal help being profited? and does not

passages from the Laws give us Plato's views. One is found in Book XI. 934, A. "Not suffering for the sake of his crime, --- for what he has done can never be undone, - but in order that for the future he and they who see him suffering justice (δικαιούμενον) may either altogether hate wrong-doing, or, at least, that a considerable part of so great an evil may cease." Another occurs in Book IX. 854, D. "For, perhaps, if he suffer this penalty, he may become better, by being rendered more sober-minded (σωφρονισθείς). For no penalty which is inflicted by law is inflicted for evil (γίγνεται ἐπὶ κακῷ), but has, for the most part, one of two effects: it improves him who is punished, or, at least, checks the growth of his depravity." He then goes on to speak of incurable offenders doing good as examples. On page 862, E, after remarking on the excellence of legal expedients by which transgressors shall be made "to hate wrong, and to love or not hate the nature of right," he continues thus: - "When a lawgiver finds men incurable, he will provide some law and penalty for them, being assured that, for such persons themselves, it is not the better part to live, and that, by withdrawing from life, they will confer a twofold benefit on others: they will serve as an example to others to deter from evil, and will rid the state of bad inhabitants. Thus [that is, on these principles], in the case of such persons must the lawgiver establish death as the penalty for crimes, and in no other way."

In Book V. 728, C, he speaks of becoming like the wicked, and being separated from the good, as the greatest penalties for wrong-doing. "Though this is not penalty $(\delta(nn))$, — since justice and penalty are always beautiful, — but vengeance, which is suffering attendant on iniquity. And as well he who has suffered this vengeance is miserable, as he who avoids suffering it; the one, because there is no cure for him; the other, because he is lost, that many may be saved." In these passages, the end of punishment is to cure and deter, or, at least, such is its result. And with these may be compared such places as Repub. 1. 335, where it is said, that a just man cannot harm even an enemy and a bad man. It is true that the notion of harm is confined there to making such a man worse, but the reasoning appears to include pain if unproductive of good. Cousin, in his Preface to Gorgias, contends very brilliantly, and in some degree justly, that the right to punish in society is derived, not from the good effects of

the argument look like a dead algebraic formula, more than like living moral truth? This difficulty must attend the argument at the first view of it. Nor can it be removed, unless we allow δίκαια and καλὰ to be what they are, not only in themselves, but also in the apprehension of the sufferer, while ἀφελιμα is simply objective. The argument now becomes tenable. Punishment can be a good to none who do not receive it as just; nor can it, in the arrangements of this world, fail of being more or less of a good to him who feelingly owns that it is rightly inflicted. But there may be some who do not own this, and they are the incorrigible.

Polus being reduced to silence, Callicles steps forth as the third champion of the arts of show. He begins with doubting whether Socrates is in earnest in maintaining these principles, which would throw human life and conduct off from their old foundations. To this Socrates answers, that he follows wherever philosophy shows the way, just as implicitly as Callicles obeys the popular will, and that, before he can change his tone, he must hear her strike a different key. In reply, Callicles says that it was not philosophical reasoning, but trick, by which Polus was beaten. He had made the incautious admission, that to do wrong is baser (aloxior) than to be wronged. It is so, indeed, by law, but not by nature. This ambiguity it is between the naturally

punishment upon the criminal or others, but from the duty of punishing, which is based on the instinctive feeling of desert of evil for doing wrong. He adds, "This theory is, without doubt, only indicated in Plato, but it occurs in a number of places, briefly but positively expressed." I could wish that the learned translator had proved this assertion, which his familiar acquaintance with Plato must have rendered easy. Doubtless Plato could not get rid of the conviction written on the heart, that the sinner ought to suffer, or of the tendency to view suffering as a debt owed to justice. But did not Plato try to go further back than this conviction, and search into the reason of the right which it admits?

and the legally beautiful or right which enables crafty reasoners to gain their points. When Polus spoke of that which is legally base, Socrates shuffled the natural into its room. But by nature nothing is baser which is not more harmful. The natural feelings of men, which, when injured, instantly rise against the aggressor, show, that to be wronged is no property of a man. The legal definitions of base and wrong deeds are introduced by the many and the weak, in order to keep the strong in check. But herein law is opposed to nature, which teaches that the better ought to have more * than the worse, and the more powerful

In another fine passage of the same work (IX. 875, B), the necessity of law is partly ascribed to the selfishness of man. Diúriço di, etc. "In the second place, should any one in theory properly comprehend that this is so [that is, that the political art ought to care for the community more than for the individual, and that it is for the interests of both to have the community, rather than the individual, well arranged], and then rule a state with irresponsible and antocratical power, yet can he not adhere to this persuasion,

^{*} Theoretie. Theoretia is substantially selfishness, to which cause in a very striking passage Plato ascribes all the wrong actions of men. Leges, V. 731, D: marrow di mirrow, etc. "The greatest of all evils is inherent in the souls of the mass of men, for which they excuse themselves and seek no deliverance from it. This is what the vulgar saying implies, that every man by nature loves himself, and that it is right so to do. But in truth the cause of all errors in every case is to be ascribed to too great self-love. For the lover becomes blind in regard to the object beloved, so that he judges wrongly of the beautiful, the good, and the just, thinking that he ought to honor what pertains to himself before the truth. The man who will be truly great ought not to love himself or his own things, but what is just and right, whether pertaining to his own actions or another man's. From this fault it comes, that all think their own ignorance wisdom. Whence, though we know next to nothing, we think we know everything; and, being unwilling to intrust to others that which we are not well skilled to do, we are forced to make mistakes in doing it. Therefore ought every man to avoid undue self-love, and to seek out some one better than himself, allowing no shame to prevent his taking such a course."

him may do him extreme harm. In short, there is no end to the absurdities which will follow from the principle, that intelligence should move in an orbit around desire and not around good. (-491, B.) 3. Callicles forsakes this definition, and the better now become the more intelligent and courageous in politics, -- such as are capable of governing.* Socrates here changes his point of attack, and takes a position nearer to the enemy's inner works. For whatever definition Callicles gives, to have more than others (πλεονεκτείν), or self-gratification, is the centre of the system, and must be laid bare in all its deformity. Do you mean, says he, by governing, governing one's self? At this the man of the world sneers, and pronounces a man's well-being to consist in having as large desires as possible, with the courage and intelligence to fulfil them. These qualities the many do not possess, whence, to hide their weakness, they praise self-restraint and blame incontinence. But it was not so from the beginning; the great and mighty of old acted by another rule; they regarded justice and selfrestraint as base and evil, and got all they could from their enemies for themselves and their friends. Good and pleasure are the same. (-492, C.)

Socrates answers this in two ways. He first tries, by selecting some examples of low bodily pleasures, to awaken Callicles to the consciousness of the amazing baseness and evil which may attend a life of enjoyment.† The illustrations which form the long prologue of this part show that a most important topic is begun. (-495, B.) In the second place, he searches for some tests of the nature of pleasure,

^{*} This may be regarded as the notion of the ayassí, the optimates, which was somewhat in vogue at the time, only a little altered.

[†] Comp. Philebus (an important dialogue, in which the nature of the good and the pleasant is closely examined), 46, A, B.

which shall show that it differs from good. To do this, he makes Callicles allow that courage, science, and pleasure are not the same; and that εδ πράττειν and κακῶς πράττειν are opposites, so that one cannot be in both states at once, any more than he can be well and ill at once. The same may be said of weakness and strength, of swiftness and slowness, of good and bad, of happiness and misery. But pleasure and pain can coexist in the same person at the same time: as, for instance, thirst, a pain, exists, until drinking, which is pleasant, slakes it.* Thus pleasure wants an essential characteristic of good, and pain of evil. (-497, E.) Another test follows, grounded on the admission of Callicles. that the manly and intelligent are good. A foolish boy or man may be glad, and so may a wise one.† In war a coward is as glad, if not more so, when the enemy goes away, than the man of courage. Then the coward is as good as the courageous man, or even better, and vet bad by the concession of Callicles. (-499, B.) In other words, if good is relative to desire, as such, then its absolute nature ceases, it is in a perpetual flux, and alters with every change of desire or character.‡

Callicles now admits that some pleasures are evil and some good. He thus overthrows his argument, for if pleas-

^{*} Comp. Cic. de Fin. 2. 3. 9.

[†] Comp. Philebus, 55, B: "And, besides, to be compelled to say that a man who feels grief is bad when he feels it, though he be the best of all men, and that one who is glad, the more he is so, at the time when he is so, is the more virtuous."

[‡] In a fine passage, Leges, II. 663, Plato teaches that the good and bad judge of pleasure subjectively, or relatively to their own character. He then inquires whose judgment is to be received, as accordant with the truth, and answers, that of the good. Neither the lawgiver, then, should ever separate the pleasant from the right, the good, and the beautiful, nor any one else engaged in educating the young.

ure is in itself a good, evil cannot be affirmed of it. Socrates seizes upon the acknowledgment, and carries him back to a point debated in the first part of the dialogue,—that the good being the end of all actions, the pleasant must be sought for its sake, and not vice versâ. (-500, A.) Now to know what pleasures are good and what evil needs an artist,—a man who has studied the invariable absolute principles of true good and right;—whilst the knowledge how to gratify, acquired by observation, and resting on no unchanging truth, has nothing of the nature of true art, and to apply that knowledge calls simply for an exercise of memory.

If this be so, we have a standard by which we may try the pursuits of men; and, according to this standard, must condemn the music which is in vogue at the public contests, dithyrambic poetry, and even tragedy itself, with all its lofty pretensions. But how is it with rhetoric and the orators or statesmen? Do they neglect their own or the public interests? Do they seek to benefit or gratify the community? To this Callicles responds, that the question admits of a double answer; the orators of the present day seek to please the people, and follow their will, but he thinks that Miltiades, Themistocles, Cimon, and Pericles were good men, and aimed at the highest public good. (-503, D.) This Socrates denies, and, to make the matter clearer, goes at some length into the motives which should influence the good orator, - the true political artist. As the physician has health in view, so has he the health of the community, which consists in justice and temperance * (σωφροσύνη, self-

^{*} For supposion, comp. Repub. 4. 430, D. It is there compared to a concord and harmony, and called rispus visual horses visual harmony and called rispus visual horses visual harmony. This rispus consists in the subjugation of the worse part of the soul to the better. Ritter observes (2. 474) that the expression supposions

restraint, soundness of mind in regard to all pleasures and excess). Instead of flattering them and following popular desires, such a politician will restrain and chastise the people. (-505, C.) This is further enforced in a most important and admirable passage, by pointing out that the ideas of true good, of virtue, of order, of self-restraint or orderliness, of justice and piety (or the observance of the laws of moral order), of courage, of the well-being of the individual and his happiness, are closely and necessarily connected; while the opposites of these are linked together with the pursuit of pleasure. Neither a man, then, nor a state, which would be happy, can have any end in view inconsistent with justice and temperance. If this be admitted, everything will follow which Socrates had maintained, and his three adversaries had controverted. The orator ought to be just, and to know justice; otherwise he cannot practise or promote it. To be wronged is better than to wrong, for it involves no violation of justice; and to be punished for wrong-doing, than to go unpunished, for it reconciles the soul to justice. The wonder of Callicles, when Socrates defended these latter points, was misplaced. Furthermore, by acting on such principles, he should help himself and his friends, to do which Callicles pronounced him unable. For by living according to these principles, he would avoid the basest and the greatest evil. (-509, C.) But cannot a man, it may be asked, gain the advantage arising from not being wronged, as well as that arising from not wronging others? For the latter, an art is needed. As no one does wrong because he prefers it, but because he thinks to gain some good by it, that art must inculcate

is vaguely used by Plato, especially in his early dialogues; and that the definition in the passages of the Repub. which he cites (4. 430, u. s., and 3. 389, D) almost reduces its notion to that of dimensions.

the nature of true good; for example, must distinguish it from self-gratification. Is there, also, an art to avoid being The only way of preventing injuries in all cases is, to have supreme power yourself, or to be a friend of the supreme power; - for instance, if the people is that power, to gain its friendship by catering for its desires and following all its whims. But if this is done, the other and greater good must slip out of your hands. Nor is it of any use to say, that, if you do not thus conciliate the sovereign power in the state, you may lose your life. For it is not a man's business in this world to seek to save his life, nor ought the art of rhetoric to make higher pretensions because it saves life than the art of the pilot or the engineer, by each of which the life of those intrusted to its care is preserved, no matter whether it be better for such persons to live or to die. A man's part is to leave it to God how long he shall live, and to find out on what principles he shall pass the term of life given to him; whether on those of the flattering politician, which will involve the loss of the greatest good, or on those of the man who sacrifices pleasure to the really good and useful. (-514, A.) And the same will apply to the management of a state. Hence the apprenticeship of political life must be in philosophy, which reveals the good and just to those who search for them; and the true politician, contrary to what Callicles had maintained, must be a philosopher. A man who seeks to play the important part of a leader in the state must first ask himself whether, in his private capacity, he has cultivated justice and temperance, and made men better; for the aim in the public and in the private sphere is one and the same. With this in mind, we may return to the four great Athenians, and bring their characters to the touchstone. Did Pericles make the Athenians better? or did they not rather become idle, cowardly, talkative, and covetous through the measures of his administration? And did not those very Athenians, near the close of his long political life, show their degeneracy, by ungratefully bringing against him a charge of peculation?* The other three met with even worse treatment at the hands of those whom, if skilful politicians, they must have wished and known how to make better. Their ill-success is a proof that they understood not the true art of rhetoric, — that of persuading men to aim at the good, rather than the pleasant; and, indeed, that they were ignorant of the false art, also, if that enables its possessors, as is alleged, to sail in safety amid the storms of politics. (-517, A.)

In this remarkable attack on four of the greatest men of Athens, - men certainly not inferior, in any point, to hundreds of public characters who have been extolled by Christian historians, - we discover an exasperation of feeling in Plato, produced by the unjust fate of his master, and by the wide difference between his own political views and those of his native land. Nor can his character in general be freed from the charge of fault-finding. To this he was led by that uncommonly high standard which the nature of his mind compelled him to form; and, dwelling aloof, as he did, from the turmoil of politics, in contemplative retirement, he could not estimate all the practical difficulties which even a perfect statesman must encounter from a spoiled and self-willed people. But if we strike out what is due to these prejudices, we must find something of justice left in his blame of "the four." The very fact that he draws a broad line between them and Aristides (526, B) is presumptive proof of a real difference. They were highminded leaders of parties, who humored the people in many of its wishes for the sake of keeping power, and sought the

^{*} See Appendix, No. II.

greatness, but not the real well-being, of their country. Had they

"to divinity aspired,
Not on the breath of popular applause,
But through dependence on the sacred laws,
Framed in the schools where wisdom dwelt retired,
Intent to trace the ideal path of right,"

had they been philosophical statesmen, aiming at justice and the highest good, the allies of Athens would have been less oppressed; the people would not have grown worse so fast; they themselves would have impressed something of their own virtue upon the state, and been less likely to suffer unjustly from those to whom they had done good.

But is it not strange that Plato should reproach these politicians with their ill-success, as a proof of their want of virtuous statesmanship? This is the point which Aristides. the Sophist, presses most effectively in his long and wearisome defence of "the four." If ill-success is to be the test, what is to be argued from the two reprobate companions of Socrates, Critias and Alcibiades (Aristid. de Quatuorviris, 2. 322, Dindorf., de Rhet. 2. 111)? What from Plato's abortive attempts to make a man out of Dionysius of Syracuse (302-304, 324)? Nor is Plato, the Sophist goes on to allege, consistent with himself, since he praises Pericles, in the Phædrus, for his rhetorical skill.* In the Apology (31, E), he makes Socrates affirm that no one can be safe who opposes any populace, or strives to prevent injustice in a state, unless he avoid public life and live as a pri-(343, 353, 366.) And, in our dialogue itvate citizen. self, he pronounces safety to arise from being like the sovereign power, or from having supreme power in one's own hands. Again, he says: "I should be a fool not to know

^{*} Comp. Appendix, No. II.

that at Athens any man may suffer anything." And yet the fact, that these statesmen were not safe from the effects of popular ingratitude, is his proof that they did not oppose the people, or strive to prevent injustice.

How the reasonableness or consistency of Plato, as to this point, can be defended, I do not see. Ill-success could have the force which he gives it only in case the influence of the four men outweighed the opposing influences of all other causes acting at their time upon the opposite side. In general, however, it may be said that politicians who complain of ingratitude are only reaping what they have sown. They have tickled the nice palate of the people until their cookery pleases no longer; and now, by a rotation in office, which was right in their eyes until they came into power, and wrong afterwards, they are driven from the kitchen by new hands, who pay a price for the situation, and have learned some newer arts of falsehood. It was, perhaps, the sight of such flatterers of the Athenian demus fallen into disgrace, that led Plato beyond the bounds of truth, in imputing all the ill-success of politicians to their want of correct principles, - to their aiming at pleasure rather than good. Being preoccupied by this partial view, and having also in his mind the pretensions of the Sophists, - the teachers of such politicians, -that they could make men good, he loses sight of the great truth, that, in a corrupt · age, a good man must suffer ill from those whom he tries to benefit.

But to return to the dialogue: — When Socrates had expressed such an opinion of these four great statesmen, Callicles replied, that no one of his own contemporaries could compare with them in the works they had done for the state. In answer, Socrates admits that they had shown uncommon ability in gratifying the desires of the people. They had created the ships, walls, and docks of Athens, but they dif-

fered in no respect from the politicians of the day in the motives of their administration. If the highest good of the state should be the statesman's aim, they could no more claim this title, than a cook or a writer on cookery could claim to rank with the master of gymnastics in preserving the bodily health. And as such persons, if intrusted with the care of the body, would be blamed when the maladies arising from luxury should come on, so will the politician, who seeks to please rather than to profit, be punished for political evils which he or his predecessors have occasioned. The head of a state may not complain of ingratitude; for it is by his management that the character of those who compose it has grown worse. And the same holds good of the Sophists. They pretend that they can make their disciples better; and yet find fault with these very scholars for keeping back the price of tuition from them, and for other acts of unthankfulness. But what good, demands Callicles, can you say of such worthless men as the Sophists? They are the same with rhetoricians, answers Socrates, or nearly so; only somewhat above them, because they deal with principles which rhetoricians merely apply. If, now, their pretensions are well founded, they, as well as politicians, ought to fear no ingratitude; they should stipulate for no fees of instruction beforehand, trusting to the generosity of those pupils whom they had purged from vice.* (-521, A.)

Having thus compared the arts which aim at pleasure with those which aim at good, Socrates makes a personal

^{*} Schleiermacher thinks that this is aimed at Aristippus, who, by taking pay for his instructions, brought reproach upon the Socratic philosophers. The best account of the remunerations given to the Sophists which I have met with is contained in Welcker's Essay on Prodicus, his Life and Writings, in Welcker and Näke's Rheinisches Museum, 1. 22, seq., and since reprinted in his "Kleine Schriften," Part 2, p. 393, seq.

appeal to Callicles. "Which mode of serving the state do you now exhort me to follow; that of seeking its best interests, or that of catering for its desires?" Callicles persists in telling over the same story, that fidelity in doing good will make him suffer the loss of all things. Socrates acknowledges, with evident allusion to his trial and condemnation, that he is exposed to such dangers from bad men, and that he could say nothing in the court which would win him the favor of his judges. If he should urge, on his defence, that he had opposed the public will when it was basely clamorous for present gratification, that he had striven to make men good, and had their well-being in view, he would fare as a physician might fare before a jury of children with a cook for his accuser. What he called good, they called evil; what he called hurtful, they called pleasant. It was painful to be perplexed; and yet he must lead them through perplexity to truth. Would he not, then, before such judges, be himself perplexed, and at a loss for a defence? "And do you think, then," says Callicles again, "that it is well with a man who cannot help himself?" * Assuredly," replies Socrates, "it would be very ill with me, if I could not give myself that help which has been shown to be truly such, - if I could not observe the rule of right in all my relations. Let a man show me that I am not seeking to help myself thus, and I shall be ashamed of my character, and be grieved if I need punishment. But if I die for want of the flattering art of rhetoric, I shall not be sorry. For no one who is a man, and has reason, fears death in itself, but rather to do wrong. For to go into the other world with a soul full of all manner of iniquity is the worst of evils." (-523, A.)

Having thus brought the two principles of action to the confines of the two states of being, he closes very nobly, by presenting them in contrast beyond the grave. His views

are introduced as an explanation of the common mythus concerning a judgment after death; which, however, Plato scruples not to alter for his own purposes. The views are these: - The character formed by disregarding the good and right, and pursuing pleasure, must be lasting, while all the advantages it offers are temporary, being connected with the world and the wrong judgments of men. But, at death, men will come before a judge who will look at the bare soul, and give sentence according to its qualities. Among transgressors, there are some who are not gone too far in evil to be curable. For these, punishment, bitter as it must be, will prove a good. Others, having passed beyond the reach of a cure, will suffer eternally, as an example to all who shall behold them. Of this description, a large part will be tyrants and other men in power, who had on earth the greatest license to do evil. But if one of these, like Aristides, rises above the temptations of his station on earth, his reward will be so much the greater. The larger number, however, of those who receive rewards will be private persons; and, more especially, philosophers who had not mingled in public affairs. With this trial in view, Socrates asserts that he strives to bring his soul, in its most healthy condition, before the judge, and that he seeks to lead his fellow-men to do the same. And to this he now urges Callicles, warning him that the trial then will be more serious than all earthly ones, and that, without such a preparation, he will be unable to help himself, but, speechless and perplexed, must submit to worse than earthly indignities. Callicles, he continues, might despise such fables, and the truth they contain, if he could show anything better. But this, neither he, Polus, nor Gorgias had been able to do. They should, therefore, agree with Socrates, that to be, and not to seem to be, a good man is a good thing; that the next best thing is to become good by submitting to punishment; that all flattery of one's self or others, of a few or a multitude, must be shunned; and that rhetoric, like everything else, must be used to promote the right, and that only. "Let us, then," he says in closing, "join together to live virtuously on such principles; then can we best apply ourselves to politics, when we are no longer afloat on the most momentous subjects. This is the only way to live well and to die well."

With respect to the close of the Gorgias, which must be counted among the finest passages in Greek, it may be observed that Plato shows elsewhere the same inclination to clothe in a mythical dress those truths which lie beyond the bounds of reason. It seems as if he felt the need of the venerable authority and positive assurances of a revelation upon such points, and resorted to the old traditions of his country as the best substitute which he could find, as having for their foundation real, though distorted, truth.* The mythus is something more than mere drapery, something more than a poetical fiction, which, with a beautifully plastic hand, he shapes into a form and meaning corresponding to his awakened moral sense. And yet the freedom with which he treats the mythus, and the readiness with which he alters its form on several occasions, prove that he accepted it only in its leading outlines as true; it was to him a μῦθος, and yet a μάλα καλὸς λόγος. It is found, also, at the close of the Phædo and of the Republic. He who compares the three passages will perceive that they agree in affirming the rewards and punishments of another life, which are used, with all seriousness, as motives for living

^{*} This remark does not include those mythi which are of Plato's own invention. He was led to use them by his poetical temperament, and felt, perhaps, that the view suggested by a beautiful fable was as true as any which could be given; more true, because more beautiful, than if put into abstract propositions.

well here below; and also in teaching that some criminals are healed by punishment, while others must suffer for ever without hope of a cure.* This Platonic view of the reme-

^{*} Phedo, 113, E. "Those who are judged to be incurable on account of the enormity of their crimes, who have committed many and heinous sacrileges, or numerous unjust and lawless murders, or the like, these their appropriate destiny hurls into Tartarus, whence they never come forth." He then speaks of the punishment of those who have committed curable sins, in a passage too long to be inserted here, and then adds: "With respect to the mythus, to affirm positively that these things are as I have narrated them, is not the part of a reasonable man"; and then uses the truth taught in the mythus as a motive for virtuous action. In the Republic, the narrator of the mythus is represented as near a chasm (see Gorg. 526, B, note) in the ground, by the side of a person who asked another where Ardiscus was. "Now this Ardiscus was a tyrant in a Pamphylian city a thousand years before, and had slain his aged father and his elder brother, besides doing, as was said, many other unhallowed deeds. The person so asked replied, said the informant, 'He is not come, nor can he hereafter come hither.' Among the frightful spectacles that we saw, this was one, continued he: -- When we were near the mouth of the chasm, and were expecting to go upwards, after enduring all the other things appointed, on a sudden we saw this Ardiæus and others, nearly all of them tyrants; although some enormous transgressors among private persons were there When they thought that they should now go upwards (out of the chasm), the mouth would not let them pass, but uttered a bellowing sound whenever any such incurably wicked person, or any one who had not paid a sufficient penalty, endeavored to ascend. Thereupon, wild men, said he, all fiery to look upon, who stood by, when they heard the bellow, picked out and carried off a part of the transgressors; but Ardiæus and others they bound hand and foot, and threw them down, and beat them hard, and dragged them along on one side of the road, scraping them upon thorns, while to the by-standers they told the reasons why these sinners suffered these things, and that they were drawn along to be plunged into Tartarus. There, said he, of all the fears many and various which fell upon us, this was the greatest, - lest, when each one was going upwards, the mouth should utter that bellow, and most gladly did each one hear no sound as he ascended." conception worthy of the highest flights of Dante!

dial nature of punishment, in certain cases, even in another life, seems to have recommended the doctrine of purgatory to some of the Christian fathers.*

Having thus exhibited the argument of the Gorgias at some length, it will be in order for us to ask, What is the main subject of the dialogue? A question which it is almost as difficult to answer, in regard to some of the Platonic dialogues, as it would be to express the sum total of an evening's conversation among refined and intelligent persons: the delight you have felt, the instruction you have received, you are conscious of, and you carry away much in your memory; but it is hard to say what was the leading topic of the discourse, or whether there was any. The subject of the Gorgias is more easy to be perceived, and yet all have not been agreed concerning it. Olympiodorus (apud Routh) mentions three opinions besides his own: - Some say that it treats of rhetoric; others, of justice and injustice, considered especially in their relations to happiness; others still, absurdly enough, make it relate to the demiurgus or divine builder of the world, on account of the mythus at the close. "But we say," continues the Platonic commentator, "that the scope is, to treat of the principles which lead men to political happiness." With the first of these opinions, Cousin and Stallbaum agree. The latter says, however, that "though the principal point of the discussion is to blame the civil and rhetorical art at that day in vogue, and to show that it could not attain to excellence without the knowledge and practice of philosophy," yet several other topics are closely united with this, as the difference of the just and the pleasant, the end of human actions, and the constancy of a good man in bearing evils and injuries. Nearly the same is the view taken by Ast. The Gorgias,

^{*} Comp. Muenscher, Dogmengeschichte, Vol. II. § 298.

says he, "has a decidedly political tendency; and all the philosophical inquiries which it contains are intended to show, not only the unmeaning nature, but also the perniciousness, and, in fact, the profligacy, of the political art, when built on sophistry, that is, of the rhetoric there opposed." Another writer on the higher criticism of Plato, Socher, gives this dialogue a wider range. "The whole presents an arena, rising in three gradations. The prize of the contest is the better, happier mode of life; the parties are philosophy and rhetoric; that contends for righteousness and virtue; this for the possession of political power; the champion of the former is Socrates; on behalf of the latter, three step forward, Gorgias, a rhetorician, Polus, a Sophist, and Callicles, an Athenian politician." Finally, Schleiermacher and C. F. Hermann, in the words of the latter, regard "the kernel of the dialogue to be, that the good alone is truly useful, and that men harm themselves by wrong-doing; that, accordingly, the rule of pleasure must give way to the higher rule of the good." *

^{*} All these opinions may be found in the works of their respective advocates, viz. Cousin's in his transl. 3. 130, seq., Stallbaum's in Vol. 2, sec. 1, p. 38, of his second ed., Ast's in his work on Plato's life and writings, p. 133, Socher's in his similar work (Munich, 1820), p. 237, in which he is polemical towards Ast. C. F. Hermann's is contained in his Introd. to Plato (Heidelberg, 1838 – 39, the second vol. has not appeared), p. 476, and Schleiermacher's — the critic to whom the thorough understanding of Plato owes most — in Dobson's translation of his prefaces. While I am upon this subject, let me say, that Schleiermacher hardly receives justice from the hands of this translator. His style, indeed, offers serious difficulties, for his mind was not, "though deep, yet clear." It may be forgiven therefore, to a translator, that sometimes he constructs, out of Schleiermacher's formidable German sentences, something not exactly English, as though he were only half conscious of the meaning. But when such things as the turning of André Dacier, the French translator of parts of

Most of these opinions have something of justice in them, but, with the exception of the last, appear to me to look at a part of the dialogue, rather than at the whole. If rhetoric is the subject, why have the researches into the nature of pleasure, the comparison between suffering injustice and committing it, and the discussion of the efficacy of punishment, so great prominence? Much is said about rhetoric, but it is the vehicle for conveying thought rather than the subject, the starting-point of the dialogue rather than its goal. I should entertain just the opposite opinion to that of Ast above quoted: that the scientific part of the dialogue is the main thing, and rhetoric only an example. Schleiermacher's view, so far as I understand it, or one very similar to it, appears to me to deserve the preference. The main subject is the worthless nature of pleasure, and of the pursuits founded on pleasure, as opposed to the right and the good. Rhetoric, including politics in its corrupt condition at that time, is selected as the most prominent and most esteemed of the arts which minister to pleasure. This exhibition of the subject being admitted. we see a propriety in the introduction of every topic. The right and the good are inseparably linked to each other, and, in fact, united with all that pertains to man's excellence and well-being. But to these, pleasure, pursued for its own sake, is opposed. It is opposed to true good, for it blindly seeks present gratification, however pernicious or debasing. It is opposed to right or justice, for it tries to avoid suffering wrong by inflicting wrong on others. It is opposed to both, because its impulse is to escape from de-

Plato, into Madame Dacier occur, notwithstanding Schleiermacher's "dem Dacier," and "Seiner einleitung," it may be suspected that the translation was made before sufficient knowledge of German was acquired, — a suspicion from which only the plea of gross carelessness can free the translator.

served punishment, without regard to the good effects of punishment on virtue and happiness. The arts which minister to pleasure - one of which, and the chief in its pretensions, is false rhetoric - fall under the same condemnation. As truth is on the side of good, they have no communion with truth; they are arts of imposition or flattery, calculated to persuade the ignorant, and reared upon no basis of unchanging truth or scientific principles. In the end, as they sacrifice the highest good of those whom they please to their present gratification, they involve in ruin him/ who practises them, and those who are practised upon. Politics, especially, which, when genuine, aims at the public good, is, when based on these principles, a mischievous trade. And this supreme pursuit of pleasure affects the condition of man, not only in this life, but in the life to come.

Such appears to me to be the subject of the Gorgias. But it should be added, that Plato has so handled the subject that the dialogue answers also for a defence of himself and his master. The allusions to the trial of Socrates have been noticed already. He stands before us as the philosopher who suffers reproach and death for seeking men's good rather than their pleasure. But Plato defends himself, also, apparently against friends, who blamed him for not publicly serving the state, as well as against public men, who thought philosophy a useless pursuit. Politics, he asserts, must be founded on the theory and practice of philosophy. No man is a true politician until he becomes τεχνικός, — an artist, a philosopher able to distinguish useful from harmful pleasures, - and reduces his science to practice by making men better. That this is a fair defence I do not assert; for, with true good in view at the outset, the practice perfects a man in the science. He might have said, what he must have felt, that the corruption of the

times, the necessity of using flattering arts, to which he could not resort, would have altogether prevented his success. In such an age, — and such an age is a very long one, — the philosophical statesman, — let me add, the truly enlightened Christian statesman, — the sound pilot of the state, is thrust from the helm, and ignorant apprentices usurp his place. Plato's part, therefore, was to act on a few, and on future times.


I would gladly now draw the attention of my younger readers to the Gorgias, as a display of the mind and style of Plato; but the limits of an Introduction forbid me to enlarge upon this topic. I must, therefore, omit to speak of the exquisite style of Plato, and the dramatic form in which he clothes his works. I must only glance at the Platonic Socrates, the centre of Plato's writings; at his admirable irony, now playful and now severe, his unruffled goodnature, his hatred of show and pretence, his attitude as an inquirer rather than as already wise, his unaffected modesty, his propensity to illustrate the great by the small, philosophical truth by common life, his high estimate of moral truth above physical. There are, however, one or two points to be noticed, in which Gorgias differs from many of Plato's works. One is, the earnestness, almost amounting to bitterness, with which the argument is carried on. There is less here of playful irony than elsewhere. Another is, that Socrates expresses his opinion, and lays down his principles, more than in most of the dialogues of an earlier date. Another still is, that, compared with the Platonic works of the first period, it has less of the dramatic about it; we have no embellished preface, like that of Protagoras, but at the beginning, Socrates, without any delay on the part of the writer, enters into the discussion. All these characteristics may be explained by a predominance of moral feeling, which rises even into indignation, and deprives Plato of part

of his usual tranquillity. With all this, the form is admirable.* The argument grows in interest and importance, until it ends in a strain of highest mood. To mention but a single characteristic, nothing can be finer than the way in which Socrates deals with Callicles in the third part, where he retorts his language upon him, and shows that the indignities which he had described as the rewards of the just man on earth will be heaped upon the unjust in a higher degree hereafter.

The opinion of the greater number of critics assigns the composition of the Gorgias to one of the years not long after the death of Socrates, while that event was still fresh in Plato's mind. Atheneus has a story (11. 505, D), that Gorgias read the work, and said, "How well Plato knows how to lampoon!" and another, that Gorgias declared that "he never heard or said such things as Plato reported." If Gorgias died soon after Socrates, as Foss maintains, these anecdotes, which may not be wholly unworthy of credence, would supply us with the latter term for the composition of the dialogue. But there is no certainty in regard to the dates of the birth of Gorgias, and of his age when he came to Athens as ambassador, on which the date of his death depends. A comparison of this dialogue with Plato's other works enables us to come somewhere near the time of its composition in another manner. It occupies a

^{*} Stallbaum, in his Introd. to the Repub., says: "Hoe monere juvat disserendi quoque elegantiam in Politia tantam regnare ut paucissimi sermones præter Gorgiam, Protagoram, Phædonem, Phædrum, et Symposium, hoc in genere ad eam comparandi sint." Ritter, 2. 192. "In regard to Plato's imitative art in dialogue (dialogisch-mimische kunst), we consider as his most finished works Protagoras, Gorgias, and Symposium, next to which, though at some distance, come Phædrus and Phædo." Here, however, style, rather than form, is spoken of. As a work of art, I should place Phædrus first among all the Platonic dialogues.

middle place, as Schleiermacher teaches us, between the elementary writings of Plato's first years, and the constructive ones of his maturer life. This critic conceives that it was written after Plato's first visit to Sicily, in 389, B. C., when he was forty years of age. Stallbaum brings it much nearer to the death of Socrates, in 399. To this conclusion he is led by a strong resemblance he discovers between the Gorgias and Meno, which latter dialogue he carries back even to a time before the death of Socrates, on account of Plato's mildness there in attacking Anytus, the accuser of his master. But neither of these considerations has much weight: Plato might and does resemble himself in works written at considerable intervals from one another: and the more gentle tone of Meno may be owing to a frame of its author's mind which is not to be accounted for. It is safer to say, that the date cannot be ascertained precisely.



ΤΑ ΤΟΥ ΔΙΑΛΟΓΟΥ ΠΡΟΣΩΠΑ

ΚΑΛΛΙΚΛΉΣ, ΣΩΚΡΑΤΉΣ, ΧΑΙΡΕΦΩΝ, ΓΟΡΓΙΑΣ, ΠΩΛΟΣ.

447 CAP. Ι. ΚΑΛ. Πολέμου καὶ μάχης φασὶ χοήναι, δ Σώχρατες, ούτω μεταλαγχάνειν. ΣΩ. 'Αλλ' ή, το λεγόμενον, κατόπιν έορτης ήκομεν καὶ ύστερουμεν; ΚΑΛ. Καὶ μάλα γε άστείας έορτης πολλά γάρ και καλά Γοργίας ημιν όλίγον πρότερον έπεδείξατο. ΣΩ. Τούτων μέντοι, Β ὧ Καλλίκλεις, αἴτιος Χαιρεφών όδε, ἐν ἀγορῷ άναγκάσας ἡμας διατρίψαι. ΧΑΙ. Οὐδεν πραγμα, δ Σώχρατες έγω γαρ και ιάσομαι. φίλος γάρ μοι Γοργίας, ώστ' ἐπιδείξεται ἡμῖν, εὶ μὲν δοκεί, νῦν, ἐὰν δὲ βούλη, ἐσαῦθις. ΚΑΛ. Τι δαί, ὁ Χαιρεφων; ἐπιθυμεῖ Σωκράτης ἀκοῦσαι Γοργίου; ΧΑΙ. Έπ' αὐτό γέ τοι τοῦτο πάρεσμεν. ΚΑΔ. Οὐκοῦν ὅταν βούλησθε παρ' έμε ηκειν οἴκαδε, πας' έμοὶ γὰς Γοργίας καταλύει και έπιδείξεται ύμιν. ΣΩ. Εὖ λέγεις, C & Καλλίκλεις. άλλ' ἄρα έθελήσειεν αν ήμιν διαλεχθηναι; βούλομαι γάς πυθέσθαι πας' αὐτοῦ, τίς ή δύναμις της τέχνης του ανδοός, καὶ τί έστιν δ έπαγγέλλεταί τε καὶ διδάσκει. τὴν δὲ ἄλλην ἐπίδειξιν ἐσαῦθις, ὅσπες σὺ λέγεις, ποιησάσθω. ΚΑΛ. Οὐδὲν οἶον τὸ αὐτὸν ἐρωτᾶν, ὅ Σώκρατες. καὶ γὰς αὐτῷ εν τοῦτ' ἤν τῆς ἐπιδείξεως ἐκέλευε γοῦν νῦν δὴ ἐρωτᾶν ὅ τι τις βούλοιτο τῶν ἔνδον ὄντων, καὶ πρὸς ἄπαντα ἔφη ἀποκρινεῖσθαι. ΣΩ. Ἦ καλῶς λέγεις. ¾ Χαιρεφῶν, ἐροῦ αὐτόν. ΧΑΙ. Τί ἔρωμαι; ΣΩ. Ὁ Θστις ἐστί. ΧΑΙ. Πῶς λέγεις; ΣΩ. Ποπες ἂν εἰ ἐτύγχανεν ὢν ὑποδημάτων δημιουργός, ἀπεκρίνατο ἂν δήπου σοι, ὅτι σκυτοτόμος. ἢ οὐ μανθάνεις ὡς λέγω;

CAP. II. ΧΑΙ. Μανθάνω καὶ ἐρήσομαι. — Εἰπέ μοι, ὅ Γοργία, ἀληθῆ λέγει Καλλικλῆς δδε, δτι ἐπαγγέλλει ἀποκρίνεσθαι ὅ τι ἄν τίς σε έρωτα; ΓΟΡ. 'Αληθη, δ Χαιρεφών και γαρ 448 νῦν δη αὐτα ταῦτα ἐπηγγελλόμην, καὶ λέγω, ὅτι οὐδείς μέ πω ήρώτηχε χαινόν οὐδεν πολλών ετών. ΧΑΙ. ΤΗ που άρα δαδίως αποχρίνει, & Γοργία. ΓΟΡ. Πάρεστι τούτου πείραν, ω Χαιρεφων, λαμβάνειν. ΠΩΛ. Νη Δία αν δέ γε βούλη, ὧ Χαιρεφών, έμου. Γοργίας μεν γάρ καὶ ἀπειοηχέναι μοι δοχεί πολλά γάρ άρτι διελήλυθε. ΧΑΙ. Τί δαί, & Πώλε; οἴει σὺ κάλλιον ἄν Γοργίου αποκρίνασθαι; ΠΩΛ. Τί δὲ τοῦτο, έαν σοί γε ίκανως; ΧΑΙ. Οὐδέν άλλ' ἐπειδή Β σὺ βούλει, ἀποκρίνου. ΠΩΔ. Ἐρώτα. ΧΔΙ. $^{\circ}E$ ρωτ $ilde{\omega}$ δή. εὶ ἐτύγχανε Γ οργίας ἐπιστήμων $ilde{\omega}$ ν της τέχνης ήσπερ ὁ ἀδελφος αὐτοῦ Ἡρόδιχος. τίνα ἄν αὐτον ὢνομάζομεν δικαίως; οὐν ὅπερ έκεῖνον ; ΠΩΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΧΑΙ. Ἰατρον

ἄρα φάσχοντες αὐτὸν εἶναι χαλῶς ἄν ἐλέγομεν. ΠΩΛ. Ναί. ΧΑΙ. Εἰ δέ γε ἦσπερ ᾿Αριστοφῶν ὁ ᾿Αγλαοφῶντος ἢ ὁ ἀδελφὸς αὐτοῦ ἔμπει- Ἦπει- Ἦπει- ἔκαι και αὐτον ὀρθῶς ἐκαλοῦμεν; ΠΩΛ. Δῆλον, ὅτι ζωγράφον. ΧΑΙ. Νῦν δ' ἐπειδὴ τίνος τέχνης ἐπιστήμων ἐστί, τίνα ᾶν κα- C λοῦντες αὐτὸν ὀρθῶς καλοῖμεν; ΠΩΛ. Ἦπειοι και ἐν ἀνθρώποις εἰσὶν ἐκ τῶν ἐμπειριῶν ἐμπείρως εὐρημέναι · ἐμπειρία μὲν γὰρ ποιεῖ τὸν αἰῶνα ἡμῶν πορεύεσθαι κατὰ τέχνην, ἀπειρία δὲ κατὰ τύχην. ἑκάστων δὲ τούτων μεταλαμβάνουσιν ἀλλοι ἄλλων ἄλλως, τῶν δὲ ἀρίστων οἱ ἄριστοι · ὧν καὶ Γοργίας ἐστὶν δδε, καὶ μετέχει τῆς καλλίστης τῶν τεχνῶν.

D CAP. III. ΣΩ. Καλώς γε, & Γοργία, φαίνεται Πώλος παρεσκευάσθαι είς λόγους άλλά γάο δ ύπέσχετο Χαιρεφώντι οὐ ποιεί. ΓΟΡ. Τί μάλιστα, δ Σώπρατες; ΣΩ. Τὸ ἐρωτώμενον οὐ πάνυ μοι φαίνεται ἀποχρίνεσθαι. ΓΟΡ. 'Αλλα σύ, εί βούλει, έρου αὐτόν. ΣΩ. Οὔα, εί αὐτῷ γε σοὶ βουλομένω ἐστὶν ἀποχρίνεσθαι, αλλα πολύ αν ήδιον σέ. δήλος γάρ μοι Πώλος καὶ ἐξ ὧν εἴρηκεν, ὅτι τὴν καλουμένην ξητορικὴν ${f E}$ μᾶλλον μεμελέτηχεν $\hat{\eta}$ διαλέγεσθαι. ${m \Pi} {m \Omega} {m \Lambda}$. ${m T} {m i}$ δή, δ Σώπρατες; ΣΩ. Οτι, δ Πῶλε, ἐρομένου Χαιρεφώντος τίνος Γοργίας ἐπιστήμων τέχνης, έγχωμιάζεις μέν αὐτοῦ τὴν τέχνην, ώσπερ τινος ψέγοντος, ήτις δέ έστιν, ούχ απεχρίνω. Π Ω Λ. Οὐ γὰρ ἀπεκρινάμην, ὅτι εἴη ἡ καλλίστη; ΣΩ. Καὶ μάλα γε. άλλ' οὐδεὶς ἐρωτᾶ

ποία τις είη ή Γοργίου τέχνη, άλλα τίς, και οντινα δέοι χαλεῖν τὸν Γοργίαν. ωσπερ τὰ ἔμπροσθέν σοι ύπετείνατο Χαιρεφών καὶ αὐτῷ 449 καλώς καὶ δια βραχέων απεκρίνω, καὶ νῦν ουτως είπε τίς ή τέχνη και τίνα Γοργίαν καλεΐν χρη ήμας. μαλλον δέ, δ Γοργία, αὐτὸς ήμιν είπε τίνα σε χρή καλεῖν ώς τίνος ἐπιστήμονα τέχνης. ΓΟΡ. Της δητορικής, δ Σώκρατες. $\Sigma \Omega$. Γρήτορα ἄρα χρή σε καλεῖν ; ΓΟΡ. 'Αγαθόν γε, & Σώκρατες, εί δη δ γε εὖχομαι είναι, ώς έφη "Ομηρος, βούλει με καλείν. ΣΩ. ' Αλλα βούλομαι. ΓΟΡ. Κάλει δή. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν χαὶ ἄλλους σε φῶμεν δυνατὸν είναι Β ποιείν; ΓΟΡ. Ἐπαγγέλλομαί γε δή ταῦτα οὐ μόνον ενθάδε, άλλα καὶ ἄλλοθι. ΣΩ. Αρ' οὖν έθελήσαις αν, ω Γοργία, ωσπερ νυν διαλεγόμεθα, διατελέσαι το μεν έρωτων, το δ' άποχρινόμενος, τὸ δὲ μῆχος τῶν λόγων τοῦτο, οἶον καὶ Πῶλος ήρξατο, έσαυθις αποθέσθαι; αλλ' δπερ ύπισχνεί, μή ψεύση, άλλα έθέλησον κατά βραχύ το έρωτώμενον αποκρίνεσθαι. ΓΟΡ. Είσὶ μέν, δ Σώκρατες, ενιαι των αποκρίσεων αναγκαΐαι δια C μαχρών τους λόγους ποιείσθαι ου μήν άλλα πειράσομαί γε ώς δια βραχυτάτων. και γαρ αδ χαὶ τοῦτο εν εστιν ὧν φημι, μηδένα ἄν εν βραγυτέροις έμου τα αυτά είπειν. ΣΩ. Τούτου μην δεί, δ Γοργία καί μοι ἐπίδειξιν αὐτοῦ τούτου ποίησαι, της βραχυλογίας, μακρολογίας δὲ ἐσαῦθις. ΓΟΡ. 'Αλλά ποιήσω, καὶ οὐδενὸς φήσεις βραχυλογωτέρου ακούσαι.

Cap. IV. $\Sigma \Omega$. $\Phi \epsilon \varrho \epsilon \delta \eta \cdot - \delta \eta \tau o \varrho \iota x \eta s \gamma \alpha \varrho$ D φης επιστήμων τέχνης είναι καὶ ποιησαι αν καὶ άλλον δήτορα. -- ή δητορική περί τί των όντων τυγχάνει οὖσα ; ωσπερ ή ύφαντική περὶ τὴν των ίματίων ἐργασίαν · ἢ γάρ; ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ ἡ μουσική περὶ τὴν τῶν μελών ποίησιν; ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Νή την "Ηραν, & Γοργία, άγαμαί γε τας αποκρίσεις, δτι ἀποχρίνει ώς οδόν τε διὰ βραχυτάτων. ΓΟΡ. Πάνυ γαρ οίμαι, ο Σώχρατες, επιειχώς τούτο ποιείν. ΣΩ. Εὖ λέγεις. ἴθι δή μοι ἀπό-Ε κριναι ούτω καὶ περὶ τῆς ὁητορικῆς, περὶ τί τῶν οντων έστιν έπιστήμη; ΓΟΡ. Περί λόγους. ΣΩ. Ποίους τούτους, δ Γοργία; δρα οι δηλοῦσι τους κάμνοντας, ώς αν διαιτώμενοι ύγιαίνοιεν; ΓΟΡ. Οὖ. ΣΩ. Οὖκ ἄρα περὶ πάντας γε τους λόγους ή δητορική έστιν. ΓΟΡ. Ου δητα. ΣΩ. 'Αλλά μην λέγειν γε ποιεί δυνατούς. ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν περὶ ὧνπερ λέγειν, καὶ φρονείν; ΓΟΡ. Πῶς γὰρ οὖ; ΣΩ. Αρ' 450 οὖν καὶ ἣν νῦν δὴ ἐλέγομεν, ἡ ἰατρικὴ περὶ τῶν χαμνόντων ποιεί δυνατούς είναι φρονείν χαί λέγειν; ΓΟΡ. 'Ανάγκη. ΣΩ. Καὶ ἡ ἰατοική άρα, ώς ἔοικε, περὶ λόγους ἐστί. ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Τούς γε περὶ τὰ νοσήματα; ΓΟΡ. Μάλιστα. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ ἡ γυμναστική περί λόγους έστι τους περί ευεξίαν τε των σωμάτων καὶ καγεξίαν; ΓΟΡ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Καὶ Β μην καὶ αἱ ἀλλαι τέγναι, ὧ Γοργία, οὖτως ἔχουσιν έχάστη αὐτῶν περὶ λόγους ἐστὶ τούτους, οί

τυγχάνουσιν ὅντες περὶ τὸ πρᾶγμα οδ ἐκάστη ἐστὶν ἡ τέχνη. ΓΟΡ. Φαίνεται. ΣΩ. Τί οδν δή ποτε τὰς ἄλλας τέχνας οὐ ὁητορικὰς καλεῖς, οὖσας περὶ λόγους, εἴπερ ταὐτην ἡητορικὴν καλεῖς, ἡ ἂν ἡ περὶ λόγους; ΓΟΡ. ΤΟΤι, ὧ Σώκρατες, τῶν μὲν ἄλλων τεχνῶν περὶ χειρουργίας τε καὶ τοιαὐτας πράξεις, ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν, πᾶσά ἐστιν ἡ ἐπιστήμη, τῆς δὲ ἡητορικῆς οὐδέν ἐστι τοιοῦτον χειρούργημα, ἀλλὰ πᾶσα ἡ πρᾶξις καὶ ἡ κύρωσις διὰ λόγων ἐστί. διὰ ταῦτ' ἐγὰ τὴν C ἡητορικὴν τέχνην ἀξιῶ εἶναι περὶ λόγους, ὀρθῶς λέγων, ὡς ἐγώ φημι.

 $\mathbf{C}_{\mathsf{AP}}.\ \mathbf{V}.\ \mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{\Omega}.\ ^{3}\!\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\varrho}^{3}$ οὖν μανθάνω οἵαν αὐτην βούλει καλείν; τάχα δε είσομαι σαφέστερον. άλλ' ἀπόχοιναι. εἰσὶν ἡμῖν τέχναι. ἡ γάο; ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Πασῶν δέ, οἴμαι, τῶν τεχνών τών μεν έργασία τὸ πολύ έστι καὶ λόγου βραγέος δέονται, ενιαι δε ούδενός, άλλα το της τέχνης περαίνοιτο αν καὶ δια σιγης, οἶον γραφι- D χη χαὶ ἀνδριαντοποιία χαὶ ἄλλαι πολλαί. τὰs τοιαύτας μοι δοχεῖς λέγειν, περί ας οὐ φης την δητορικήν είναι. ή ού; ΓΟΡ. Πάνυ μέν ούν καλώς ύπολαμβάνεις, ὁ Σώκρατες. ΣΩ. Ετεραι δέ γέ είσι τῶν τεχνῶν, αι διὰ λόγου πᾶν περαίνουσι, καὶ ἔργου, ώς ἔπος εἰπεῖν, ἢ οὐδενὸς προσδέονται ή βραχέος πάνυ, οίον ή άριθμητική καὶ λογιστική καὶ γεωμετρική καὶ πεττευτική γε χαὶ άλλαι πολλαὶ τέχναι, ὧν ἔνιαι σχεδόν τι ἴσους τοὺς λόγους ἔχουσι ταῖς πράξεσιν, αἱ δὲ πολλαί πλείους και το παράπαν πάσα ή πράξις Ε

καὶ τὸ κῦρος αὐταῖς διὰ λόγων ἐστί. τῶν τοιούτων τινά μοι δοκεῖς λέγειν τὴν ὁητορικήν.
ΓΟΡ. ᾿Αληθῆ λέγεις. ΣΩ. ᾿Αλλ' οὖτοι τούτων γε οὐδεμίαν οἴμαί σε βούλεσθαι ὁητορικὴν
καλεῖν, οὐχ ὅτι τῷ ἡηματι οὖτως εἶπες, ὅτι ἡ
διὰ λόγου τὸ κῦρος ἔχουσα ἡητορική ἐστι, καὶ
ὑπολάβοι ἄν τις, εἰ βούλοιτο δυσχεραίνειν ἐν
τοῖς λόγοις, Τὴν ἀριθμητικὴν ἄρα ἡητορικήν, ἄ
Γοργία, λέγεις; ἀλλ' οὐκ οἴμαί σε οὖτε τὴν
451 ἀριθμητικὴν οὖτε τὴν γεωμετρίαν ἡητορικὴν λέγειν. ΓΟΡ. ᾿Ορθῶς γὰρ οἴει, ἄ Σώκρατες, καὶ
δικαίως ὑπολαμβάνεις.

CAP. VI. ΣΩ. "Ιθι οὖν καὶ σὺ τὴν ἀπόκρισιν ην ηρόμην διαπέρανον. - έπεὶ γαρ ή δητορική τυγγάνει μέν οδσα τούτων τις των τεχνών των τὸ πολύ λόγφ χρωμένων, τυγχάνουσι δὲ καὶ άλλαι τοιαυται οδσαι, πειρώ είπειν, ή περί τί έν λόγοις τὸ χυρος ἔχουσα ὁητοριχή ἐστιν. Εσπερ αν εί τίς με εροιτο ων νῦν δη ελεγον περί ήστινοσούν τών τεχνών, 3 Σώκρατες, τίς έστιν ή Β ἀριθμητική τέχνη ; εἶποιμ' ἄν αὐτῷ, ὥσπερ σὐ άρτι, δτι τῶν διὰ λόγου τις τὸ χῦρος ἐγουσῶν. καὶ εἴ με ἐπανέροιτο · Των περὶ τί; εἴποιμ' αν, ότι των περί τὸ ἀρτιόντε καὶ περιττὸν [γνωσις], δσα αν έχατερα τυγχάνοι όντα. εί δ' αδ έροιτο: Την δε λογιστικήν τίνα καλεῖς τέχνην; εἶποιμ' αν, δτι και αυτη έστι των λόγω το παν κυρουμένων. καὶ εὶ ἐπανέροιτο, Ἡ περὶ τί; εἴποιμ' ἂν ωσπερ οί εν τῷ δήμι συγγραφόμενοι, ὅτι τὰ μεν C αλλα καθάπερ ή αριθμητική ή λογιστική έχει

περί τὸ αὐτὸ γάρ ἐστι, τό τε ἄρτιον καὶ τὸ περιττόν · διαφέρει δε τοσούτον, δτι καί προς αύτα καὶ προς άλληλα πῶς ἔχει πλήθους ἐπισκοπεῖ το περιττον και το άρτιον ή λογιστική. και εί τις την αστρονομίαν ανέροιτο, έμου λέγοντος, δτι καὶ αὖτη λόγφ κυροῦται τὰ πάντα, Οἱ δὲ λόγοι οί της ἀστρονομίας, εί φαίη, περί τί είσιν, δ Σώχρατες; είποιμ' αν, ότι περί την των άστρων φοράν καὶ ήλίου καὶ σελήνης, πῶς πρὸς ἄλληλα D τάχους έχει. ΓΟΡ. 'Ορθώς γε λέγων σύ, δ Σώχρατες. ΣΩ. "Ιθι δή καὶ σύ, δ Γοργία. τυγχάνει μεν γαρ δη ή ρητορική οδσα των λόγω τὰ πάντα διαπραττομένων τε καὶ κυρουμένων τις. η γάο; ΓΟΡ. "Εστι ταΰτα. ΣΩ. Λέγε δή των περί τί; * τί * έστι τούτο των οντων, περί οδ οδτοι οί λόγοι είσίν, οίς ή δητορική χρηται; ΓΟΡ. Τὰ μέγιστα τῶν ἀνθρωπείων πραγμάτων, ὧ Σώκρατες, καὶ ἄριστα.

CAP. VII. ΣΩ. 'Αλλ', & Γοργία, αμφισδητήσιμον καὶ τοῦτο λέγεις καὶ οὐδέν πω σαφές. Ε
οἴομαι γάρ σε ἀκηκοέναι ἐν τοῖς συμποσίοις
ἀδόντων ἀνθρώπων τοῦτο τὸ σκολιόν, ἐν ῷ καταριθμοῦνται ἀδοντες, ὅτι ὑγιαίνειν μὲν ἄριστόν
ἐστι, τὸ δὲ δεύτερον καλὸν γενέσθαι, τρίτον δέ,
Ϫς φησιν ὁ ποιητὴς τοῦ σκολιοῦ, τὸ πλουτεῖν
ἀδόλως. ΓΟΡ. 'Ακήκοα γάρ · ἀλλὰ πρὸς τί
τοῦτο λέγεις; ΣΩ. "Οτι σοι αὐτίκα ἂν παρα-452
σταῖεν οἱ δημιουργοὶ τούτων ὧν ἐπήνεσεν ὁ τὸ
σκολιὸν ποιήσας, ἰατρός τε καὶ παιδοτρίδης καὶ
χρηματιστής, καὶ εἶποι πρῶτον μὲν ὁ ἰατρός, ὅτι,

 $^{5}\Omega$ Σ ώχρατες, έξαπατ \ddot{a} σε $m{F}$ οργίας \cdot οὐ γάρ έστιν ή τούτου τέχνη περί το μέγιστον αγαθον τοῖς ἀνθρώποις, ἀλλ' ἡ ἐμή. εἰ οὖν αὐτον ἐγώ έροίμην : Σύ δὲ τίς ὢν ταῦτα λέγεις; εἴποι ἀν ἴσως, ὅτι Ἰατρός. Τί οὖν λέγεις; ἢ τὸ τῆς σῆς τέχνης ἔργον μέγιστόν ἐστιν ἀγαθόν; Πῶς γὰρ Β οὖ, φαίη ἂν ἴσως, ὧ Σώκρατες, ὑγίεια; τί δ' έστὶ μείζον ἀγαθὸν ἀνθρώποις ύγιείας; Εί δ' αὖ μετὰ τοῦτον ὁ παιδοτρίβης εἶποι, ὅτι Θαυμάζοιμί γ' αν, δ Σώχρατες, και αὐτός, εί σοι έχει Γοργίας μείζον αγαθον επιδείξαι της αύτου τέχνης η έγω της έμης είποιμ' αν αδ καί προς τούτον Σύ δε δή τίς εί, & ανθρωπε; καί τί τὸ σὸν ἔργον; Παιδοτρίθης, φαίη ἄν, τὸ δ' έργον μού έστι καλούς τε και ίσχυρους ποιείν τους ανθρώπους τα σώματα. Μετα δε τον παιδοτρίδην εἴποι αν ό χρηματιστής, ώς ἐγῷμαι, C πάνυ καταφρονών άπάντων, Σκόπει δήτα, δ Σώχρατες, ἐάν σοι πλούτου φανή τι μείζον άγαθον ον ή παρά Γοργία ή παρ' άλλφ ότφουν. φαϊμεν αν οὖν προς αὐτόν Τί δὲ δή; ή σὺ τούτου δημιουργός; Φαίη αν. Τίς ών; Χρηματιστής. Τί οὖν; χρίνεις σὺ μέγιστον ἀνθρώποις άγαθον είναι πλούτον; φήσομεν. γάο οὖκ; ἐρεῖ. Καὶ μὴν ἀμφισ6ητεῖ γε Γοργίας δδε την παρ' αὐτῷ τέχνην μείζονος ἀγαθοῦ αιτίαν είναι ή την σήν, φαϊμεν αν ήμεις. δηλον D οδν, δτι το μετα τουτο έροιτ' αν· Καὶ τί έστι τοῦτο τὸ ἀγαθόν; ἀποχρινάσθω Γοργίας. "Ιθι οὖν νομίσας, ὧ Γοργία, ἐρωτᾶσθαι καὶ ὑπ' ἐκείνων καὶ ὑπ' ἐμοῦ, ἀπόκριναι τί ἐστι τοῦτο, δ φής συ μέγιστον άγαθον είναι τοῖς άνθρώποις καὶ σὲ δημιουργὸν είναι αὐτοῦ. ΓΟΡ. Οπερ έστίν, & Σώπρατες, τη άληθεία μέγιστον άγαθον καὶ αἴτιον ἄμα μὲν ἐλευθερίας αὐτοῖς τοῖς ἀνθρώποις, αμα δε τοῦ ἄλλων ἄρχειν ἐν τῆ αύτοῦ πόλει έκάστφ. ΣΩ. Τί οὖν δὴ τοῦτο λέγεις; Ε ΓΟΡ. Τὸ πείθειν ἔγωγ' οδόν τ' είναι τοῖς λόγοις και έν δικαστηρίω δικαστας και έν βουλευτηρίφ βουλευτας και έν έκκλησία εκκλησιαστας καὶ ἐν ἄλλφ ξυλλόγφ παντί, ὅστις ἄν πολιτικὸς ξύλλογος γίγνηται. καίτοι ἐν ταύτη τῆ δυνάμει δούλον μεν έξεις τον ιατρόν, δούλον δε τον παιδοτρίβην · ό δὲ χρηματιστής οδτος άλλφ άναφανήσεται χοηματιζόμενος καὶ οὐχ αύτῷ, ἀλλὰ σοὶ τῷ δυναμένῳ λέγειν χαὶ πείθειν τὰ πλήθη.

CAP. VIII. ΣΩ. Νῦν μοι δοχεῖς δηλῶσαι,
δ Γοργία, ἐγγύτατα τὴν ὁητορικὴν ἥντινα τέ-453
χνην ἡγεῖ εἶναι, καί, εἴ τι ἐγὼ συνίημι, λέγεις,
ὅτι πειθοῦς δημιουργός ἐστιν ἡ ὁητορική, καὶ ἡ
πραγματεία αὐτῆς ἄπασα καὶ τὸ κεφάλαιον εἰς
τοῦτο τελευτᾳ. ἢ ἔχεις τι λέγειν ἐπὶ πλέον τὴν
ἡητορικὴν δύνασθαι ἢ πειθὼ τοῖς ἀκούουσιν ἐν
τῷ ψυχῷ ποιεῖν; ΓΟΡ. Οὐδαμῶς, ὧ Σώκρατες, ἀλλά μοι δοκεῖς ἰκανῶς ὁρίζεσθαι · ἔστι γὰρ
τοῦτο τὸ κεφάλαιον αὐτῆς. ΣΩ. ᾿Ακουσον δή,
ὧ Γοργία. ἐγὼ γὰρ εὖ ἴσθ' ὅτι, ὡς ἐμαυτὸν Β
πείθω, εἴπερ τις ἀλλος ἀλλω διαλέγεται βουλόμενος εἰδέναι αὐτὸ τοῦτο, περὶ ὅτου ὁ λόγος
ἐστί, καὶ ἐμὲ εἶναι τούτων ἕνα · ἀξιῶ δὲ καὶ σέ.

ΓΟΡ. Τί οὖν δή, ἄ Σώκρατες; ΣΩ. Έγὰ έρω νυν. έγω την από της δητορικής πειθώ, ήτις ποτ' έστιν ην συ λέγεις και περί ωντινων πραγμάτων έστι πειθώ, σαφώς μέν εδ ίσθ' ότι οὐκ οίδα, οὐ μὴν ἀλλ' ὑποπτεύω γε ῆν οἰμαί σε λέγειν και περί ων ούδεν μέντοι ήττον έρήσο-C μαί σε τίνα ποτε λέγεις την πειθώ την από της δητορικής και περί τίνων αὐτὴν είναι. τοῦ οὖν ένεχα δή αὐτὸς ὑποπτεύων σὲ ἐρήσομαι, ἀλλ' ούκ αὐτὸς λέγω; οὐ σοῦ ἕνεκα, ἀλλὰ τοῦ λόγου, ίνα ούτω προίη, ώς μάλιστ' αν ήμιν καταφανές ποιοί περί ότου λέγεται. σχόπει γάρ, εί σοι δοχώ δικαίως ανερωτάν σε. ωσπερ αν εί ετύγχανόν σε έρωτων τίς έστι των ζωγράφων Ζεύξις, εί μοι είπες, ὅτι ὁ τὰ ζῶα γράφων, ἄρ' οὐχ ἄν διχαίως D σε ἠρόμην ὁ τὰ ποῖα τῶν ζώων γράφων καὶ ποῦ; ΓΟΡ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Αρα διὰ τοῦτο, ὅτι χαὶ ἄλλοι εἰσὶ ζωγράφοι γράφοντες ἄλλα πολλά ζωα; ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Εὶ δέ γε μηδεὶς άλλος η Ζεύξις έγραφε, καλώς άν σοι άπεκέκριτο; ΓΟΡ. Πως γάο οὖ; ΣΩ. Ἰθι δή καὶ περὶ της δητορικής είπε, πότερόν σοι δοκεί πειθώ ποιεῖν ἡ δητορική μόνη, ή καὶ ἄλλαι τέχναι; λέγω δὲ τὸ τοιόνδε · δστις διδάσχει ότιοῦν πράγμα, πότερον δ διδάσκει πείθει, η ού; ΓΟΡ. Ου δήτα, & Σώχρατες, άλλα πάντων μάλιστα πείθει. $\mathbf{E} \; oldsymbol{\Sigma} oldsymbol{\Omega}. \; \Pi$ άλιν δ' εἰ ἐπὶ τῶν αὐτῶν τεχνῶν λέγομεν ώνπες νῦν δή, ή ἀριθμητική οὐ διδάσκει ήμᾶς δσα έστὶ τὰ τοῦ ἀριθμοῦ καὶ ὁ ἀριθμητικὸς ἀνθρωπος; ΓΟΡ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ

πείθει; ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Πειθους ἄρα δημιουργός ἐστι καὶ ἡ ἀριθμητική. ΓΟΡ. Φαίνεται. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν ἐάν τις ἐρωτῷ ἡμᾶς ποίας πειθους καὶ περὶ τί, ἀποκρινούμεθα που αὐτῷ, ὅτι τῆς διδασκαλικῆς τῆς περὶ τὸ ἄρτιόν τε καὶ 454 τὸ περιττὸν ὅσον ἐστί. καὶ τὰς ἄλλας ἃς νῦν δὴ ἐλέγομεν τέχνας ἀπόσας Εξομεν ἀποδείξαι πειθους δημιουργούς οὐσας καὶ ἤστινος καὶ περὶ ὅτι. ἢ οὐ; ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Οὐκ ἄρα ὁητορικὴ μόνη πειθους ἐστι δημιουργός. ΓΟΡ. ᾿Αληθῆ λέγεις.

CAP. ΙΧ. ΣΩ. Ἐπειδή τοίνυν οὐ μόνη ἀπεφγάζεται τοῦτο τὸ ἔργον, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἄλλαι, δικαίως, ωσπερ περί του ζωγράφου, μετα τουτο έπανεροίμεθ' αν τον λέγοντα, ποίας δη πειθους και της περί τί πειθους ή δητορική έστι Β τέχνη; η οὐ δοχεῖ σοι δίχαιον εἶναι ἐπανερέσθαι; ΓΟΡ. "Εμοιγε. ΣΩ. 'Απόκριναι δή, ὧ Γοργία, ἐπειδή γε καὶ σοὶ δοκεῖ οὖτω. ΓΟΡ. Ταύτης τοίνυν της πειθούς λέγω, ὁ Σώκρατες, τῆς ἐν δικαστηρίοις καὶ ἐν τοῖς άλλοις ὄγλοις, ώσπες και άρτι έλεγον, και περί τούτων, α έστι δίχαιά τε χαὶ άδιχα. ΣΩ. Καὶ ἐγώ τοι ὑπώπτευον ταύτην σε λέγειν τὴν πειθώ χαὶ περί τούτων, & Γοργία · άλλ' ενα μη θαυμάζης, έαν C ολίγον υστερον τοιουτόν τί σε ανέρωμαι, δ δοκεί μεν δήλον είναι, έγω δ' επανερωτώ σπερ γάρ λέγω, τοῦ έξῆς ἕνεκα περαίνεσθαι τὸν λόγον έρωτω, ού σου ένεκα, άλλ' ίνα μη έθιζωμεθα ύπονοούντες προαρπάζειν άλλήλων τὰ λεγόμενα,

άλλα συ τα σαυτού κατα την υπόθεσιν όπως αν βούλη περαίνης. ΓΟΡ. Καὶ ὀρθῶς γέ μοι δοκείς ποιείν, & Σώκρατες. ΣΩ. "Ιθι δή καὶ τόδε επισκεψώμεθα. καλείς τι μεμαθηκέναι; D ΓΟΡ. Καλώ. ΣΩ. Τί δέ; πεπιστευκέναι; ΓΟΡ. "Εγωγε. ΣΩ. Πότερον οὖν ταὐτὸν δοκεί σοι είναι μεμαθηκέναι καὶ πεπιστευκέναι, καὶ μάθησις καὶ πίστις, η άλλο τι; ΓΟΡ. Οἴομαι μεν έγωγε, & Σώχρατες, άλλο. ΣΩ. Καλώς γάρ οίει γνώσει δε ένθένδε. εί γάρ τίς σε ἔροιτο ¾Αρ' ἔστι τις, ὧ Γοργία, πίστις ψευδης καὶ ἀληθής; φαίης ἄν, ώς έγω οίμαι. ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Τί δέ ; ἐπιστήμη ἐστὶ ψευδής καὶ αληθής; ΓΟΡ. Οὐδαμῶς. ΣΩ. Δῆλον γὰο Ε αὖ, ὅτι οὐ ταὐτόν ἐστιν. ΓΟΡ. ᾿Αληθῆ λέγεις. ΣΩ. 'Αλλά μην οί τέ γε μεμαθηχότες πεπεισμένοι είσι και οί πεπιστευκότες. ΓΟΡ. "Εστι ταῦτα. ΣΩ. Βούλει οὖν δύο εἴδη θώμεν πειθοῦς, τὸ μὲν πίστιν παρεχόμενον ἄνευ τοῦ εἰδέναι, τὸ δ' ἐπιστήμην ; ΓΟΡ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Ποτέραν οὖν ή ὁητορικὴ πειθώ ποιεῖ ἐν δικαστηρίοις τε καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις ὄχλοις περὶ τῶν δικαίων τε καὶ ἀδίκων ; ἐξ ἦς τὸ πιστεύειν γίγνεται άνευ τοῦ εἰδέναι, η έξ ης το εἰδέναι; ΓΟΡ. Δηλον δήπου, & Σώμρατες, δτι έξ ης το πιστεύ-455 ειν. ΣΩ. Ἡ οητορική ἀρα, ώς ἔοικε, πειθοῦς δημιουργός έστι πιστευτικής, άλλ' οὐ διδασκαλικης περί το δίκαιόν τε καὶ άδικον. ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Οὐδ' ἄρα διδασκαλικός ὁ ἡήτωρ ἐστὶ δικαστηρίων τε και των άλλων όχλων δικαίων τε πέρι καὶ ἀδίκων, ἀλλὰ πιστικὸς μόνον. οὐ γὰρ δήπου ὅχλον γ' ἂν δύναιτο τοσοῦτον ἐν ὀλίγω χρόνω διδάξαι οὖτω μεγάλα πράγματα. ΓΟΡ. Οὐ δῆτα.

CAP. Χ. ΣΩ. Φέρε δή, ίδωμεν τί ποτε καί Β λέγομεν περί της δητορικής έγω μεν γάρ τοι οὐδ' αὐτός πω δύναμαι χατανοῆσαι δ τι λέγω. δταν περὶ ἰατρῶν αἰρέσεως ἢ τῆ πόλει σύλλογος, η περί ναυπηγών, η περί άλλου τινός δημιουργιχοῦ ἔθνους, ἄλλο τι ἢ τότε ὁ ῥητοριχὸς οὐ συμ**βουλεύσει ; δῆλον γὰρ, ὅτι ἐν ἑκάστῃ αἰρέσει** τον τεχνικώτατον δεί αίρείσθαι. οὐδ' δταν τειχών περί οἰκοδομήσεως, η λιμένων κατασκευης, η νεωρίων, αλλ' οἱ ἀρχιτέχτονες · οὐδ' αδ ὅταν στρατηγών αίρέσεως πέρι, ἢ τάξεώς τινος προς πολεμίους, η χωρίων καταλήψεως συμβουλη ή, С άλλ' οί στρατηγικοί τότε συμβουλεύσουσιν, οί όητορικοί δὲ οὐ. ἢ πῶς λέγεις, ὧ Γοργία, τὰ τοιαύτα; ἐπειδή γὰρ αὐτός τε φής ἡήτωρ είναι **χα**ὶ ἄλλους ποιεῖν ὁητοριχούς, εὖ ἔχει τὰ τῆς σης τέχνης παρά σου πυνθάνεσθαι. καὶ ἐμὲ νῦν νόμισον χαὶ τὸ σὸν σπεύδειν. ἴσως γὰρ καὶ τυγγάνει τις τῶν ἔνδον ὄντων μαθητής σου βουλόμενος γενέσθαι, ώς έγω τινας σχεδον καί συχνούς αἰσθάνομαι, οι ζσως αἰσχύνοιντ' ἄν σε άνερέσθαι. ὑπ' ἐμοῦ οὖν ἀνερωτώμενος νόμισον D καὶ ὑπ' ἐκείνων ἀνερωτᾶσθαι, Τί ἡμῖν, ὧ Γοργία, ἔσται, ἐάν σοι συνωμεν; περὶ τίνων τῆ πό-. λει συμβουλεύειν οἶοί τε ἐσόμεθα; πότερον περὶ δικαίου μόνον καὶ ἀδίκου, ἢ καὶ περὶ ὧν νῦν δὴ

Σωκράτης έλεγε; πειρώ οὖν αὐτοῖς ἀποκρίνεσθαι. ΓΟΡ. 'Αλλ' έγω σοι πειράσομαι, δ Σωχρατες, σαφώς αποκαλύψαι την της δητορικης δύναμιν απασαν αὐτὸς γὰρ καλῶς ὑφηγήσω. Ε οίσθα γάρ δήπου, δτι τὰ νεώρια ταῦτα χαὶ τὰ τείχη τὰ ᾿Αθηναίων χαὶ ἡ τῶν λιμένων χατασκευή εκ της Θεμιστοκλέους συμβουλης γέγονε, τα δ' έχ της Περικλέους, άλλ' ούκ έκ των δημιουργών. ΣΩ. Λέγεται ταύτα, δ Γοργία, περὶ Θεμιστοκλέους Περικλέους δὲ καὶ αὐτὸς ήχουον, δτε συνεβούλευεν ήμῖν περὶ τοῦ δια μέ-456 σου τείχους. ΓΟΡ. Καὶ δταν γέ τις αίρεσις η ων δη συ έλεγες, ω Σωχρατες, όρως, δτι οί δήτορές είσιν οί συμβουλεύοντες καὶ οί νικώντες τας γνώμας περί τούτων. ΣΩ. Ταῦτα καὶ θαυμάζων, δ Γοργία, πάλαι έρωτω τίς ποτε ή δύναμίς έστι της δητορικής. δαιμονία γάρ τις ξμοιγε χαταφαίνεται τὸ μέγεθος ούτω σχοπούντι. CAP. XI. ΓΟΡ. Εὶ πάντα γε εἰδείης, δ Σώχρατες, δτι, ώς έπος είπειν, άπάσας τας δυ-Β νάμεις συλλαβουσα ύφ' αύτη έχει μέγα δέ σοι τεκμήριον έρω πολλάκις γαρ ήδη έγωγε μετα του άδελφου και μετά των άλλων ίατοων είσελθών παρά τινα των χαμνόντων ούχὶ ἐθέλοντα ἢ φάρμαχον πιεῖν ἢ τεμεῖν ἢ χαῦσαι παρασχεῖν τῷ ἰατρῷ, οὐ δυναμένου τοῦ ἰατροῦ πείσαι, έγω έπεισα, ούκ άλλη τέχνη η τη όητορική. φημὶ δὲ καὶ εἰς πόλιν ὅποι βούλει έλθόντα δητορικον άνδρα καὶ ἰατρόν, εἰ δέοι λόγω διαγωνίζεσθαι έν έκκλησία η έν άλλω

τινὶ συλλόγφ, ὁπότερον δεῖ αἱρεθῆναι, ῥήτορα C η ζατρόν, οὐδαμοῦ αν φανηναι τὸν ζατρόν, αλλ' αίρεθηναι αν τον είπειν δυνατόν, εί βούλοιτο. χαὶ εἰ πρὸς ἄλλον γε δημιουργόν όντιναοῦν άγωνίζοιτο, πείσειεν αν αύτον έλέσθαι ό όητοριχὸς μᾶλλον ή ἄλλος όστισοῦν ού γὰς ἔστι πεςὶ ότου ούκ αν πιθανώτερον είποι ό όητορικός ή άλλος όστισοῦν τῶν δημιουργῶν ἐν πλήθει. 'Η μεν οὖν δύναμις τοσαύτη έστὶ καὶ τοιαύτη τῆς τέγνης. δεῖ μέντοι, ὧ Σώχρατες, τῆ ἡητοριχῆ γρησθαι ωσπερ καὶ τῷ ἄλλη πάση ἀγωνία. καὶ D γαο τη αλλη αγωνία ού τούτου ένεκα δεί προs άπαντας χρησθαι άνθρώπους, δτι έμαθε πυπτεύειν τε καὶ παγκρατιάζειν καὶ ἐν ὅπλοις μάχεσθαι, ώστε χρείττων είναι χαὶ φίλων χαὶ έχθρων. ού τούτου ένεχα τους φίλους δεῖ τύπτειν οὐδὲ **χεντείν τε χαὶ ἀποχτιννύναι. οὐδέ γε μὰ Δία** έάν τις είς παλαίστραν φοιτήσας, εθ έχων τὸ σώμα καὶ πυκτικὸς γενόμενος, ἔπειτα τὸν πατέρα τύπτη και την μητέρα η άλλον τινά των οίκείων η των φίλων, ού τούτου ένεκα δεί τους παιδο-Ε τρίβας και τους έν τοῖς ὅπλοις διδάσκοντας μάχεσθαι μισείν τε χαὶ ἐχβάλλειν ἐχ τῶν πόλεων. έχεῖνοι μὲν γὰρ παρέδοσαν ἐπὶ τῷ διχαίως χρῆσθαι τούτοις προς τους πολεμίους και τους άδιχουντας, αμυνομένους, μη ύπαρχοντας οί δε 457 μεταστρέψαντες χρώνται τῆ ἰσχύι καὶ τῆ τέχνη ούχ όρθως. ούχουν οί διδάξαντες πονηροί, ούδὲ ή τέχνη οὖτε αἰτία οὖτε πονηρα τούτου Ενεκά έστιν, άλλ' οἱ μὴ χρώμενοι, οἶμαι, ὀρθῶς. ὁ

αὐτὸς δη λόγος καὶ περὶ τῆς ἡητορικῆς. δυνατὸς μὲν γὰρ πρὸς ἄπαντάς ἐστιν ὁ ἡτωρ καὶ περὶ παντὸς λέγειν, ὡστε πιθανώτερος εἴναι ἐν τοῖς πλήθεσιν ἐμδραχὺ περὶ ὅτου ἄν βούληται. Β ἀλλ' οὐδέν τι μᾶλλον τούτου ἕνεκα δεῖ οὐτε τοὺς ἰατροὺς τὴν δόξαν ἀφαιρεῖσθαι, ὅτι δύναιτο ἄν τοῦτο ποιῆσαι, οὖτε τοὺς ἄλλους δημιουργούς, ἀλλὰ δικαίως καὶ τῆ ἡητορικῆς χρῆσθαι, ὡσπερ καὶ τῆ ἀγωνία. ἐαν δέ, οἶμαι, ἡητορικὸς γενόμενός τις κὰτα ταύτη τῆ δυνάμει καὶ τῆ τέχνη ἀδικῆ, οὐ τὸν διδάξαντα δεῖ μισεῖν τε καὶ ἐκβάλλειν ἐκ τῶν πόλεων. ἐκεῖνος μὲν γὰρ ἐπὶ δίκαία Ο χρεία παρέδωκεν, ὁ δ' ἐναντίως χρῆται. τὸν οὖν οὐκ ὀρθῶς χρώμενον μισεῖν δίκαιον καὶ ἐκβάλλειν καὶ ἀποκτιννύναι, ἀλλ' οὐ τὸν διδάξαντα.

CAP. XII. ΣΩ. Οἶμαι, ὧ Γοργία, καὶ σὲ ἔμπειρον εἶναι πολλῶν λόγων καὶ καθεωρακέναι ἐν αὐτοῖς τὸ τοιόνδε, ὅτι οὐ ῥαδίως δύνανται περὶ ὧν ἄν ἐπιχειρήσωσι διαλέγεσθαι διορισάμενοι πρὸς ἀλλήλους καὶ μαθόντες καὶ διδάξαντες D ἑαυτόὺς οὕτω διαλύεσθαι τὰς συνουσίας, ἀλλ' ἐὰν περί του ἀμφισδητήσωσι καὶ μὴ φῆ ὁ ἔτερος τὸν ἔτερον ὀρθῶς λέγειν ἢ μὴ σαφῶς, χαλεπαίνουσί τε καὶ κατὰ φθόνον οἴονται τὸν ἑαυτῶν λέγειν, φιλονεικοῦντας, ἀλλ' οὐ ζητοῦντας τὸ προκείμενον ἐν τῷ λόγῳ. καὶ ἔνιοί γε τελευτῶντες αἴσχιστα ἀπαλλάττονται, λοιδορηθέντες τε καὶ εἰπόντες καὶ ἀκούσαντες περὶ σφῶν αὐτῶν τοιαῦτα, οἶα καὶ τοὺς παρόντας ἄχθεσθαι ὑπὲρ σφῶν αὐτῶν, ὅτι τοιούτων ἀνθρώπων ἡξίωσαν

ἀπροαταὶ γενέσθαι. Τοῦ δὴ ἕνεχα λέγω ταῦτα; Ε ότι νῦν ἐμοὶ δοχεῖς σῦ οῦ πάνυ ἀχόλουθα λέγειν οὐδὲ σύμφωνα οἶς τὸ πρῶτον ἔλεγες περὶ τῆς δητορικής. φοδουμαι οδν διελέγχειν σε, μή με ύπολάβης οὐ πρὸς τὸ πρᾶγμα φιλονειχοῦντα λέγειν τοῦ καταφανές γενέσθαι, άλλα προς σέ. έγω οὖν, εἰ μὲν καὶ σὺ εἶ τῶν ἀνθρώπων ὧνπερ 458 καὶ ἐγώ, ἡδέως ἄν σε διερωτώην· εἰ δὲ μή, ἐώην άν. έγω δε τίνων ειμί; των ήδεως μεν αν έλεγχθέντων, εἴ τι μη άληθες λέγω, ήδέως δ' αν έλεγξάντων, εἴ τίς τι μη ἀληθες λέγοι, οὐα ἀηδέστερον μέντ' αν έλεγχθέντων η έλεγξάντων μεῖζον γαρ αὐτὸ ἀγαθὸν ἡγοῦμαι, δσφπερ μεζζον άγαθόν έσιιν αὐτὸν ἀπαλλαγῆναι κακοῦ τοῦ μεγίστου η άλλον απαλλάξαι. οὐδὲν γὰο οίμαι τοσούτον κακὸν είναι ἀνθρώπω, ὅσον δόξα ψευδής Β περί ων τυγχάνει νυν ήμιν ο λόγος ών. εί μεν οὖν καὶ σὺ φὴς τοιοῦτος εἶναι, διαλεγώμεθα· εἰ δὲ καὶ δοκεῖ χρηναι ἐᾶν, ἐῶμεν ήδη χαίρειν καὶ διαλύωμεν τὸν λόγον. ΓΟΡ. 'Αλλά φημὶ μεν έγωγε, & Σώκρατες, και αὐτος τοιούτος είναι, οίον συ ύφηγει ισως μέντοι χρην έννοειν καὶ τὸ τῶν παρόντων. πάλαι γάρ τοι, πρὶν καὶ ύμας έλθειν, έγω τοις παρούσι πολλα έπεδειξά- C μην, και νῦν ἴσως πόδδω ἀποτενοῦμεν, ην διαλεγώμεθα. σχοπεῖν οὖν χρη καὶ τὸ τούτων, μή τινας αὐτῶν χατέχωμεν βουλομένους τι χαὶ ἄλλο πράττειν.

CAP. XIII. ΧΑΙ. Τοῦ μεν θορύδου, ὅ Γοργία τε καὶ Σώκρατες, αὐτοὶ ἀκούετε τούτων τῶν

ανδρών, βουλομένων αχούειν, εάν τι λέγητε. έμοι δ' οὖν και αὐτῷ μὴ γένοιτο τοσαύτη ἀσχολία, ώστε τοιούτων λόγων και ούτω λεγομένων D ἀφεμένω προύργιαίτερόν τι γενέσθαι άλλο πράττειν. ΚΑΛ. Νή τους θεούς, δ Χαιρεφών. καὶ μεν δή καὶ αὐτὸς πολλοῖς ήδη λόγοις παραγενόμενος ούχ οίδ' εί πώποτε ησθην ούτως, ώσπερ νυνί, ωστ' ἔμοιγε, κᾶν τὴν ἡμέραν ὅλην ἐθέλητε διαλέγεσθαι, χαριεῖσθε. ΣΩ. 'Αλλά μήν, δ Καλλίκλεις, τό γ' έμον ούδεν κωλύει, εἴπερ έθέλει Γοργίας. ΓΟΡ. Αἰσχρον δή το λοιπόν, δ Σώχρατες, γίγνεται έμέ γε μη έθέλειν, καὶ Ε ταυτα αυτον επαγγειλάμενον έρωταν δ τι τις βούλεται. άλλ' εί δοχεῖ τουτοισί, διαλέγου τε καὶ ἐρώτα ὅ τι βούλει. ΣΩ. "Ακουε δή, δ Γοργία, & θαυμάζω έν τοῖς λεγομένοις ύπο σοῦ. ἴσως γάρ τοι σοῦ ὀρθῶς λέγοντος ἐγὰ οὐκ ὀρθῶς ύπολαμβάνω. ζητορικόν φής ποιεῖν οἰός τ' είναι, έαν τις βούληται παρά σοῦ μανθάνειν; ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν περὶ πάντων ωστ' 459 εν δχλφ πιθανον είναι, ού διδάσκοντα, άλλα πείθοντα; ΓΟΡ. Πάνυ μέν οὖν. ΣΩ. "Ελεγες τοι νῦν δη ὅτι καὶ περὶ τοῦ ὑγιεινοῦ τοῦ ἰατροῦ πιθανώτερος έσται ὁ ὁήτωρ. ΓΟΡ. Καὶ γὰρ έλεγον, έν γε όχλφ. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν τὸ ἐν όχλφ τοῦτό ἐστιν ἐν τοῖς μὴ εἰδόσιν; οὐ γὰρ δήπου ἔν γε τοις είδόσι του ιατρού πιθανώτερος έσται. ΓΟΡ. ' Αληθη λέγεις. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν εἴπεο τοῦ **ιατρού** πιθανώτερος ἔσται, τοῦ εἰδότος πιθανώ-Β τερος γίγνεται; ΓΟΡ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Οὐκ ἰατρός γε ἄν · ἢ γάς; ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. 'Ο δὲ μὴ ἰατρός γε δήπου ἀνεπιστήμων ἄν ὁ ἰατρὸς ἐκιστήμων. ΓΟΡ. Δῆλον ὅτι. ΣΩ. 'Ο οὐα εἰδώς ἄρα τοῦ εἰδότος ἐν οὐα εἰδόσι πιθανώτερος ἔσται, ὅταν ὁ ἡήτως τοῦ ἰατροῦ πιθανώτερος ἢ. τοῦτο συμβαίνει, ἢ ἄλλο τι; ΓΟΡ. Τοῦτο ἐνταῦθά γε συμβαίνει. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ περὶ τὰς ἄλλας ἀπάσας τέχνας ὡσαύτως ἔχει ὁ ἡήτως καὶ ἡ ἡητορική · αὐτὰ μὲν τὰ πράγματα οὐδὲν δεῖ αὐτὴν εἰδέναι ὅπως ἔχει, μηχανὴν δέ C τινα πειθοῦς εὐρηκέναι, ὥστε φαίνεσθαι τοῖς οὐα εἰδόσι μᾶλλον εἰδέναι τῶν εἰδότων.

CAP. XIV. ΓΟΡ. Οὐχοῦν πολλή δαστώνη, δ Σώχρατες, γίγνεται, μη μαθόντα τας άλλας τέχνας, άλλα μίαν ταύτην, μηδεν έλαττοῦσθαι των δημιουργών; ΣΩ. Εἰ μεν ελαττούται ή μη έλαττοῦται ὁ ξήτως τῶν ἄλλων διὰ τὸ οῦτως ἔχειν, αὐτίκα ἐπισκεψόμεθα, ἐάν τι ἡμῖν προς λόγου ή νυν δε τόδε πρότερον σχεψώμεθα, ἄρα τυγχάνει περὶ τὸ δίχαιον καὶ τὸ ἄδι- D χον καὶ τὸ αἰσχοὸν καὶ τὸ καλὸν καὶ ἀγαθὸν καὶ κακὸν ούτως ἔχων ὁ ἡητορικὸς ὡς περὶ τὸ ύγιεινον καὶ περὶ τὰ ἄλλα ὧν αί ἄλλαι τέχναι, αὐτὰ μεν οὐκ εἰδώς, τί ἀγαθὸν ἢ τί κακόν ἐστιν, ή τί καλον η τί αἰσχοόν, η δίκαιον η άδικον, πειθώ δὲ περὶ αὐτῶν μεμηχανημένος, ώστε δοκεΐν εἰδέναι οὐκ εἰδώς ἐν οὐκ εἰδόσι μᾶλλον τοῦ E είδότος; η ανάγκη είδέναι, καί δεῖ προεπιστάμενον ταῦτα ἀφικέσθαι παρὰ σὲ τὸν μέλλοντα μαθήσεσθαι την ξητορικήν; εί δε μή, συ ό της

ξητορικής διδάσκαλος τούτων μέν οὐδέν διδάξεις τον αφικνούμενον . . . ού γαρ σον έργον ποιήσεις δ' έν τοῖς πολλοῖς δοχεῖν εἰδέναι αὐτὸν τὰ τοιαῦτα οὐχ εἰδότα χαὶ δοχεῖν ἀγαθὸν είναι οὐχ όντα; ἢ τὸ παράπαν ούχ οἶός τε ἔσει αὐτὸν διδάξαι την δητορικήν, έαν μη προειδή περί τούτων την αλήθειαν; η πως τα τοιαυτα έχει, ω 160 Γοργία; καὶ προς Διός, ώσπερ άρτι είπες, αποχαλύψας τῆς ξητοριχῆς εἰπὲ τίς ποθ' ἡ δύναμίς έστιν. ΓΟΡ. 'Αλλ' έγω μεν οίμαι, & Σώχρατες, εαν τύχη μη είδώς, και ταυτα παρ' έμου μαθήσεται. ΣΩ. "Εχε δή καλώς γάρ λέγεις. έάνπεο δητορικόν σύ τινα ποιήσης, ανάγκη αύτον είδεναι τα δίχαια χαι τα άδιχα ήτοι πρότεοόν γε η υστερον μαθόντα παρα σου. ΓΟP. Β Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Τί οὖν; ὁ τὰ τεκτονικὰ μεμα $heta\eta$ χώς τεχτονιχός, $\ddot{\eta}$ ο \ddot{v} ; ΓOP . Nαί. $\Sigma \Omega$. Οὐχοῦν χαὶ ὁ τὰ μουσιχὰ μουσιχός; ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Καὶ ὁ τὰ ἰατρικὰ ἰατρικός; καὶ τάλλα οΰτω κατά τον αὐτον λόγον, δ μεμαθηκώς ξκαστα τοιουτός έστιν, οίον ή έπιστήμη ξκαστον άπεργάζεται; ΓΟΡ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν κατά τούτον τον λόγον και ό τα δίκαια μεμαθηκώς δίκαιος ; ΓOP . Πάντως δήπου. $\Sigma \Omega$. OC δε δίκαιος δίκαιά που πράττει. ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν ἀνάγκη [τὸν ὁητορικὸν δίκαιον είναι], τον [δε] δίκαιον δίκαια βούλεσθαι πράττειν ; ΓΟΡ. Φαίνεταί γε. ΣΩ. Οὐδέποτε άρα βουλήσεται ο γε δίχαιος άδιχεῖν. ΓΟΡ. $^{\circ}$ $m{A}$ νάγχη. $m{\Sigma}m{\Omega}$. $m{T}$ ον δ $\hat{m{\epsilon}}$ φητοφιχον ἀνάγχη έχ

τοῦ λόγου δίχαιον είναι. ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Οὐδέποτε ἄρα βουλήσεται ὁ ἡητοριχὸς ἀδιχεῖν. ΓΟΡ. Οὐ φαίνεταί γε.

CAP. XV. ΣΩ. Μέμνησαι οὖν λέγων ολίγω D πρότερον, δτι ού δεῖ τοῖς παιδοτρίβαις έγχαλεῖν ούδ' έκβάλλειν έκ των πόλεων, έαν ό πύκτης τη πυχτιχή [μή χαλώς] χρήταί τε χαὶ ἀδίχή; ώσαύτως δε ούτω και έαν ο οήτως τη όητοςική άδίκως χρήται, μή τῷ διδάξαντι ἐγκαλεῖν μηδὲ έξελαύνειν έχ τῆς πόλεως, ἀλλὰ τῷ ἀδιχοῦντι χαὶ οὐχ ὀρθῶς χρωμένφ τῆ ἡητορικῆ; ἐἰἡήθη ταῦτα, η ου; ΓΟΡ. Ἐἰρρήθη. ΣΩ. Νῦν δέ γε δ αὐτὸς οὖτος φαίνεται, ὁ ὁητορικός, οὐκ ἄν ποτε Ε άδικήσας. η οὖ ; ΓΟΡ. Φαίνεται. ΣΩ. Καὶ έν τοῖς πρώτοις γε, ο Γοργία, λόγοις ελέγετο, ότι ή φητορική περί λόγους είη ού τούς του αρτίου καὶ περιττοῦ, ἀλλὰ τοὺς τοῦ δικαίου καὶ ἀδίκου. η γάρ; ΓΟΡ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Έγω τοίνυν σου τότε ταῦτα λέγοντος ὑπέλαβον, ὡς οὐδέποτ' ἄν είη ή όητορική άδικον πράγμα, δ γ' άεὶ περί δικαιοσύνης τους λόγους ποιείται · ἐπειδή δὲ ὀλίγον υστερον έλεγες, δτι ο δήτωρ τη δητορική καν άδίκως χρώτο, ούτω θαυμάσας καὶ ήγησάμενος 461 ού συνάδειν τα λεγόμενα έχείνους είπον τους λόγους, ὅτι, εἰ μὲν κέρδος ἡγοῖο εἶναι το ἐλέγγεσθαι, ώσπερ έγώ, άξιον είη διαλέγεσθαι, εί δε μή, έαν χαίρειν. υστερον δε ήμων επισκοπουμένων, όρας δη και αὐτός, δτι αὖ όμολογεῖται τὸν ἡητορικον αδύνατον είναι αδίκως χρησθαι τη δητορική και έθέλειν άδικειν. ταυτα ουν δπη ποτέ

Β έχει, μὰ τὸν κύνα, ὁ Γοργία, οὖκ ὀλίγης συνουσίας ἐστίν, ὅστε ἱκανῶς διασκέψασθαι.

CAP. XVI. ΠΩΔ. Τί δαί, ὁ Σώχρατες: ούτω και σύ περί της δητορικής δοξάζεις, ώσκερ νῦν λέγεις; η οἴει, ὅτι Γοργίας ήσχύνθη σοι μη προσομολογήσαι τον ξητορικόν άνδρα μή ούχὶ καὶ τὰ δίκαια εἰδέναι καὶ τὰ καλὰ καὶ τὰ άγαθά, καὶ ἐὰν μὴ ἔλθη ταῦτα είδως παρ' αὐτόν, C αὐτὸς διδάξειν — ἔπειτα ἐχ ταύτης ἴσως τῆς δμολογίας εναντίον τι συνέβη εν τοῖς λόγοις, τοῦθ' δ δή άγαπῷς, αὐτὸς άγαγῶν ἐπὶ τοιαῦτα έρωτήματα. έπεὶ τίνα οἴει ἀπαρνήσεσθαι μή ούχὶ καὶ αὐτὸν ἐπίστασθαι τὰ δίκαια καὶ ἄλλους διδάξειν; άλλ' είς τὰ τοιαῦτα άγειν πολλή άγροιχία έστὶ τους λόγους. ΣΩ. Ω χάλλιστε Πῶλε, ἀλλά τοι έξεπίτηδες ατώμεθα έταίρους καὶ υίεις, ίνα, έπειδαν αύτοι πρεσδύτεροι γιγνόμενοι σφαλλώμεθα, παρόντες ύμεῖς οἱ νεώτεροι ἐπα-D νορθοίτε ήμων τον βίον καὶ ἐν ἔργοις καὶ ἐν λόγοις. καὶ νῦν εἴ τι έγω καὶ Γοργίας έν τοῖς λόγοις σφαλλόμεθα, σύ παρών ἐπανόρθου · δίκαιος δ' εί. καὶ έγω ἐθέλω των ώμολογημένων εἴ τί σοι δοχεῖ μὴ χαλῶς ώμολογῆσθαι, ἀναθέσθαι ο τι αν συ βούλη, εάν μοι εν μόνον φυλάττης. ΠΩΛ. Τί τοῦτο λέγεις; ΣΩ. Τὴν μαπρολογίαν, & Πώλε, ην παθείρξης, η το πρώτον έπεχείρησας χρησθαι. ΠΩΔ. Τί δαί; οὐκ ἐξέ-Ε σται μοι λέγειν όπόσα αν βούλωμαι; ΣΩ. Δεινα μέντ' αν πάθοις, δ βέλτιστε, εὶ ᾿Αθήναζε αφικόμενος, οδ της Ελλάδος πλείστη έστιν έξου-

σία τοῦ λέγειν, ἔπειτα σὺ ἐνταῦθα τούτου μόνος άτυχήσαις. άλλα άντίθες τοι " Σου μαχρα λέγοντος καὶ μὴ ἐθέλοντος τὸ ἐρωτώμενον ἀποκρίνεσθαι, οὐ δεινα αν αι έγω πάθοιμι, εί μη έξέσται μοι ἀπιέναι καὶ μὴ ἀκούειν σου; " ἀλλ' εἴ 462 τι χήδει τοῦ λόγου τοῦ εἰρημένου καὶ ἐπανορθώσασθαι αὐτον βούλει, ώσπες νῦν δὴ ἔλεγον, αναθέμενος δ τι σοι δοχεῖ, ἐν τῷ μέρει ἐρωτῶν τε καὶ ἐρωτώμενος, ὥσπερ ἐγώ τε καὶ Γοργίας, έλεγχέ τε καὶ έλέγχου. φὴς γὰο δήπου καὶ σὺ ἐπίστασθαι ἄπεο Γοργίας. ἢ οὖ; ΠΩΛ. "Εγωγε. ΣΩ. Ούχοῦν χαὶ σὺ χελεύεις σαυτὸν έρωταν έχαστοτε ο τι αν τις βούληται, ως επιστάμενος ἀποχρίνεσθαι; ΠΩΛ. Πάνυ μεν οὖν. Β ΣΩ. Καὶ νῦν δη τούτων ὁπότερον βούλει ποίει. έρωτα, η αποχρίνου.

CAP. XVII. ΠΩΛ. 'Αλλὰ ποιήσω ταῦτα. καί μοι ἀπόκριναι, ὁ Σώκρατες. ἐπειδη Γοργίας ἀπορεῖν σοι δοκεῖ περὶ τῆς ἡπτορικῆς, σὰ αὐτὴν τίνα φὴς εἶναι; ΣΩ. 'Αρα ἐρωτῷς ῆντινα τέχνην φημὶ εἶναι; ΠΩΛ. Έγωγε. ΣΩ. Οὐδεμία ἔμοιγε δοκεῖ, ὁ Πῶλε, ὡς γε πρὸς σὲ τἀληθῆ εἰρῆσθαι. ΠΩΛ. 'Αλλὰ τί σοι δοκεῖ ἡ ἡπτορικὴ εἶναι; ΣΩ. Πρᾶγμα, ὁ φὴς σὰ ποιῆσαι τέχνην ἐν τῷ συγγράμματι, ὁ ἐγὼ ἔναγχος ἀνέγνων. ΠΩΛ. Τί τοῦτο λέγεις; ΣΩ. Έμ- απειρία ἔγωγέ τινα. ΠΩΛ. 'Εμπειρία ἀρα σοι δοκεῖ ἡ ἡπτορικὴ εἶναι; ΣΩ. "Εμοιγε, εἰ μή τι σὰ ἀλλο λέγεις. ΠΩΛ. Τίνος ἐμπειρία; ΣΩ. Χάριτός τινος καὶ ἡδονῆς ἀπεργασίας.

ΠΩΛ. Οὐκοῦν καλόν σοι δοκεῖ ή ξητορική είναι, χαρίζεσθαι οδόν τ' είναι άνθρώποις; $\Sigma \Omega$. Τί δέ, ὧ Πῶλε; ἢδη πέπυσαι παρ' ἐμοῦ ὅ τι D φημὶ αὐτὴν είναι, ώστε το μετά τοῦτο ἐρωτᾶς, εί οὐ καλή μοι δοκεῖ είναι; ΠΩΔ. Οὐ γὰρ πέπυσμαι, δτι έμπειρίαν τινά αύτην φης είναι; ΣΩ. Βούλει οὖν, ἐπειδὴ τιμῷς τὸ χαρίζεσθαι, σμιχρόν τί μοι χαρίσασθαι; ΠΩΛ. "Εγωγε. $\Sigma \Omega$. Έροῦ νῦν με, ὀψοποιία ήτις μοι δοχεῖ τέχνη είναι. ΠΩΔ. Έρωτω δή, τίς τέχνη όψοποιία; ΣΩ. Οὐδεμία, ὧ Πῶλε. ΠΩΔ. 'Αλλα τί; φάθι. ΣΩ. Φημὶ δή, ἐμπειρία τις. Ε ΠΩΔ. Τίνος; φάθι. ΣΩ. Φημὶ δή, χάριτος καὶ ήδονης ἀπεργασίας, δ Πῶλε. ΠΩΛ. Ταύτον δ' έστιν οψοποιία και δητορική; ΣΩ. Οὐδαμῶς γε, ἀλλὰ τῆς αὐτῆς ἐπιτηδεύσεως μόοιον. ΠΩΔ. Τίνος λέγεις ταύτης; ΣΩ. Μή άγροικότερον ή το άληθες είπεῖν οκνώ γάρ Γοργίου ένεκα λέγειν, μη οἴηταί με διακωμωδεῖν 463το ξαυτοῦ ἐπιτήδευμα. ἐγω δέ εἰ μὲν τοῦτό έστιν ή φητορική ήν Γοργίας έπιτηδεύει οὐκ οίδα · και γαρ άρτι έκ του λόγου οὐδεν ήμιν καταφανές έγένετο τί ποτε οδτος ήγειται · δ δ' έγω καλώ την δητορικήν, πράγματός τινός έστι μόριον οὐδενὸς τῶν καλῶν. ΓΟΡ. Τίνος, δ Σώχρατες ; εἰπέ, μηδεν ἐμε αἰσχυνθείς.

CAP. XVIII. ΣΩ. Δοκεῖ τοίνυν μοι, ἃ Γοργία, εἶναί τι ἐπιτήδευμα τεχνικον μὲν οὐ, ψυχῆς δὲ στοχαστικῆς καὶ ἀνδρείας καὶ φύσει δεινῆς Β προσομιλεῖν τοῖς ἀνθρώποις · καλῶ δὲ αὐτοῦ ἐγὼ

το χεφάλαιον χολαχείαν. ταύτης μοι δοχεί της ἐπιτηδεύσεως πολλά μεν καὶ άλλα μόρια είναι, εν δέ και ή όψοποιική · δ δοκεί μέν είναι τέχνη, ώς δὲ ὁ ἐμὸς λόγος, οὐκ ἔστι τέχνη, ἀλλ' ἐμπειρία χαὶ τριβή. ταύτης μόριον καὶ τὴν ἡητορικὴν ένω καλώ και τήν γε κομμωτικήν και τήν σοφιστικήν, τέτταρα ταῦτα μόρια ἐπὶ τέτταρσι πρά- C γμασιν. εί οὖν βούλεται Πῶλος πυνθάνεσθαι. πυνθανέσθω · οὐ γάρ πω πέπυσται δποῖόν φημι έγω της πολαπείας μόριον είναι την όητορικην άλλ' αὐτον λέληθα οὖπω ἀποκεκριμένος, ὁ δὲ έπανερωτά, εί ού καλον ήγουμαι είναι. έγω δε αὐτῷ οὐχ ἀποχρινοῦμαι πρότερον εἴτε χαλον εἴτε αλογρούν ήγουμαι είναι την όητορικήν, πρίν αν ποώτον αποχρίνωμαι δ τι έστίν. ού γαρ δίκαιον, 👼 Πώλε · άλλ' είπερ βούλει πυθέσθαι, έρώτα όποῖον μόριον της χολαχείας φημὶ είναι την οητορικήν. ΠΩΛ. Έρωτω δή, καὶ ἀπόκριναι, D όποῖον μόριον. ΣΩ. Αρ' οὖν ἄν μάθοις ἀποχριναμένου; ἔστι γὰρ ἡ ἡητορική κατά τὸν ἐμὸν λόγον πολιτικής μορίου είδωλον. ΠΩΔ. Τί οὖν; καλὸν ἢ αἰσχρὸν λέγεις αὐτὴν εἶναι; ΣΩ. Αίσχρον έγωγε · τα γαρ κακά αίσχρα καλώ · έπειδή δεί σοι αποχρίνασθαι, ώς ήδη είδότι α έγω λέγω. ΓΟΡ. Μα τον Δία, δ Σώχρατες, άλλ' έγω ούδε αὐτὸς συνίημι ὅ τι λέγεις. ΣΩ. Εἰχό- Ε τως γε, & Γοργία οὐδεν γάρ πω σαφες λέγω, Πώλος δὲ όδε νέος ἐστὶ καὶ όξύς. ΓΟΡ. 'Αλλά τούτον μεν έα, έμοι δ' είπε πως λέγεις πολιτικης μορίου είδωλον είναι την όητορικήν. ΣΩ.

'Αλλ' έγω πειράσομαι φράσαι ὅ γέ μοι φαίνεται εἶναι ἡ ἡητορική εἰ δὲ μὴ τυγχάνει ον τοῦτο, 464 Πῶλος ὅδε ἐλέγξει. σῶμά που καλεῖς τι καὶ ψυχήν; ΓΟΡ. Πῶς γὰρ οὖ; ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ τούτων οἴει τινὰ εἶναι ἐκατέρου εὐεξίαν; ΓΟΡ. "Εγωγε. ΣΩ. Τί δέ; δοκοῦσαν μὲν εὐεξίαν, οὖσαν δ' οὖ; οἶον τοιόνδε λέγω · πολλοὶ δοκοῦσιν εὖ ἔχειν τὰ σώματα, οῦς οὐκ ᾶν ἡ ἀπρός τε καὶ τῶν γυμναστικῶν τις. ΓΟΡ. 'Αληθῆ λέγεις. ΣΩ. Τὸ τοιοῦτον λέγω καὶ ἐν σώματι εἶναι καὶ ἐν ψυχῆ, ὅ τι ποιεῖ δοκεῖν μὲν Β εὖ ἔχειν τὸ σῶμα καὶ τὴν ψυχήν, ἔχει δὲ οὐδὲν μᾶλλον. ΓΟΡ. "Εστι ταῦτα.

CAP. XIX. ΣΩ. Φέρε δή σοι, ἐὰν δύνωμαι, σαφέστερον ἐπιδείξω δ λέγω. Δυοίν ὄντοιν τοίν πραγμάτοιν δύο λέγω τέχνας την μεν επί τή ψυχῆ πολιτικήν καλώ, την δε έπι σώματι μίαν μεν ούτως ονομάσαι ούχ έχω σοι, μιᾶς δε ούσης της του σώματος θεραπείας δύο μόρια λέγω, την μεν γυμναστικήν, την δε ιατρικήν. της δε πολιτικής αντίστροφον μεν τῆ γυμναστική την νομοθετικήν, αντίστροφον δὲ τῷ ἰατρικῷ τὴν δικαιο-C σύνην. ἐπιχοινωνοῦσι μὲν δὴ ἀλλήλαις, ἄτε περὶ το αὐτο οὖσαι, έχατεραι τούτων, η τε ἰατρική τῆ γυμναστική και ή δικαιοσύνη τη νομοθετική. ομως δε διαφέρουσί τι άλλήλων. τεττάρων δή τούτων οὐσῶν, καὶ ἀεὶ πρὸς τὸ βέλτιστον θεραπευουσών, των μεν τὸ σώμα, των δὲ τὴν ψυχήν, ή πολαπευτική αἰσθομένη, οὐ γνοῦσα λέγω άλλα

στοχασαμένη, τέτραχα έαυτην διανείμασα, ύποδύσα ύπο ξκαστον των μορίων, προσποιείται εί- D ναι τούτο, ὅπερ ὑπέδυ, καὶ του μεν βελτίστου ούδεν φροντίζει, τῷ δε ἀεὶ ἡδίστῷ θηρεύεται τὴν άνοιαν καὶ ἐξαπατῷ, ὢστε δοκεῖ πλείστου ἀξία είναι. ύπο μεν οὖν τὴν ἰατρικὴν ἡ ὀψοποιικὴ ύποδέδυκε, καὶ προσποιείται τὰ βέλτιστα σιτία τῶ σώματι είδέναι, ώστ', εὶ δέοι ἐν παισὶ διαγωνίζεσθαι όψοποιόν τε καὶ ἰατρον ή ἐν ἀνδράσιν ούτως ανοήτοις ωσπερ οί παίδες, πότερος έπαίει περί των χρηστων σιτίων και πονηρων, δ ίατρος η ὁ ὀψοποιός, λιμῷ αν ἀποθανεῖν τὸν ἰατρόν. Ε κολακείαν μεν οδν αὐτὸ καλώ, καὶ αἰσχρόν φημι είναι τὸ τοιούτον, ὧ Πῶλε, - τούτο γὰρ πρὸς σὲ 465 λέγω, - δτι τοῦ ήδέος στοχάζεται άνευ τοῦ βελτίστου · τέχνην δε αὐτην οὐ φημι είναι, άλλ' έμπειρίαν, δτι ούκ έχει λόγον ούδένα & προσφέρει όποι, άττα την φύσιν έστίν, ώστε την αίτίαν έχάστου μη έχειν είπεῖν. έγω δὲ τέχνην ού καλώ δ αν ή άλογον πράγμα. τούτων δὲ πέρι εὶ ἀμφισδητεῖς, ἐθέλω ὑποσχεῖν λόγον.

CAP. XX. Τη μεν οδν λατρική, ωσπερ λέγω, ή όψοποιική κολακεία ύπόκειται · τη δε γυμνα- Β στική κατά τον αὐτον τρόπον τοῦτον ή κομμωτική, κακοῦργός τε οὖσα καὶ ἀπατηλή καὶ ἀγεννής καὶ ἀνελεύθερος, σχήμασι καὶ χρώμασι καὶ λειότησι καὶ ἐσθήσει ἀπατώσα, ώστε ποιεῖν ἀλλότριον κάλλος ἐφελκομένους τοῦ οἰκείου τοῦ διὰ της γυμναστικής ἀμελεῖν. ἵν' οὖν μὴ μακρολογώ, ἐθέλω σοι εἰπεῖν ώσπερ οἱ γεωμέτραι — ἤδη

γαρ αν ίσως απολουθήσαις — ότι δ πομμωτική C προς γυμναστικήν, τοῦτο όψοποιική προς ίατρικήν. μαλλον δε ώδε, ὅτι ὁ κομμωτική προς γυμναστικήν, τοῦτο σοφιστική προς νομοθετικήν, καὶ ὅτι ὁ οψοποιική πρὸς ἰατρικήν, τοῦτο ἡητορική προς δικαιοσύνην. ὅπερ μέντοι λέγω, διέστηκε μέν ουτω φύσει ατε δ' έγγυς όντων φύρονται έν τῷ αὐτῷ καὶ περὶ ταὐτὰ σοφισταὶ καὶ ξήτορες, και οὐκ ἔχουσιν ο τι χρήσονται οὖτε αὐτοὶ ξαυτοῖς οὖτε οἱ ἄλλοι ἄνθρωποι τούτοις. D καὶ γὰρ ἄν, εἰ μὴ ἡ ψυχὴ τῷ σώματι ἐπεστάτει, άλλ' αὐτὸ αύτῷ, καὶ μὴ ὑπὸ ταύτης κατεθεωρεῖτο και διεκρίνετο ή τε όψοποιική και ή ιατρική, άλλ' αὐτὸ τὸ σῶμα ἔχρινε σταθμώμενον ταῖs χάρισι ταις προς αὐτό, τὸ τοῦ Αναξαγόρου αν πολύ ήν, & φίλε Πώλε - σύ γὰρ τούτων ἔμπειgos · — όμοῦ ἀν πάντα χρήματα ἐφύρετο ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ, ἀχρίτων ὄντων τῶν τε ἰατριχῶν χαὶ ὑγιεινῶν καὶ ὀψοποιικῶν. δ μεν οδν έγω φημι τὴν δητορικήν είναι, ακήκοας · αντίστροφον όψοποι- · Είας εν ψυχη, ώς εκείνο εν σώματι. "Ισως μεν οὖν ἀτοπον πεποίηχα, ὅτι σε οὐκ ἐῶν μαχροὺς λόγους λέγειν αὐτὸς συχνὸν λόγον ἀποτέτακα. άξιον μεν οὖν έμοὶ συγγνώμην ἔχειν ἐστί · λέγοντος γάρ μου βραγέα ούχ ἐμάνθανες, οὐδὲ χρησθαι τη ἀποχρίσει ην σοι ἀπεκρινάμην οὐδεν 466 οδός τ' ήσθα, άλλ' έδέου διηγήσεως. έαν μεν οὖν καὶ ἐγῶ, σοῦ ἀποκρινομένου, μὴ ἔχω ὅ τι χρήσωμαι, απότεινε καὶ σὺ λόγον, ἐαν δὲ ἔχω,

ξα με χοῆσθαι· δίκαιον γάς. καὶ νῦν ταύτη τῆ ἀποκρίσει εἴ τι ἔχεις χοῆσθαι, χοῶ.

CAP. XXI. ΠΩΛ. Τί οὖν φής; κολακεία δοχεί σοι είναι ή δητορική; ΣΩ. Κολαχείας μεν οὖν ἔγωγε εἶπον μόριον. ἀλλ' οὐ μνημονεύεις τηλικούτος ών, & Πώλε; τί τάχα δράσεις [πρεσβύτης γενόμενος]; ΠΩΛ. Αρ' οὖν δοκοῦσί σοι ώς χόλαχες έν ταῖς πόλεσι φαῦλοι νομίζε-Β σθαι οἱ ἀγαθοὶ ὁήτορες; ΣΩ. Ἐρώτημα τοῦτ' έρωτῷς, ἢ λόγου τινὸς ἀρχὴν λέγεις; ΠΩΛ. Έρωτω έγωγε. ΣΩ. Οὐδὲ νομίζεσθαι ἔμοιγε δοχούσι. ΠΩΛ. Πῶς οὐ νομίζεσθαι; οὐ μέγιστον δύνανται έν ταις πόλεσιν; ΣΩ. Ούκ, εί το δύνασθαί γε λέγεις αγαθόν τι είναι τῷ δυναμένφ. ΠΩΛ. 'Αλλὰ μὲν δη λέγω γε. ΣΩ. ^{2}E λάχιστον τοίνυν μοι δοχοῦσι τῶν ἐν τῷ πόλει $^{\mathrm{C}}$ δύνασθαι οἱ δήτορες. ΠΩΛ. Τί δέ; οὐχ, ωσπερ οί τύραννοι, αποχτιννύασί τε δν αν βούλωνται, καὶ ἀφαιρούνται χρήματα καὶ ἐκβάλλουσιν ΄ ἐχ τῶν πόλεων ὃν ἂν δοκῷ αὐτοῖς; $oldsymbol{\Sigma} oldsymbol{\Omega}$. $N \hat{oldsymbol{\eta}}$ τον χύνα, αμφιγνοω μέντοι, ω Πωλε, έφ' έχάστου ων λέγεις, πότερον αὐτὸς ταῦτα λέγεις καὶ γνώμην σαυτοῦ ἀποφαίνει, ἢ ἐμὲ ἐρωτῷς. $II\Omega extstyle extstyle$ 'Αλλ' ἔγωγε σὲ ἐρωτῶ. ΣΩ. Εἶεν, ὧ φίλε· ἔπειτα δύο ἄμα με ἐρωτᾶς; ΠΩΔ. Πῶς δύο; ΣΩ. Οὐχ ἄρτι οὖτω πως ἔλεγες, ὅτι ἀποχτιν- D νύασιν οἱ ξήτορες οθς αν βούλωνται, ωσπερ οἱ τύραννοι, καὶ χρήματα ἀφαιροῦνται καὶ ἐξελαύνουσιν έχ τῶν πόλεων δν αν δοχή αὐτοῖς; ΠΩΔ. "Εγωγε.

CAP. XXII. ΣΩ. Δέγω τοίνυν σοι, δτι δύο ταῦτ' ἐστὶ τὰ ἐρωτήματα, καὶ ἀποκρινοῦμαί γέ σοι προς αμφότερα. φημί γάρ, δ Πωλε, έγω καὶ τους δήτορας καὶ τους τυράννους δύνασθαι Ε μεν εν ταις πόλεσι σμιχρότατον, ωσπερ νυν δή έλεγον · οὐδεν γὰρ ποιεῖν ὧν βούλονται, ώς ἔπος είπειν · ποιειν μέντοι ο τι αν αύτοις δόξη βέλτιστον είναι. $\Pi \Omega \Lambda$. Οὐχοῦν τοῦτό ἐστι τὸ μέγα δύνασθαι; ΣΩ. Οὔχ, ως γέ φησι Πωλος. ΠΩΛ. Έγω ου φημι; φημί μεν ουν έγωγε. ΣΩ. Μα τον — ού σύ γε, έπεὶ το μέγα δύνασθαι ἔφης ἀγαθον είναι τῷ δυναμένφ. ΠΩ Δ. Φημὶ γάο οδν. ΣΩ. Αγαθον οδν οἴει είναι, έαν τις ποιή ταύτα, α αν δοκή αὐτῷ βέλτιστα είναι, νοῦν μὴ ἔχων; καὶ τοῦτο καλεῖς μέγα δύνασθαι; ΠΩΛ. Οὐκ ἔγωγε. ΣΩ, Οὐκοῦν ἀποδείξεις τους δήτορας νοῦν ἔχοντας καὶ τέχνην εστην δητορικήν, αλλα μη κολακείαν, έμε εξελέγξας; εὶ δέ με ἐάσεις ἀνέλεγκτον, οἱ ὁήτορες οἱ ποιούντες έν ταις πόλεσιν α δοχει αύτοις χαι οί τύραννοι οὐδὲν ἀγαθὸν τοῦτο κεκτήσονται, εἰ δὴ δύναμίς έστιν, ώς συ φής, άγαθόν, το δε ποιείν άνευ νου α δοκεί και σύ όμολογείς κακόν είναι. η ου; ΠΩΔ. "Εγωγε. ΣΩ. Πως ων ουν οι δήτορες μέγα δύναιντο η οί τύραννοι έν ταις πόλεσιν, έαν μη Σωχράτης έξελεγχθή ύπο Πώ-Β λου, ὅτι ποιοῦσιν ἃ βούλονται; ΠΩΛ. Οὖτος ανής — ΣΩ. Οὔ φημι ποιεῖν αὐτοὺς αϊ βούλονται · άλλά μ' έλεγχε. ΠΩΔ. Οὐκ ἄρτι ώμολόγεις ποιείν α δοχεί αύτοις βέλτιστα είναι,

τούτου πρόσθεν; ΣΩ. Καὶ γὰρ νῦν ὁμολογῶ. ΠΩΛ. Οὐκοῦν ποιοῦσιν ἃ βούλονται. ΣΩ. Οὔ φημι. ΠΩΛ. Ποιοῦντες δὲ ἃ δοκεῖ αὐτοῖς; ΣΩ. Φημί. ΠΩΛ. Σχέτλια λέγεις καὶ ὑπερφυῆ, ὧ Σώκρατες. ΣΩ. Μὴ κατηγόρει, ὧ λῷστε Πῶλε, ἵνα προσείπω σε κατὰ σέ · ἀλλ' εἰ μὲν C ἔχεις ἐμὲ ἐρωτᾶν, ἐπίδειξον, ὅτι ψεύδομαι, εἰ δὲ μή, αὐτὸς ἀποκρίνου. ΠΩΛ. ᾿Αλλ' ἐθέλω ἀποκρίνεσθαι, ἵνα καὶ εἰδῶ ὅ τι λέγεις.

CAP. XXIII. ΣΩ. Πότερον οὖν σοι δοχοῦσιν οἱ ἄνθρωποι τοῦτο βούλεσθαι, δ ἄν πράττωσιν έχάστοτε, η έχεῖνο, οδ ένεχα πράττουσι τοῦθ', δ πράττουσιν; οίον οί τὰ φάρμαχα πίνοντες παρά τῶν ἰατρῶν πότερόν σοι δοχοῦσι τοῦτο βούλεσθαι, ὅπερ ποιοῦσι, πίνειν τὸ φάρμαχον D καὶ ἀλγεῖν, ἢ ἐκεῖνο, τὸ ὑγιαίνειν, οδ ἕνεκα πίνουσι; ΠΩΛ. Δηλον, ότι τὸ ὑχιαίνειν, οὖ ἕνεχα πίνουσιν. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν χαὶ οἱ πλέοντές τε καὶ τον ἄλλον χρηματισμον χρηματιζόμενοι οὐ τοῦτό ἐστιν δ βούλονται, δ ποιοῦσιν ἑχάστοτε · τίς γαρ βούλεται πλεῖν τε χαὶ χινδυνεύειν χαὶ πράγματ' έχειν; άλλ' έχεινο, οίμαι, οδ ενεχα πλέουσι, πλουτεῖν · πλούτου γὰρ ἕνεκα πλέουσι. $\Pi\Omega A$. Π άνυ $\gamma \varepsilon$. $\Sigma \Omega$. *A λλο τι οὖν οὖτω καὶ περὶ πάντων; ἐάν τίς τι πράττη ἕνεκά του, ού τούτο βούλεται, δ πράττει, άλλ' έχεῖνο, οδ ένεχα πράττει. $\Pi\Omega A$. Nαί. $\Sigma\Omega$. 3A ρ' οὖν 3 Ε ἔστι τι τῶν ὄντων, δ οὐχὶ ἤτοι ἀγαθόν γ' ἐστὶν η κακόν η μεταξύ τούτων, οὔτε άγαθόν οὔτε κακόν; ΠΩΛ. Πολλή ἀνάγκη, ἇ Σώκρατες.

΄ ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν λέγεις εἶναι ἀγαθὸν μὲν σοφίαν τε καὶ ύγίειαν καὶ πλούτον καὶ τάλλα τὰ τοιαῦτα, κακὰ δὲ τὰναντία τούτων; ΠΩΛ. "Εγωγε. ΣΩ. Τὰ δὲ μήτε ἀγαθὰ μήτε κακὰ ἄρα 468 τοιάδε λέγεις, & ενίστε μεν μετέχει του άγαθου, ένίστε δε του κακού, ένίστε δε ούδετέρου, οίον καθησθαι καὶ βαδίζειν καὶ τρέχειν καὶ πλεῖν, καὶ οίον αὖ λίθους καὶ ξύλα καὶ τάλλα τὰ τοιαῦτα; οὐ ταῦτα λέγεις; ἢ ἄλλ' ἄττα καλεῖς τὰ μήτε ἀγαθὰ μήτε κακά; ΠΩΔ. Οὖκ, ἀλλὰ ταῦτα. $\Sigma \Omega$. Πότερον οὖν τὰ μεταξύ ταῦτα ενεκεν των άγαθων πράττουσιν, όταν πράττωσιν, ἢ τάγαθὰ τῶν μεταξύ; ΠΩΛ. Τὰ μεταξύ δή-Β που των άγαθων. ΣΩ. Τὸ άγαθὸν άρα διώχοντες χαὶ βαδίζομεν, δταν βαδίζωμεν, οἰόμενοι βέλτιον είναι, καὶ τὸ ἐναντίον ἔσταμεν, ὅταν έστωμεν, του αὐτου ενεκα, του άγαθου. η ου; $\Pi\Omega\Lambda$. Naí. $\Sigma\Omega$. Οὐχοῦν χαὶ ἀποχτίννυμεν, εἴ τιν' ἀποχτίννυμεν, καὶ ἐκβάλλομεν καὶ ἀφαιοούμεθα χρήματα, οἰόμενοι ἀμεινον είναι ἡμῖν ταῦτα ποιεῖν ἢ μή; $\Pi\Omega\Lambda$. Πάνυ γε. $\Sigma\Omega$. $^{f c}E$ νεχ' ἄρα τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ ἄπαντα ταῦτα ποιοῦσι ${f v}$ οί ποιουντες. ΠΩ Δ. Φημί.

CAP. XXIV. ΣΩ. Οὖκοῦν ὡμολογήσαμεν, ἃ ^C ἔνεκά του ποιοῦμεν, μὴ ἐκεῖνα βούλεσθαι, ἀλλ' ἐκεῖνο, οὖ ἕνεκα ταῦτα ποιοῦμεν; ΠΩΛ. Μάλιστα. ΣΩ. Οὖκ ἄρα σφάττειν βουλόμεθα οὖδ' ἐκβάλλειν ἐκ τῶν πόλεων οὖδὲ χρήματα ἀφαιρεῖσθαι ἁπλῶς οὕτως, ἀλλ' ἐὰν μὲν ἀφέλιμα ἤ ταῦτα, βουλόμεθα πράττειν αὐτά, βλαβερὰ δὲ

όντα οὐ βουλόμεθα. τὰ γὰρ ἀγαθὰ βουλόμεθα, ώς φης σύ, τα δε μήτε αγαθα μήτε κακα ού βουλόμεθα, οὐδὲ τὰ κακά. ἦ γάος; ἀληθῆ σοι δοκῶ λέγειν, ὧ Πώλε, ἢ οὐ; Τί οὐκ ἀποκρίνει; D $\Pi\Omega\Lambda$. Αληθή. $\Sigma\Omega$. Οὐκοῦν εἴπες ταῦτα ὁμολογουμεν, εί τις αποκτείνει τινα ή έκβαλλει έκ πόλεως ἢ ἀφαιρεῖται χρήματα, εἶτε τύραννος ὢν εἴτε δήτωρ, οἰόμενος ἄμεινον εἶναι αὐτῷ, τυγχάνει δὲ ὂν κάκιον, οὖτος δήπου ποιεῖ ἃ δοκεῖ αὐτῷ. ἢ γά ϱ ; $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. Nαί. $\Sigma\Omega$. ${}^{3}\Lambda\varrho$, οὖν καὶ ἃ βούλεται, εἴπερ τυγχάνει ταῦτα κακὰ όντα; Τί οὐχ ἀποχρίνει; ΠΩΛ. 'Αλλ' οὔ μοι δοκεῖ ποιεῖν ἃ βούλεται. ΣΩ. "Εστιν οὖν δπως ὁ τοιοῦτος μέγα δύναται έν τῆ πόλει ταύ- Ε τη, εἴπερ ἐστὶ τὸ μέγα δύνασθαι ἀγαθόν τι κατὰ την σην όμολογίαν ; $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. Οὐκ ἔστιν. $\Sigma\Omega$. ' Αληθη άρα έγω ελεγον, λέγων, δτι έστιν άνθρωπον ποιούντα έν πόλει α δοχεί αὐτῷ μὴ μέγα δύνασθαι μηδὲ ποιεῖν $\hat{\alpha}$ βούλεται. $\Pi\Omega\Lambda$. Ω s δή σύ, & Σώχρατες, ούχ αν δέξαιο έξειναί σοι ποιεῖν ὅ τι δοχεῖ σοι ἐν τῆ πόλει μᾶλλον ἢ μή, ούδὲ ζηλοῖς, ὅταν ἴδης τινὰ ἢ ἀποχτείναντα ὃν έδοξεν αὐτῷ ἢ ἀφελόμενον χοήματα ἢ δήσαντα. $\Sigma \Omega$. Δικαίως, λέγεις, η αδίκως; $\Pi \Omega \Lambda$. Όπότες' αν ποιῆ, οὐκ αμφοτέρως ζηλωτόν ἐστιν ; 469 ΣΩ. Εὐφήμει, ὁ Πῶλε. ΠΩΛ. Τί δή; ΣΩ. "Οτι οὐ χρη οὐτε τοὺς ἀζηλώτους ζηλοῦν οὐτε τους άθλίους, άλλ' έλεεῖν. ΠΩΛ. Τί δαί; ουτω σοι δοχεῖ ἔχειν περί ὧν έγω λέγω τῶν ἀνθρώπων; ΣΩ. Πως γάρ οὖ; ΠΩΛ. Θοτις

οδν αποκτίννυσιν δν αν δόξη αὐτῷ, δικαίως ἀπο-**ΧΤΙΝΝύς, ἄθλιος δοχεῖ σοι εἶναι χαὶ ἐλεεινός**; $\Sigma\Omega$. Οὐχ ἔμοιγε, οὐδὲ μέντοι ζηλωτός. $\Pi\Omega\Lambda$. Β Ούχ ἄρτι ἄθλιον ἔφησθα είναι; ΣΩ. Τὸν ἀδίχως γε, ω έταιρε, αποχτείναντα, χαὶ έλεεινόν γε πρός τον δε δικαίως άζήλωτον. $\Pi\Omega\Lambda$. ^{5}H που ο γε αποθνήσκων αδίκως έλεεινός τε καὶ $\mathring{a}\theta\lambda$ ιός έστιν. $\Sigma\Omega$. ΤΗττον $\mathring{\eta}$ \mathring{b} \mathring{a} ποχτιννύς, δ Πωλε, και ήττον η ό δικαίως αποθνήσκων. ΠΩΛ. Πῶς δητα, ἆ Σώκρατες; ΣΩ. Οὖτως, ώς μέγιστον των κακών τυγχάνει ον το άδικείν. $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. ^{3}H γὰρ τοῦτο μέγιστον ; οὐ τὸ ἀδιχεῖσθαι μείζον; ΣΩ. "Ηχιστά γε. ΠΩΔ. Σὺ άρα βούλοιο αν άδικεῖσθαι μαλλον ή άδικεῖν; C ΣΩ. Βουλοίμην μεν αν έγωγε οὐδέτερα · εί δ' αναγχαῖον είη αδιχεῖν η αδιχεῖσθαι, έλοίμην αν μαλλον άδιχεῖσθαι η άδιχεῖν. ΠΩΛ. Σὺ ἄρα τυραννεῖν οὐχ ἂν δέξαιο ; $oldsymbol{\Sigma} oldsymbol{\Omega}$. Οὖχ, εὶ τὸ τυραννείν γε λέγεις δπερ έγω. ΠΩΔ. 'Αλλ' έγωγε τοῦτο λέγω, ὅπερ ἄρτι, ἐξεῖναι ἐν τῆ πόλει, δ αν δοκή αὐτῷ, ποιείν τοῦτο, καὶ ἀποκτιννύντι καὶ ἐκδάλλοντι καὶ πάντα πράττοντι κατά την αύτοῦ δόξαν.

CAP. XXV. ΣΩ. ΔΩ μαχάριε, εμοῦ δη λέD γοντος τῷ λόγῳ ἐπιλαβοῦ. εἰ γὰρ ἐγὰ ἐν ἀγορᾳ πληθούση λαβών ὑπὸ μάλης ἐγχειρίδιον λέγοιμι πρὸς σὲ, ὅτι ΔΩ Πῶλε, ἐμοὶ δύναμίς τις καὶ
τυραννὶς θαυμασία ἄρτι προσγέγονεν ἐὰν γὰρ
ἄρα ἐμοὶ δόξη τινὰ τουτωνὶ τῶν ἀνθρώπων ὧν
σὺ ὁρᾳς αὐτίκα μάλα δεῖν τεθνάναι, τεθνήξει

οδτος, δν αν δόξη καν τινα δόξη μοι της κεφαλης αὐτῶν κατεαγέναι δεῖν, κατεαγώς ἔσται αὐτίκα μάλα, καν θοιμάτιον διεσχίσθαι, διεσχισμένον ἔσται· ούτω μέγα έγω δύναμαι έν τῆδε τῆ Ε πόλει εί οὖν ἀπιστοῦντί σοι δείξαιμι το ἐγχειρίδιον, ἴσως ἂν εἴποις ιδών, ὅτι $^{5}\Omega$ Σώκρατες, ουτω μεν πάντες αν μέγα δύναιντο, επεί χαν έμποησθείη οἰχία τούτφ τῷ τρόπφ ἢντιν' ἄν σοι δοχῦ, χαὶ τά γε 'Αθηναίων νεώρια χαὶ τριήρεις καὶ τὰ πλοῖα πάντα καὶ τὰ δημόσια καὶ τὰ ἴδια. άλλ' οὐκ ἄρα τοῦτ' ἔστι τὸ μέγα δύνασθαι, τὸ ποιεῖν $\hat{\alpha}$ δοχεῖ α ὐτ $\tilde{\omega}$. $\hat{\eta}$ δοχεῖ σοι ; $\Pi\Omega extstyle extstyl$ δήτα ούτω γε. ΣΩ. Έχεις ούν είπεῖν, διότι μέμφει την τοιαύτην δύναμιν; ΠΩΛ. Εγωγε. $\Sigma \Omega$. Τί δή; λέγε. $\Pi \Omega \Lambda$. Οτι αναγκαῖον τον ουτω πράττοντα ζημιουσθαί έστι. ΣΩ. Τὸ δὲ ζημιοῦσθαι οὐ χαχόν ; $~\Pi\Omega arDelta.~\Pi$ άνυ γ ε. $~\Sigma\Omega.$ Ούχοῦν, & θαυμάσιε, τὸ μέγα δύνασθαι πάλιν αὖ σοι φαίνεται, ἐὰν μὲν πράττοντι ἃ δοκεῖ Επηται τὸ ἀφελίμως πράττειν, ἀχαθόν τε είναι, χαὶ τοῦτο, ώς ἔοιχεν, ἐστὶ τὸ μέγα δύνασθαι εἰ δὲ Β μή, κακον και σμικρον δύνασθαι. Σκεψώμεθα δε και τόδε. άλλο τι δμολογοῦμεν ἐνίοτε μεν άμεινον είναι ταυτα ποιείν, α νυν δή ελέγομεν, άποχτιννύναι τε καὶ ἐξελαύνειν ἀνθρώπους καὶ ἀφαιρεῖσθαι χρήματα, ἐνίστε δὲ οὖ; ΠΩΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Τοῦτο μεν δή, ώς ἔοικε, καὶ παρά σου και παρ' έμου όμολογείται. ΠΩ 1. Ναί. ΣΩ. Πότε οὖν σὺ φὴς ἄμεινον εἶναι ταῦτα ποιείν; είπε τίνα δρον δρίζει. ΠΩΛ. Σύ

μεν οὖν, & Σώχρατες, ἀπόχριναι ταὐτὸ τοῦτο. C ΣΩ. Ἐγῶ μεν τοίνυν φημί, & Πῶλε, εἴ σοι παρ' ἐμοῦ ἤδιόν ἐστιν ἀχούειν, ὅταν μεν διχαίως τις ταῦτα ποιῷ, ἄμεινον εἴναι, ὅταν δὲ ἀδίχως, χάχιον.

CAP. XXVI. ΠΩΛ. Χαλεπόν γέ σε έλέγξαι, ο Σώχρατες · άλλ' ούχὶ κάν παίς σε έλέγξειεν, ότι ούχ άληθη λέγεις; ΣΩ. Πολλήν άρα έγω τῷ παιδὶ γάριν έξω, ἴσην δὲ καὶ σοί, ἐάν με ἐλέγξης καὶ ἀπαλλάξης φλυαρίας. ἀλλὰ μὴ κάμης φίλον ἄνδοα εὐεργετών, άλλ' ἔλεγχε. ΠΩΔ. D' Αλλα μήν, & Σώκρατες, οὐδέν γέ σε δεῖ παλαιοίς πράγμασιν έλέγχειν τα γαρ έχθες καί πρώην γεγονότα ταΰτα ίκανά σε έξελέγξαι έστὶ 🦈 καὶ ἀποδεῖξαι, ὡς πολλοὶ ἀδικοῦντες ἀνθρωποι εὐδαίμονές εἰσι. ΣΩ. Τὰ ποῖα ταῦτα; ΠΩΔ. 'Αρχέλαον δήπου τοῦτον τον Περδίκκου όρῷς άρχοντα Μακεδονίας; ΣΩ. Εὶ δὲ μή, άλλ' ακούω γε. ΠΩΛ. Εὐδαίμων οὖν σοι δοκεῖ είναι ἢ ἄθλιος; ΣΩ. Οὐχ οίδα, ὧ Πῶλε· οὐ γάο πω συγγέγονα τῷ ἀνδοί. ΠΩΔ. Τί δαί; Ε συγγενόμενος αν γνοίης, αλλως δε αθτόθεν οθ γιγνώσκεις ότι εὐδαιμονεί; ΣΩ. Μὰ Δί' οὐ δήτα. ΠΩΔ. Δήλον δή, & Σώκρατες, δτι ούδὲ τον μέγαν βασιλέα γιγνώσκειν φήσεις εθδαίμονα όντα. ΣΩ. Καὶ άληθη γε έρω ού γὰρ οίδα παιδείας δπως έχει καὶ δικαιοσύνης. ΠΩΔ. Τί δέ; εν τούτφ ή πασα εὐδαιμονία εστίν; ΣΩ. "Ως γε έγω λέγω, ὅ Πωλε· τον μεν γάο καλον κάγαθον άνδρα και γυναϊκα εὐδαίμονα είναί

φημι, τον δε άδικον και πονηφον άθλιον. ΠΩ Δ. 471 "Αθλιος ἄρα οὖτός ἐστιν ὁ ᾿Αρχέλαος κατὰ τὸν σον λόγον; ΣΩ. Εἴπεο γε, & φίλε, άδικος. ΠΩΔ. 'Αλλά μεν δή πως ούκ άδικος; ῷ γε προσημε μεν της ἀρχης οὐδέν, ην νῦν ἔχει, ὅντι έχ γυναικός, η ήν δούλη 'Αλκέτου, του Περδίκχου άδελφοῦ, χαὶ χατὰ μὲν τὸ δίχαιον δοῦλος ην 'Αλκέτου, καὶ εἰ ἐβούλετο τὰ δίκαια ποιεῖν. έδούλευεν αν 'Αλκέτη και ην εὐδαίμων κατα τον σον λόγον · νῦν δὲ θαυμασίως ώς ἄθλιος γέγονεν, Β έπεὶ τὰ μέγιστα ἠδίκηκεν ' δς γε πρώτον μέν τούτον αὐτὸν τὸν δεσπότην καὶ θεῖον μεταπεμψάμενος ώς ἀποδώσων την ἀρχήν, ην Περδίχκας αύτον αφείλετο, ξενίσας και καταμεθύσας αὐτόν τε καὶ τον υίον αὐτοῦ ᾿Αλέξανδρον, ἀνεψιον αύτου, σχεδον ήλικιώτην, εμβαλών είς αμαξαν, νύκτως έξαγαγών απέσφαξέ τε καὶ ἡφάνισεν άμφοτέρους, καὶ ταῦτα άδικήσας ελαθεν έαυτὸν άθλιώτατος γενόμενος καὶ οὐ μετεμέλησεν αὐτῷ, άλλ' όλίγον υστερον τον άδελφον τον γνήσιον, C τον Πεοδίκκου υίον, παϊδα ώς έπταετη, οδ ή άρχη εγίγνετο κατά το δίκαιον, ούκ εβουλήθη εὐδαίμων γενέσθαι δικαίως ἐκθρέψας καὶ ἀποδους την ἀρχην ἐκείνω, ἀλλ' εἰς φρέαρ ἐμβαλων αποπνίξας προς την μητέρα αυτου Κλεοπάτραν χήνα έφη διώχοντα έμπεσείν χαὶ ἀποθανείν. τοιγάρτοι νῦν, ἄτε μέγιστα ήδικηκώς τῶν ἐν Μακεδονία, αθλιώτατός έστι πάντων Μακεδόνων, άλλ' ούχ εύδαιμονέστατος, χαὶ ἴσως ἔστιν ὅστις ² Αθηναίων ἀπὸ σοῦ ἀρξάμενος δέξαιτ' αν άλλος

D όστισο το Μακεδόνων γενέσθαι μαλλον η 'Αφχέλαος.

CAP. XXVII. ΣΩ. Καὶ κατ' ἀρχὰς τῶν λόγων, ὧ Πῶλε, ἔγωγέ σε ἐπήνεσα, ὅτι μοι δοχεῖς εὖ πρὸς τὴν ἡητορικὴν πεπαιδεῦσθαι, τοῦ δὲ διαλέγεσθαι ημεληχέναι· χαὶ νῦν ἄλλο τι οδτός έστιν ο λόγος, δ με και αν παις έξελέγξειε, και έγω ύπο σου νυν, ώς συ οἴει, έξελήλεγμαι τούτω τῷ λόγῳ, φάσκων τὸν ἀδικοῦντα οὐκ εὐδαίμονα είναι; πόθεν, & 'γαθέ; και μην οὐδέν γέ σοι τούτων όμολογω ων σύ φής. ΠΩΛ. Οὐ γὰο ἐθέ-Ε λεις, έπεὶ δοχεῖ γέ σοι ώς έγω λέγω. ΣΩ. 3Ω μακάριε, δητορικώς γάρ με έπιχειρείς έλέγχειν, ωσπερ οἱ ἐν τοῖς δικαστηρίοις ἡγούμενοι ἐλέγχειν. και γαρ έκει οι ετεροι τους έτέρους δοκουσιν έλέγχειν, έπειδαν των λόγων ων αν λέγωσι μάρτυρας πολλούς παρέχωνται και εύδοκίμους, ό δε τάναντία λέγων ενα τινά παρέχηται, ή μηδένα. ούτος δε ό έλεγχος ούδενος άξιός έστι προς την 472 άλήθειαν · ένίοτε γαρ αν και καταψευδομαρτυοηθείη τις ύπο πολλών και δοκούντων είναί τι. χαὶ νῦν περὶ ὧν σὺ λέγεις ὀλίγου σοι πάντες συμφήσουσι ταυτα 'Αθηναιοι και ξένοι · έαν βούλη κατ' έμου μάρτυρας παρασχέσθαι ώς ούχ αληθη λέγω, μαρτυρήσουσί σοι, έαν μεν βούλη, Νικίας ὁ Νικηράτου καὶ οἱ ἀδελφοὶ μετ' αὐτοῦ, ὧν οἱ τρίποδες οἱ ἐφεξῆς ἐστῶτές εἰσιν ἐν τῷ Διονυσίω, ἐὰν δὲ βούλη, 'Αριστοχράτης ὁ Β Σχελλίου, οδ αδ ἔστιν ἐν Πυθίου τοῦτο τὸ χαλον ανάθημα, εαν δε βούλη, ή Περικλέους όλη

ολχία, η άλλη συγγένεια, ηντινα αν βούλη των ένθένδε έκλέξασθαι. άλλ' έγώ σοι είς ἂν ούχ όμολογώ · οὐ γάο με σὺ ἀναγκάζεις, ἀλλὰ ψευδομάρτυρας κατ' έμου πολλούς παρασχόμενος έπιχειρείς έχβάλλειν με έχ της οὐσίας καὶ τοῦ άληθους. έγω δε αν μή σε αυτον ενα όντα μάρτυρα παράσχωμαι όμολογούντα περί ών λέγω, ούδεν οίμαι άξιον λόγου μοι πεπεράνθαι περί ών αν ήμιν ο λόγος ή· οί ιαι δε ούδε σοί, εαν μή C έγω σοι μαρτυρώ είς ων μόνος, τους δ' άλλους πάντας τούτους χαίρειν έας. Εστι μεν ούν ούτός τις τρόπος ελέγχου, ώς σύ τε οίει καὶ ἄλλοι πολλοί· ἔστι δὲ καὶ ἄλλος, ὃν ἐγω αὖ οίμαι. παραβαλόντες οὖν παρ' ἀλλήλους σκεψώμεθα εί τι διοίσουσιν άλλήλων. καὶ γὰο τυγγάνει περί ών αμφισβητούμεν ού πάνυ σμικρα όντα, άλλα σχεδόν τι ταυτα, περί ών είδέναι τε χάλλιστον, μη είδέναι τε αΐσχιστον · τὸ γὰρ κεφάλαιον αὐτῶν ἐστιν ἢ γιγνώσκειν ἢ ἀγνοεῖν ὅστις τε εὐδαίμων έστι και δστις μή. αὐτίκα πρώτον, περί D οδ νῦν ὁ λόγος ἐστί, σὸ ἡγεῖ οἶόν τε εἶναι μαχάοιον ἄνδρα ἀδιχοῦντά τε χαὶ ἀδιχον ὄντα, εἴπερ ' Αρχέλαον άδικον μεν ήγεῖ είναι, εὐδαίμονα δέ. άλλο τι ώς ούτω σου νομίζοντος διανοώμεθα: ΠΩΔ. Πάνυ γε.

CAP. XXVIII. $\Sigma\Omega$. Έγω δέ φημι άδύνατον. ξν μὲν τουτὶ ἀμφισβητοῦμεν. εἶεν ἀδικῶν δὲ δὴ εὐδαίμων ἔσται ἀρ', ἄν τυγχάνη δίκης τε καὶ τιμωρίας; $\Pi\Omega\Lambda$. Ήκιστά γε, ἐπεὶ οῦτω γ' ἀν ἀθλιώτατος εἶη. $\Sigma\Omega$. ᾿Λλλ' ἐὰν ἄρα μὴ τυγ-Ε

χάνη δίκης ὁ ἀδικών, κατὰ τὸν σὸν λόγον εὐδαίμων έσται. ΠΩΛ. Φημί. ΣΩ. Κατά δέ γε την έμην δόξαν, & Πωλε, ο άδικων τε καί 6 άδικος πάντως μεν άθλιος, άθλιώτερος μέντοι, έαν μη διδφ δίκην μηδε τυγχάνη τιμωρίας άδιχων, ήττον δε άθλιος, εάν διδώ δίχην και τυγ-478 χάνη δίκης ύπο θεών τε καὶ άνθρώπων. ΠΩ 1. $^{"}$ Aτοπά γε, ὧ Σ ώχρaτες, ἐπιχειφεῖς λέγειν. $\Sigma \Omega$. Πειράσομαι δέ γε καὶ σὲ ποιῆσαι, ὧ έταῖρε, ταὐτα έμοι λέγειν φίλον γαρ σε ήγουμαι. νυν μεν οὖν ὰ διαφερόμεθα ταῦτ' ἐστί σχόπει δε καὶ σύ. εἶπον ἐγώ που ἐν τοῖς ἔμπροσθεν τὸ άδιχεῖν τοῦ άδιχεῖσθαι χάχιον είναι. ΠΩΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Σὺ δὲ τὸ ἀδιχεῖσθαι. ΠΩΔ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Καὶ τοὺς ἀδιχοῦντας ἀθλίους ἔφην είναι έγώ, καὶ έξηλέγχθην ύπὸ σοῦ. $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. Β Ναὶ μὰ Δία. ΣΩ. Ως σύ γε οἴει, ἃ Πῶλε. ΠΩΛ. Αληθή γε ολόμενος ζοως. ΣΩ. Σύ δέ γε εὐδαίμονας αὖ τοὺς ἀδιχοῦντας, ἐὰν μὴ διδῶσι δίχην. $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν. $\Sigma\Omega$. Ἐγώ δὲ αὐτοὺς ἀθλιωτάτους φημί, τοὺς δὲ διδόντας δίκην ήττον, βούλει καὶ τοῦτο ἐλέγχειν; ΠΩΛ. 'Αλλ' ἔτι τοῦτ' ἐχείνου χαλεπώτερόν ἐστιν, ὧ Σώχρατες, έξελέγξαι. ΣΩ. Οὐ δῆτα, ὦ Πῶλε, άλλ' άδύνατον το γάρ άληθες οὐδέποτε έλέγχεται. ΠΩΔ. Πως λέγεις; ἐαν άδικων ἄνθοω-C πος ληφθή τυραννίδι ἐπιδουλεύων, καὶ ληφθεὶς στρεβλώται καὶ ἐκτέμνηται καὶ τους ὀφθαλμους έχχάηται, καὶ ἄλλας πολλας καὶ μεγάλας καὶ παντοδαπάς λώβας αὐτός τε λωβηθείς καὶ τοὺς

αύτου ξπιδών παϊδας τε καὶ γυναϊκα τὸ ἔσχατον ἀνασταυρωθῆ ἢ καταπιττωθῆ, οὖτος εὐδαιμονέστερος ἔσται ἢ ἐὰν διαφυγών τύραννος καταστῆ καὶ ἄρχων ἐν τῆ πόλει διαδιῷ ποιῶν ὅ τι ἀν βούληται, ζηλωτὸς ὢν καὶ εὐδαιμονιζόμενος ὑπὸ τῶν πολιτῶν καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ξένων; ταῦτα λέ- D γεις ἀδύνατον εἶναι ἐξελέγχειν;

CAP. ΧΧΙΧ. ΣΩ. Μορμολύττει αδ, δ γενναίε Πώλε, καὶ οὐκ ἐλέγχεις · ἄρτι δὲ ἐμαρτύρου. δμως δε ύπόμνησόν με σμικρόν εάν άδίκως έπιδουλεύων τυραννίδι, είπες; ΠΩΔ. Εγωγε. ΣΩ. Εὐδαιμονέστερος μεν τοίνυν οὐδέποτε ἔσται οὐδέτερος αὐτῶν, οὖτε ὁ χατειργασμένος τὴν τυραννίδα άδίκως οὖτε ὁ δίκην διδούς · δυοῖν γὰρ άθλίοιν εύδαιμονέστερος μέν ούχ αν είη · άθλιώτερος μέντοι ὁ διαφυγών καὶ τυραννεύσας. τουτο, & Πώλε; γελάς; άλλο αθ τουτο είδος έλέγγου έστίν, έπειδάν τίς τι είπη, καταγελάν, έλέγγειν δε μή; ΠΩΛ. Ούχ οἴει έξεληλέγγθαι, ά Σώχρατες, όταν τοιαύτα λέγης α ούδεις αν φήσειεν ανθρώπων; έπεὶ έρου τινα τουτωνί. ΣΩ. ΤΩ Πῶλε, οὐα εἰμὶ τῶν πολιτικῶν, καὶ πέουσι βουλεύειν λαχών, έπειδη ή φυλη έπουτάνευε χαὶ ἔδει με ἐπιψηφίζειν, γέλωτα παρείχον χαὶ ούχ ήπιστάμην ἐπιψηφίζειν. μὴ οὖν μηδὲ νῦν 474 με κέλευε επιψηφίζειν τους παρόντας, άλλ' εί μή έχεις τούτων βελτίω έλεγχον, ὅπερ νῦν δὴ ἐγώ έλεγον, έμοι έν τῷ μέρει παράδος, και πείρασαι τοῦ ἐλέγγου οίον ἐγὰ οίμαι δεῖν είναι. ἐγὰ γὰρ δυ αν λέγω ενα μεν παρασχέσθαι μάρτυρα επί-

σταμαι, αὐτὸν πρὸς ὃν ἄν μοι ὁ λόγος ἢ, τοὺς δε πολλούς έω χαίρειν, και ενα επιψηφίζειν επί-Β σταμαι, τοῖς δὲ πολλοῖς οὐδὲ διαλέγομαι. δρα οὖν, εἰ ἐθελήσεις ἐν τῷ μέρει διδόναι ἔλεγχον αποχρινόμενος τα έρωτωμενα. έγω γαρ δη οίμαι και έμε και σε και τους άλλους άνθρώπους το άδιχεῖν τοῦ άδιχεῖσθαι χάχιον ἡγεῖσθαι χαὶ το μή διδόναι δίκην του διδόναι. ΠΩΔ. Έγω δέ γε οὖτ' έμε οὖτ' ἀλλον ἀνθρώπων οὐδένα. ἐπεὶ σὺ δέξαι' αν μαλλον άδικεῖσθαι η άδικεῖν; ΣΩ. Καὶ σύ γ' αν καὶ οἱ αλλοι πάντες. ΠΩΔ. Πολλοῦ γε δεῖ, ἀλλ' οὖτ' ἐγω οὖτε συ οὖτ' ἄλλος οὐδείς. ο ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν ἀποκρινεῖ; ΠΩΔ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν· καὶ γὰρ ἐπιθυμῶ εἰδέναι ὅ τι ποτ' ἐρεῖς. $\Sigma \Omega$. Λέγε δή μοι, ἵν' εἰδῆς, ώσπες αν εὶ ἐξ ἀρχης σε ηρώτων πότερον δοκεί σοι, ἄ Πῶλε, κάκιον είναι τὸ ἀδικεῖν ἢ τὸ ἀδικεῖσθαι; $\Pi\Omega A$. Τὸ ἀδικεῖσθαι ἔμοιγε. ΣΩ. Τί δὲ δὴ αἴσχιον; πότερον το άδικεῖν ή το άδικεῖσθαι; 'Αποκρίνου. ΠΩΔ. Τὸ ἀδικεῖν.

CAP. XXX. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ κάκιον, εἔπερ αἴσχιον. ΠΩΛ. Ήκιστά γε. ΣΩ. Μανθάνω · D οὐ ταὐτὸν ἡγεῖ σύ, ὡς ἔοικας, καλόν τε καὶ ἀγαθὸν καὶ κακὸν καὶ αἰσχρόν. ΠΩΛ. Οὐ δῆτα ΣΩ. Τί δὲ τόδε; τὰ καλὰ πάντα, οἶον καὶ σώματα καὶ χρώματα καὶ σχήματα καὶ φωνὰς καὶ ἐπιτηδεύματα, εἰς οὐδὲν ἀποβλέπων καλεῖς ἑκάστοτε καλά; οἶον πρῶτον τὰ σώματα τὰ καλὰ οὐχὶ ἤτοι κατὰ τὴν χρείαν λέγεις καλὰ εἶναι, πρὸς ὁ ἀν ἕκαστον χρήσιμον ἢ, πρὸς τοῦτο, ἡ

κατα ήδονήν τινα, έαν έν τῷ θεωρεῖσθαι χαίρειν ποιή τους θεωρούντας; έχεις τι έχτος τούτων λέγειν περὶ σώματος χάλλους; ΠΩΔ. Οὐχ Ε έχω. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν χαὶ τάλλα πάντα οὖτω χαὶ σχήματα καὶ χρώματα η δια ήδονήν τινα, η δια ώφέλειαν, η δι' άμφότερα καλά προσαγορεύεις; ΠΩΛ. "Εγωγε. ΣΩ. Οὐ καὶ τὰς φωνάς καὶ τὰ κατὰ τὴν μουσικὴν πάντα ώσαύτως; ΠΩΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Καὶ μὴν τά γε κατὰ τοὺς νόμους καὶ τὰ ἐπιτηδεύματα οὐ δήπου ἐκτὸς τούτων έστι τα καλά, τοῦ η ἀφέλιμα είναι, η ήδέα, η άμφότερα. ΠΩΛ. Οὐχ ἔμοιγε δοχεῖ. ΣΩ. 475 Οὐκοῦν καὶ τὸ τῶν μαθημάτων κάλλος ώσαύτως; ΠΩΔ. Πάνυ γε καὶ καλώς γε νῦν δρίζει, δ Σώχρατες, ήδονή τε χαὶ ἀγαθῷ ὁριζόμενος τὸ καλόν. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν τὸ αἰσχρὸν τῷ ἐναντίῳ, λύπη τε καὶ κακ $ilde{\omega}$; $\Pi\Omega A$. 'Aνάγκη. $\Sigma\Omega$. Οταν ἄρα δυοίν καλοίν θάτερον κάλλιον ή, ή τῷ ἔτέρῳ τούτοιν ἢ άμφοτέροις ὑπερβάλλον κάλλιόν εστιν, ήτοι ήδον η η ωφελεία η αμφοτέροις. ΠΩΔ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Καὶ ὅταν δὲ δὴ δυοῖν αίσχοοῖν τὸ ετερον αΐσχιον ή, ήτοι λύπη ή κα- Β κῷ ὑπερβάλλον αἴσχιον ἔσται. ἢ οὐκ ἀνάγκη; ΠΩΔ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Φέρε δή, πῶς ἐλέγετο νῦν δὴ περί του άδικειν και άδικεισθαι; ούκ έλεγες το μεν άδικεισθαι κάκιον είναι, το δε άδικειν αίσχιον; ΠΩΛ. "Ελεγον. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν εἔπερ αζοχιον το άδικεῖν του άδικεῖσθαι, ήτοι λυπηρότερόν έστι καὶ λύπη ύπερβάλλον αἴσχιον αν εἴη, η κακώ, η άμφοτέροις; οὐ καὶ τοῦτο ἀνάγκη; ΠΩΛ. Πῶς γὰρ οὖ;

C CAP. XXXI. $\Sigma\Omega$. Πρώτον μέν δή σχεψώμεθα δρα λύπη ύπερβάλλει το άδικεῖν τοῦ άδικεῖσθαι, καὶ ἀλγοῦσι μᾶλλον οἱ ἀδικοῦντες ἢ οἱ άδικούμενοι ; ΠΩ Δ. Οὐδαμῶς, ῷ Σώκρατες, τοῦτό γε. ΣΩ. Οὐκ ἄρα λύπη γε ὑπερέχει. ΠΩΔ. Οὐ δῆτα. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν εἰ μὴ λύπη, άμφοτέροις μέν ούχ ἄν ἔτι ὑπερδάλλοι. $\Pi\Omega A$. Ού φαίνεται. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν τῷ ἐτέρφ λείπεται. $\Pi\Omega A$. Naí. $\Sigma\Omega$. Tỹ xaxỹ. $\Pi\Omega A$. "Eoixev. $oldsymbol{\Sigma}\Omega$. Οὐχοῦν χαχῷ ὑπερβάλλον τὸ ἀδιχεῖν χά-D κιον ἄν εἴη τοῦ ἀδικεῖσθαι. $\Pi\Omega A$. Λ ῆλον δὴ ότι. ΣΩ. "Αλλο τι οὖν ὑπὸ μὲν τῶν πολλῶν ανθρώπων και ύπο σου ώμολογείτο ήμιν έν τῷ έμπροσθεν χρόνφ αἴσχιον εἶναι το ἀδικεῖν τοῦ ἀδικεῖσθαι; $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. Nαί. $\Sigma\Omega$. Nῦν δέ γε κάκιον ἐφάνη. $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. $^{"}$ Εοικε. $\Sigma\Omega$. Λ έξαιο ἂν οὖν σὺ μᾶλλον τὸ χάχιον καὶ τὸ αἴσχιον ἀντὶ τοῦ ήττον ; Μή ὄκνει ἀποκρίνασθαι, ὧ Πῶλε οὐδὲν γὰρ βλαβήσει —, ἀλλὰ γενναίως τῷ λόγῳ, Ε ωσπερ ιατρώ, παρέχων αποκρίνου, και ή φάθι ή μη α έρωτω. $\Pi\Omega\Lambda$. 'Αλλ' οὐκ αν δεξαίμην, ω Σώκρατες. $\Sigma\Omega$. ''Αλλος δέ τις ανθρώπων ; $\Pi\Omega A$. Οὖ μοι δοχεῖ χατά γε τοῦτον τὸν λόγον. $\Sigma \Omega$. Αληθη άρα έγω έλεγον, ὅτι οὖτ' αν έγω οὖτ' αν σὺ οὖτ' ἀλλος οὖδεὶς ἀνθρώπων δέξαιτ' αν μαλλον άδικεῖν η άδικεῖσθαι· κάκιον γαρ τυγχάνει ον. $\Pi\Omega\Lambda$. Φαίνεται. $\Sigma\Omega$. O_{Q} ος οὖν, οδ Ποῶλε, ὁ ἔλεγχος παρα τὸν ἔλεγχον παραβαλλόμενος ὅτι οὐδὲν ἔσιχεν, ἀλλὰ σοὶ μὲν οἱ άλλοι πάντες όμολογοῦσι πλην έμοῦ, έμοὶ δὲ σὺ

έξαρχεῖς εἶς ὢν μόνος χαὶ ὁμολογῶν χαὶ μαρτυ-476 ρων, χαὶ ἐγὼ σὲ μόνον ἐπιψηφίζων τοὺς ἄλλους ἐῶ χαίρειν. Καὶ τοῦτο μὲν ἡμῖν οῦτως ἐχέτω · μετὰ τοῦτο δὲ περὶ οῦ τὸ δεύτερον ἡμφεσβητήσαμεν, σχεψώμεθα, τὸ ἀδιχοῦντα διδόναι δίχην ἄρα μέγιστον τῶν χακῶν ἐστιν, ὡς σὺ ῷου, ἢ μεῖζον τὸ μὴ διδόναι, ὡς αὖ ἐγὼ ῷμην. σχοπώμεθα δὲ τῷδε · τὸ διδόναι δίχην χαὶ τὸ χολάζεσθαι διχαίως ἀδιχοῦντα ἄρα τὸ αὐτὸ χαλεῖς; ΠΩΛ. Ἐγωγε. ΣΩ. Ἐχεις οὖν λέγειν, ὡς οὐχὶ τά γε δίχαια Β πάντα χαλά ἐστι, χαθ' ὅσον δίχαια; χαὶ διασχεψάμενος εἰπέ. ΠΩΛ. ᾿Αλλά μοι δοχεῖ, ὧ Σώχρατες.

. Cap. XXXII. $\Sigma\Omega$. Σκόπει δη καὶ τόδε \cdot ἄρα εἴ τίς τι ποιεῖ, ἀνάγχη τι εἶναι καὶ πάσχον ὑπὸ τούτου τοῦ ποιοῦντος; ΠΩΛ. "Εμοιγε δοκεί. ΣΩ. Μρα τούτο πάσχον δ τὸ ποιούν ποιεί, καὶ τοιούτον οίον ποιεί τὸ ποιούν; λέγω δὲ τὸ τοιόνδε · εί τις τύπτει, ανάγχη τι τύπτεσθαι; $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. 2 Λ νάγχη. $\Sigma\Omega$. Kαὶ εἰ σφόδοα τύπτει ἢ C ταχυ δ τύπτων, ουτω και το τυπτόμενον τύπτεσθαι; ΠΩΔ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Τοιούτον άρα πάθος τῷ τυπτομένῷ ἐστίν οἶον αν τὸ τύπτον ποιῆ; ΠΩΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν καὶ εὶ κάει τις, ανάγκη τι κάεσθαι; $\Pi \Omega \Lambda$. $\Pi \tilde{\omega}$ s γαρ οὖ; $\Sigma \Omega$. Καὶ εἰ σφόδρα γε κάει ἢ ἀλγεινῶς, οὖτω κάεσθαι τὸ καόμενον ώς ἂν τὸ κᾶον κάη; ΠΩΔ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν καὶ εὶ τέμνει τις, ὁ αὐτὸς λόγος ; τέμνεται γάο τι. ΠΩΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Καὶ εὶ μέγα γε η βαθύ τὸ τμημα η άλγεινόν.

D τοιούτον τμήμα τέμνεται τὸ τεμνόμενον οίον τὸ τέμνον τέμνει; ΠΩΛ. Φαίνεται. ΣΩ. Συλλήβδην δη δρα, εί όμολογεῖς δ ἄρτι ἔλεγον περί πάντων · οίον αν ποιή το ποιούν, τοιούτον το πάσχον πάσγειν. ΠΩΛ. 'Αλλ' δμολογώ. ΣΩ. Τούτων δη όμολογουμένων, το δίκην διδόναι πότερον πάσχειν τί έστιν ἢ ποιεῖν; ΠΩΛ. Ανάγκη, & Σώκρατες, πάσχειν. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν ὑπό τινος ποιούντος; ΠΩΔ. Πως γαρ ού; ύπό γε του Ε πολάζοντος. ΣΩ. 'Ο δὲ ὀρθῶς πολάζων διπαίως χολάζει ; ΠΩΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Δίχαια ποιών, η οὖ ; ΠΩΛ. Δίκαια. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν ὁ κολαζόμενος δίχην διδούς δίχαια πάσχει ; ΠΩΛ. Φαίνεται. ΣΩ. Τὰ δὲ δίχαιά που καλὰ ώμολόγηται; ΠΩΔ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Τούτων ἄρα ό μεν ποιεί καλά, ό δε πάσχει, ό κολαζόμενος. ΠΩΛ. Ναί.

CAP. XXXIII. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν εἴπερ καλά,
πάγαθά; ἢ γὰρ ἡδέα ἢ ἀφέλιμα. ΠΩΛ. ᾿Ανάγκη. ΣΩ. ᾿Αγαθὰ ἄρα πάσχει ὁ δίκην διδούς;
ΠΩΛ. Ἦσικεν. ΣΩ. ᾿Ωφελεῖται ἄρα; ΠΩΛ.
Ναί. ΣΩ. Ἦσιαν τὴν ψυχὴν γίγνεται, εἴπερ δικαίως κολάζεται; ΠΩΛ. Εἰκός γε. ΣΩ.
Κακίας ἄρα ψυχῆς ἀπαλλάττεται ὁ δίκην διδούς;
ΠΩΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Ἦρ' οὖν τοῦ μεγίστου
Β ἀπαλλάττεται κακοῦ; ἮΩΛ. Θὖν ἄλλην τινὰ ἐνορῷς ἢ πενίαν; ΠΩΛ. Οὖκ, ἀλλὰ πενίαν.
ΣΩ. Τί δ' ἐν σώματος κατασκευῆ; κακίαν ἄν

φήσαις ασθένειαν είναι καὶ νόσον καὶ αίσχος καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα ; ΠΩΛ. "Εγωγε. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ ἐν ψυχῆ πονηςίαν ἡγεῖ τινα εἶναι ; $I\!I\!\Omega A$. Hως γὰρ οὖ; $\Sigma\Omega$. Tαύτην οὖν οὖν ἀδικίαν χαλείς χαὶ ἀμαθίαν χαὶ δειλίαν χαὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα; $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν. $\Sigma\Omega$. Οὐχοῦν χοημάτων καὶ σώματος καὶ ψυχης, τριῶν ὄντων, τριττὰς C εἴρηκας πονηρίας, πενίαν, νόσον, ἀδικίαν; ΠΩΔ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Τίς οὖν τούτων τῶν πονηριών αἰσχίστη; οὐχ ἡ ἀδικία καὶ συλλήβδην ἡ τῆς ψυχῆς πονηρία; $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. Πολύ γε. $\Sigma\Omega$. Εί δή αἰσχίστη, καὶ κακίστη; ΠΩΛ. Πώς, δ Σώχρατες, λέγεις; ΣΩ. Ωδί · ἀεὶ τὸ αἴοχιστον, ήτοι λύπην μεγίστην παρέχον ή βλάβην ή άμφότερα, αἴσχιστόν ἐστιν ἐχ τῶν ὡμολογημένων $\vec{\epsilon}$ ν τ $\vec{\phi}$ $\vec{\epsilon}$ μ π ροσθεν. $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. Μάλιστα. $\Sigma\Omega$. Λ $\vec{\epsilon}$ σχιστον δὲ ἀδικία καὶ σύμπασα ψυχῆς πονηρία νὖν δη ώμολόγηται ήμῖν ; $\Pi\Omega A$. Ω μολόγηται $extbf{D}$ γάρ. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν ἢ ἀνιαρότατόν ἐστιν χαὶ ανία ύπερβάλλον αΐσχιστον τούτων έστίν, η βλάδη, ἢ ἀμφότερα; ΠΩΛ. ἀνάγκη. ΣΩ. 3A ρ' οὖν ἀλγεινότερόν ἐστι τοῦ πένεσθαι χαὶ κάμνειν τὸ ἄδικον είναι καὶ ἀκόλαστον καὶ δειλον καὶ ἀμαθῆ; $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. Οὐκ ἔμοιγε δοκεῖ, δ Σώχρατες, ἀπὸ τούτων γε. ΣΩ. Ύπερφυεῖ τινι άρα ως μεγάλη βλάβη καὶ κακῷ θαυμασίο ύπερδάλλουσα τάλλα ή της ψυχης πονηρία αἴσχιστόν E έστι πάντων, έπειδή ούχ άλγηδόνι γε, ώς ό σὸς λόγος. ΠΩΛ. Φαίνεται. ΣΩ. 'Αλλά μήν που τό γε μεγίστη βλάδη ὑπερβάλλον μέγιστον αν χαχὸν εἴη τῶν ὄντων. $\Pi\Omega\Lambda$. Ναί. $\Sigma\Omega$. Ἡ ἀδιχία ἄφα καὶ ἡ ἀκολασία καὶ ἡ ἄλλη ψυχῆς πονηρία μέγιστον τῶν ὄντων χαχόν ἐστι; $\Pi\Omega\Lambda$. Φαίνεται.

Cap. XXXIV. $\Sigma\Omega$. Tis our texty nerias ἀπαλλάττει ; οὐ χρηματιστική ; $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. Ναί. $\Sigma\Omega$. Tis dè vocou; oùn latoun; $\Pi\Omega\Lambda$. 478 Aváyan. $\Sigma\Omega$. Tís δὲ πονηρίας καὶ ἀδικίας ; · Εί μη ούτως εύπορεῖς, ώδε σχόπει · ποῖ ἄγομεν καὶ παρὰ τίνας τοὺς κάμνοντας τὰ σώματα; ΠΩ Δ. Παρά τους ιάτρούς, & Σώκρατες. ΣΩ. Ποῖ δὲ τοὺς ἀδιχοῦντας χαὶ τοὺς ἀχολασταίνοντας: ΠΩΛ. Παρά τους δικαστάς λέγεις: ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν δίχην δώσοντας; ΠΩΛ. Φημί. $\Sigma \Omega$. $^{*}A$ ρ * οὖν οὖ δικαιοσύνp τινὶ χρώμενοι κολάζουσιν οἱ ὀρθῶς πολάζοντες; ΠΩΔ. Δῆλον Β δή. ΣΩ. Χρηματιστική μεν άρα πενίας απαλλάττει, ἰατρική δὲ νόσου, δίκη δὲ ἀκολασίας καὶ · άδικίας. ΠΩΔ. Φαίνεται. ΣΩ. Τί οὖν τούτων κάλλιστόν έστιν [ών λέγεις]; ΠΩΔ. Τίνων λέγεις; ΣΩ. Χρηματιστικής, ιατρικής, δίκης. ΠΩΔ. Πολύ διαφέρει, & Σώκρατες, ή δίκη. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν αὖ ἤτοι ήδονὴν πλείστην ποιεῖ, ἢ ἀφέλειαν, ἢ ἀμφότερα, εἴπερ κάλλιστὸν έστι; ΠΩΔ. Ναί. ΣΩ. 3Δο' οὖν τὸ ἰατρεύεσθαι ήδύ έστι, καὶ χαίρουσιν οἱ ἰατρευόμενοι; ΠΩΔ. Οὐχ ἔμοιγε δοχεῖ. ΣΩ. 'Αλλ' ἀφέλι-C μόν γε. ή γάς; ΠΩ Λ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Μεγάλου γάρ κακοῦ ἀπαλλάττεται, ώστε λυσιτελεῖ ὑπομεῖναι τὴν ἀλγηδόνα καὶ ὑγιεῖ εἶναι. $II \Omega A$

Πως γαρ ους : ΣΩ. Αρ' ουν ουτως αν περί σωμα εύδαιμονέστατος άνθρωπος είη, ιατρευόμενος, η μηδε κάμνων ἀρχήν; ΠΩΔ. Δηλον, ὅτι μηδε κάμνων. ΣΩ. Οὐ γὰο τοῦτ' ἦν εὐδαιμονία, ὡς ἔοιχε, χαχοῦ ἀπαλλαγή, ἀλλὰ τὴν ἀοχὴν μηδὲ κτήσις. ΠΩΛ. "Εστι ταύτα. ΣΩ. Τί δέ; άθλιώτερος πότερος δυοῖν ἐχόντοιν κακὸν εἴτ' ἐν D σώματι εἴτ' ἐν ψυχῆ; ὁ ἰατρευόμενος καὶ ἀπαλλαττόμενος του κακού, ή ὁ μὴ ἰατρευόμενος, ἔχων δέ; $\Pi \Omega \Delta$. Φαίνεταί μοι δ μη ζατρευόμενος. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν τὸ δίχην διδόναι μεγίστου καχοῦ απαλλαγή ήν, πονηρίας; ΠΩΛ. την γάρ. ΣΩ. Σωφρονίζει γάρ που καὶ δικαιοτέρους ποιεῖ καὶ ἰατρική γίγνεται πονηρίας ή δίκη. ΠΩΔ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Εὐδαιμονέστατος μὲν ἄρα ὁ μὴ ἔχων Ε κακίαν εν ψυχη, ἐπειδη τοῦτο μέγιστον των κακῶν ἐφάνη. ΠΩΔ. Δῆλον δή. ΣΩ. Δεύτερος δήπου ὁ ἀπαλλαττόμενος. ΠΩΛ. "Εοιχεν. ΣΩ. Οδτος δ' ην ό νουθετούμενός τε καὶ ἐπιπληττόμενος καὶ δίκην διδούς. ΠΩΔ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Κάχιστα ἄρα ζη ό ἔχων άδιχίαν χαὶ μη ἀπαλλαττόμενος. $\Pi\Omega \Lambda$. Φαίνεται. $\Sigma\Omega$. Οὐχοῦν οὖτος τυγχάνει Εν, δς αν τα μέγιστα άδιχων καὶ χρώμενος μεγίστη ἀδικία διαπράξηται ώστε 479 μήτε νουθετεῖσθαι μήτε χολάζεσθαι μήτε δίχην διδόναι, ωσπερ συ φής 'Αρχέλαον παρεσκευάσθαι καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους τυράννους καὶ ξήτορας καὶ δυνάστας: ΠΩΛ. Εοικε.

 C_{AP} . XXXV. $\Sigma \Omega$. $\Sigma \chi$ εδον γάρ που οδτοι, \ddot{a} ἄριστε, το αὐτο διαπεπραγμένοι εἰσί, \ddot{a} σπερ \ddot{a} ν

εί τις τοις μεγίστοις νοσήμασι συνισχόμενος διαπράξαιτο μη διδόναι δίχην τῶν περὶ τὸ σῶμα άμαςτημάτων τοῖς ἰατςοῖς μηδὲ ἰατςεύεσθαι, φο-Β βούμενος, ώσπερανεί παῖς, το κάεσθαι καὶ τὸ τέμνεσθαι, ότι άλγεινόν. ἢ οὐ δοχεῖ χαὶ σοὶ οὖτως; ΠΩΛ. "Εμοιγε. ΣΩ. 'Αγνοῶν γε, ώς ἔοιχεν, οδόν ἐστιν ἡ ὑγίεια καὶ ἀρετὴ σώματος. χινδυνεύουσι γαρ έχ των νυν ήμιν ωμολογημένων τοιουτόν τι ποιείν και οί την δίκην φεύγοντες, ῶ Πῶλε, τὸ ἀλγεινὸν αὐτοῦ καθορᾶν, πρὸς δὲ τὸ ώφέλιμον τυφλώς έχειν καὶ άγνοεῖν ὅσω άθλιώτερόν έστι μη ύγιους σώματος μη ύγιει ψυχή C συνοιχεῖν, ἀλλὰ σαθρῷ καὶ ἀδίκφ καὶ ἀνοσίφ. δθεν και παν ποιούσιν, ωστε δίκην μη διδόναι μηδ' απαλλάττεσθαι του μεγίστου κακού, καὶ γρήματα παρασχευαζόμενοι και φίλους και δπως αν ώσιν ώς πιθανώτατοι λέγειν. εί δε ήμεις άληθη ώμολογήχαμεν, ὁ Πῶλε, δο' αἰσθάνει τὰ συμβαίνοντα έχ τοῦ λόγου; ἢ βούλει συλλογισώμεθα αὐτά; ΠΩΔ. Εὶ μὴ σοί γε άλλως δοχεῖ. ΣΩ. Αρ' οὖν συμβαίνει μέγιστον καχον ή άδιχία και το άδικεῖν; ΠΩΔ. Φαίνεταί D γε. ΣΩ. Καὶ μην ἀπαλλαγή γε ἐφάνη τούτου τοῦ κακοῦ τὸ δίκην διδόναι; ΠΩΔ. Κινδυνεύει. ΣΩ. Τὸ δέ γε μη διδόναι έμμονη τοῦ κακού; ΠΩΔ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Δεύτερον άρα έστὶ των χαχών μεγέθει το άδιχεῖν το δε άδιχοῦντα μη διδόναι δίχην πάντων μέγιστόν τε χαὶ πρώτον κακών πέφυκεν. ΠΩΛ. "Εοικεν. ΣΩ. Αρ' οὖν οὐ περὶ τούτου, ὧ φίλε, ἡμφεσ6ητήσαμεν, σὺ

μεν τον 'Αρχέλαον εὐδαιμονίζων τον τὰ μέγιστα ἀδικοῦντα δίκην οὐδεμίαν διδόντα, ἐγω δὲ τοὐ-Ε ναντίον οἰόμενος, εἴτ' 'Αρχέλαος εἴτ' ἄλλος ἀνθρώπων ὁστισοῦν μὴ δίδωσι δίκην ἀδικῶν, τούτω προσήκειν ἀθλίω εἶναι διαφερόντως τῶν ἄλλων ἀνθρώπων, καὶ ἀεὶ τὸν ἀδικοῦντα τοῦ ἀδικουμένου ἀθλιώτερον εἶναι καὶ τὸν μὴ διδόντα δίκην τοῦ διδόντος; οὐ ταῦτ' ἢν τὰ ὑπ' ἐμοῦ λεγόμενα; ΠΩΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν ἀποδέδεικται, ὅτι ἀληθῆ ἐλέγετο; ΠΩΛ. Φαίνεται.

CAP. XXXVI. $\Sigma\Omega$. Elev. el ouv de au0 au0-490 τα άληθη, & Πώλε, τίς ή μεγάλη χρεία έστὶ της όητορικής; δεῖ μεν γαρ δή έκ των νῦν ώμολογημένων αὐτον ξαυτον μάλιστα φυλάττειν, ὅπως μη άδικήση, ώς ίκανον κακον έξοντα. ού γάρ; ΠΩΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Έαν δέ γε άδικήση ή αὐτός, ἢ ἄλλος τὶς ὧν ἂν κήδηται, αὐτὸν ξκόντα ιέναι **ἐχε**ῖσε ὅπου ὡς τάχιστα δώσει δίχην, παρὰ τον δικαστήν ωσπερ παρά τον ιατρόν, σπεύδοντα δπως μή έγχρονισθέν το νόσημα της άδικίας Β υπουλον την ψυχην ποιήση και ανίατον · η πώς λέγομεν, & Πώλε, εἴπεο τὰ πρότερον μένει ἡμῖν όμολογήματα ; οὐχ ἀνάγχη ταῦτα ἐκείνοις οὖτω μέν συμφωνείν, άλλως δέ μή; ΠΩΛ. Τί γὰο δή φωμεν, & Σωχρατες; ΣΩ. Έπὶ μεν ἄρα το ἀπολογεῖσθαι ὑπὲρ τῆς ἀδικίας τῆς αὑτοῦ, ἢ γονέων, ἢ έταίρων, ἢ παίδων, ἢ πατρίδος ἀδιχούσης οὐ χρήσιμος οὐδεν ή ξητοριχή ήμῖν, δ Πώλε, εὶ μὴ εἴ τις ὑπολάβοι ἐπὶ τοὐναντίον, C χατηγορείν δείν μάλιστα μέν έαυτου, ἔπειτα δὲ

καὶ τῶν οἰκείων καὶ τῶν ἄλλων δς αν ἀεὶ τῶν φίλων τυγχάνη άδικων, καὶ μὴ ἀποκρύπτεσθαι, άλλ' είς το φανερον άγειν το άδίκημα, ενα δώ δίκην καὶ ύγιης γένηται, ἀναγκάζειν τε καὶ αύτον και τους άλλους μη αποδειλιαν, άλλα παρέγειν μύσαντα καὶ ἀνδρείως, ὢσπερ τέμνειν καὶ χάειν ζατρώ, τὸ ἀγαθὸν χαὶ χαλὸν διώχοντα, μή D ὑπολογιζόμενον τὸ ἀλγεινόν · ἐὰν μέν γε πληγῶν άξια ήδιχηχώς ή, τύπτειν παρέχοντα, έαν δε δεσμοῦ, δεῖν, ἐὰν δὲ ζημίας, ἀποτίνοντα, ἐὰν δὲ φυγης, φεύγοντα, έαν δε θανάτου, αποθνήσχοντα, αὐτὸν πρώτον ὄντα κατήγορον καὶ αύτοῦ καὶ τών άλλων οἰκείων καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦτο χρώμενον τῷ ὁητορικῆ, ὅπως ἂν καταδήλων τῶν ἀδικημάτων γιγνομένων απαλλάττωνται του μεγίστου κακου, αδικίας. $extbf{E}$ φῶμεν οὕτως, ἢ μὴ φῶμεν, ὧ Πῶλε; $extbf{ΠΩΛ.}$ " $extbf{A}$ τοπα μέν, & Σώχρατες, ξμοιγε δοχεί, τοίς μέντοι ξμπροσθεν ζσως σοι δμολογεῖται. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν η κάχεινα λυτέον, η τάδε άνάγχη συμβαίνειν; ΠΩΔ. Ναί, τοῦτό γε οὖτως ἔχει. ΣΩ. Τοὐναντίον δέ γε αὖ μεταβαλόντα εἰ ἄρα δεῖ τινα κακώς ποιείν, είτ' έχθρον είτε όντινούν, έαν μόνον μή αὐτὸς ἀδικήται ὑπὸ τοῦ ἐχθροῦ, — τοῦτο μεν γὰο εύλαοητέον · - ἐὰν δε ἄλλον ἀδικο ὁ 181 έχθρός, παντί τρόπφ παρασκευαστέον καί πράττοντα καὶ λέγοντα, ὅπως μὴ δῷ δίκην μηδὲ ἔλθη παρά τον δικαστήν έαν δε έλθη, μηχανητέον δπως αν διαφύγη και μη δῷ δίκην ὁ ἐχθρός, ἀλλ' ξάν τε χουσίον ήρπακως ή πολύ, μη αποδιδώ τούτο, άλλ' έχων αναλίσχηται καὶ εἰς έαυτον

καὶ εἰς τοὺς ἔαυτοῦ ἀδίκως καὶ ἀθέως, ἐάν τε αδ θανάτου ἄξια ἡδικηκώς ἡ, ὅπως μὴ ἀποθανεῖται, μάλιστα μὲν μηδέποτε, ἀλλ' ἀθάνατος ἔσται πο- Β νηρὸς ἄν, εἰ δὲ μή, ὅπως ὡς πλεῖστον χρόνον βιώσεται τοιοῦτος ἄν. ἐπὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα ἔμοιγε δοκεῖ, ἄ Πῶλε, ἡ ἡητορικὴ χρήσιμος εἶναι, ἐπεὶ τῷ γε μὴ μέλλοντι ἀδικεῖν οὐ μεγάλη τίς μοι δοκεῖ ἡ χρεία αὐτῆς εἶναι, εἰ δὴ καὶ ἔστι τις χρεία · ὡς ἔν γε τοῖς πρόσθεν οὐδαμῷ ἐφάνη οὖσα.

ΚΑΛ. Εἰπέ μοι, δ Χαιφε-CAP. XXXVII. φων, σπουδάζει ταυτα Σωχράτης, η παίζει; ΧΑΙ. 'Εμοί μεν δοκεί, δ Καλλίκλεις, ύπερφυδς σπουδάζειν · οὐδὲν μέντοι οἶον τὸ αὐτὸν ἐρωτᾶν. · Ο ΚΑΛ. Νή τους θεους άλλ' ἐπιθυμώ. Εἰπέ μοι, & Σώπρατες, πότερόν σε φωμεν νυνί σπουδάζοντα, η παίζοντα; εὶ μὲν γὰρ σπουδάζεις τε καὶ τυγχάνει ταῦτα ἀληθῆ ὄντα, ἃ λέγεις, ἄλλο τι η ήμων ό βίος ανατετραμμένος αν είη των ανθρώπων καὶ πάντα τὰ ἐναντία πράττομεν, ώς ἔοιχεν, η α δεῖ; ΣΩ. ΓΩ Καλλίχλεις, εἰ μή τι ην τοῖς ἀνθρώποις πάθος, τοῖς μεν ἄλλο τι, τοῖς δὲ ἄλλο τι, τὸ αὐτό, ἀλλά τις ἡμῶν ἔδιόν τι ἔπα- D σχε πάθος η οἱ άλλοι, οὐα αν ην δάδιον ἐνδείξασθαι τῷ ἐτέρῳ τὸ ἐαυτοῦ πάθημα. λέγω δ' έννοήσας, δτι έγώ τε καὶ σὺ νῦν τυγχάνομεν ταὐτόν τι πεπονθότες, έρωντε δύο όντε δυείν έχατεgos, έγω μεν 'Αλαιδιάδου τε τοῦ Κλεινίου καὶ φιλοσοφίας, συ δε του τε 'Αθηναίων δήμου καὶ του Πυριλάμπους. αἰσθάνομαι οὖν σου ξχάστοτε, καίπερ όντος δεινού, ότι όποσ' αν φή σου

τα παιδικά και όπως αν φή έχειν, ού δυναμένου Ε αντιλέγειν, αλλ' ανω και κάτω μεταβαλλομένου • εν τε τη έχχλησία, εάν τι σου λέγοντος ὁ δημος ό Αθηναίων μη φη ουτως έχειν, μεταβαλλόμενος λέγεις α έχεινος βούλεται, και προς τον Πυριλάμπους νεανίαν τον χαλον τοῦτον τοιαῦτα έτερα πέπονθας. τοῖς γὰρ τῶν παιδιχῶν βουλεύμασί τε καὶ λόγοις οὐχ οἶός τ' εἶ ἐναντιοῦσθαι, ωστε, εἴ τίς σου λέγοντος έκάστοτε α δια τούτους λέγεις θαυμάζοι ώς ἄτοπά ἐστιν, ἴσως εἴποις αν 482 αὐτῷ, εἰ βούλοιο τάληθῆ λέγειν, ὅτι, εἰ μή τις παύσει τὰ σὰ παιδικὰ τούτων τῶν λόγων, οὐδὲ σὺ παύσει ποτὲ ταῦτα λέγων. νόμιζε τοίνυν καὶ παρ' έμου γρηναι έτερα τοιαύτα ακούειν, καί μή θαύμαζε, ότι έγω ταυτα λέγω, άλλα την φιλοσοφίαν, τὰ ἐμὰ παιδικά, παῦσον ταῦτα λέγουσαν. λέγει γάρ, & φίλε έταῖρε, ἀεὶ & νῦν ἐμοῦ άχούεις, χαί μοί έστι των έτέρων παιδιχών πολύ ήττον έμπληχτος· ὁ μεν γάο Κλεινίειος οδτος άλλοτε άλλων έστι λόγων, ή δε φιλοσοφία άει Β των αὐτων. λέγει δὲ ἃ σῦ νῦν θαυμάζεις παρησθα δε και αυτος λεγομένοις. η οδν εκείνην έξέλεγξον, οπερ άρτι έλεγον, ώς οὐ τὸ άδικεῖν έστι καὶ ἀδικοῦντα δίκην μὴ διδόναι ἁπάντων ἔσχατον κακῶν τη εἰ τοῦτο ἐάσεις ἀνέλεγκτον, μα τον χύνα, τον Αίγυπτίων θεόν, ού σοι δμολογήσει Καλλικλής, & Καλλίκλεις, άλλα διαφωνήσει εν απαντι τῷ βίφ. καίτοι ἔγωγε οἶμαι, ὧ βέλτιστε, καὶ τὴν λύραν μοι κρεῖττον εἶναι ἀναρ-C μοστείν τε καὶ διαφωνείν, καὶ χορον ῷ χορηγοίην, καὶ πλείστους ἀνθρώπους μὴ δμολογεῖν μοι ἀλλ' ἐναντία λέγειν, μᾶλλον ἢ ἕνα ὄντα ἐμὲ ἐμαυτῷ ἀσύμφωνον εἶναι καὶ ἐναντία λέγειν.

CAP. XXXVIII. ΚΑΛ. 3Ω Σώμρατες, δοκεῖς νεανιεύεσθαι ἐν τοῖς λόγοις ὡς ἀληθῶς δημηγόρος ών καὶ νῦν ταῦτα δημηγορεῖς ταὖτον παθόντος Πώλου πάθος, ὅπες Γοργίου κατηγόοει προς σε παθείν. έφη γάρ που Γοργίαν έρωτώμενον ὑπὸ σοῦ, ἐὰν ἀφίκηται πας' αὐτὸν μὴ έπιστάμενος τὰ δίχαια ὁ τὴν ὁητοριχὴν βουλό-D μενος μαθείν, εί διδάξει αὐτὸν ὁ Γοργίας, αίσχυνθηναι αὐτὸν καὶ φάναι διδάξειν διὰ τὸ ἔθος των ανθρώπων, δτι αγανακτοίεν αν, εί τις μή φαίη · διὰ δὴ ταύτην τὴν ὁμολογίαν ἀναγκασθῆναι έναντία αὐτὸν αύτῷ εἰπεῖν, σὲ δὲ αὐτὸ τοῦτο αγαπαν. καί σου κατεγέλα, ως γέ μοι δοκείν, όρθως τότε. νῦν δὲ πάλιν αὐτὸς ταὐτὸν τοῦτο έπαθε, καὶ έγωγε κατ' αὐτὸ τοῦτο οὐκ ἄγαμαι Πώλον, ότι σοι συνεχώρησε τὸ άδικεῖν αἴσχιον Ε είναι τοῦ ἀδικεῖσθαι· ἐκ ταύτης γὰρ αὖ τῆς όμολογίας αὐτὸς ὑπὸ σοῦ συμποδισθεὶς ἐν τοῖς λόγοις επεστομίσθη, αἰσχυνθεὶς ἃ ενόει εἰπεῖν. συ γαρ τῷ ὄντι, ὧ Σώχρατες, εἰς τοιαῦτα ἄγεις φορτικά καὶ δημηγορικά, φάσκων την άλήθειαν διώπειν, & φύσει μεν οὐκ ἔστι καλά, νόμω δέ. ώς τὰ πολλὰ δὲ ταῦτα ἐναντία ἀλλήλοις ἐστίν, η τε φύσις και δ νόμος. ἐὰν οὖν τις αἰσχύνηται καὶ μὴ τολμῷ λέγειν ἄπερ νοεῖ, ἀναγκάζεται 488 έναντία λέγειν. δ δή και σύ τοῦτο τὸ σοφὸν κατανενοηκώς κακουργείς έν τοίς λόγοις, έαν μέν

τις κατά νόμον λέγη, κατά φύσιν ύπερωτών, έάν . δὲ τὰ τῆς φύσεως, τὰ τοῦ νόμου. ὧσπερ αὐτίχα έν τούτοις, τῷ ἀδικεῖν τε καὶ τῷ ἀδικεῖσθαι, Πώλου το κατά νόμον αἴσχιον λέγοντος, σὺ τὸν νόμον έδιώχαθες χατά φύσιν. φύσει μεν γάρ παν αἴογιόν ἐστιν ὅπερ καὶ κάκιον, * οἶον * τὸ ἀδι-Β κεῖσθαι, νόμφ δὲ τὸ ἀδικεῖν. οὐδὲ γὰρ ἀνδρὸς τοῦτό γ' ἐστὶ τὸ πάθημα, τὸ ἀδικεῖσθαι, ἀλλ' ανδραπόδου τινός, ό πρεῖττόν ἐστι τεθνάναι ή ζην, δοτις αδικούμενος και προπηλακιζόμενος μή οδόστε έστιν αὐτὸς αύτῷ βοηθεῖν μηδὲ ἄλλφ οδ αν χήδηται. άλλ', οίμαι, οί τιθέμενοι τους νόμους οί ασθενείς ανθρωποί είσι και οί πολλοί. προς αύτους οθν και το αύτοις συμφέρον τούς τε νόμους τίθενται καὶ τοὺς ἐπαίνους ἐπαινοῦσι καὶ C τους ψόγους ψέγουσιν· — έχφοδουντές τους έξφωμενεστέρους των ανθρώπων και δυνατούς οντας πλέον έχειν, ίνα μη αὐτῶν πλέον έχωσι, λέγουσιν, ώς αἰσχρὸν καὶ ἄδικον τὸ πλεονεκτεῖν, καὶ τοῦτο ἔστι τὸ ἀδικεῖν, τὸ πλέον τῶν ἄλλων ζητείν ἔγειν · ἀγαπωσι γάρ, οἶμαι, αὐτοὶ αν τὸ ίσον έχωσι φαυλότεροι όντες. CAP. XXXIX. δια ταύτα δή νόμφ μεν τούτο άδιχον και αίσχρον λέγεται, τὸ πλέον ζητεῖν ἔχειν τῶν πολλῶν, καὶ άδιχεῖν αὐτὸ χαλοῦσιν· ἡ δέ γε, οἴμαι, φύσις D αὐτὴ ἀποφαίνει αὐτὸ, ὅτι δίκαιόν ἐστι τὸν ἀμείνω του χείρονος πλέον έχειν και τον δυνατώτερον τοῦ ἀδυνατωτέρου. δηλοῖ δὲ ταῦτα πολλαγοῦ ὅτι οὖτως ἔγει, καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἀλλοις ζώοις καὶ των ανθρώπων έν δλαις ταις πόλεσι καὶ τοις γένεσιν, δτι οθτω τὸ δίχαιον κέχριται, τὸν κρείττω τοῦ ηττονος ἄρχειν καὶ πλέον ἔχειν. ἐπεὶ ποίφ δικαίφ γρώμενος Ζέρξης ἐπὶ τὴν Ἑλλάδα ἐστρά-Ε τευσεν, η ό πατηρ αὐτοῦ ἐπὶ Σκύθας; η άλλα μυρία αν τις έχοι τοιαύτα λέγειν. αλλ' οίμαι, οδτοι κατά φύσιν [την του δικαίου] ταυτα πράττουσι, καὶ ναὶ μὰ Δία κατὰ νόμον γε τὸν τῆς φύσεως, οὐ μέντοι ἴσως κατά τοῦτον, ὃν ἡμεῖς τιθέμεθα πλάττοντες τους βελτίστους και έφφωμενεστάτους ήμων αὐτων έχ νέων λαμβάνοντες, ωσπερ λέοντας κατεπάδοντές τε καὶ γοητεύοντες 484 καταδουλούμεθα, λέγοντες, ώς τὸ ἴσον χοὴ ἔχειν καὶ τοῦτό ἐστι τὸ καλὸν καὶ τὸ δίκαιον. ἐαν δέ γε, οίμαι, φύσιν ίχανην γένηται έχων ανήρ, πάντα ταυτα αποσεισάμενος και διαδρήξας και διαφυγών, καταπατήσας τὰ ἡμέτερα γράμματα καὶ μαγγανεύματα καὶ ἐπφδας καὶ νόμους τοὺς παρά φύσιν απαντας, ἐπαναστὰς ἀνεφάνη δεσπότης ημέτερος ο δούλος, και ένταυθα έξέλαμψε το Β της φύσεως δίχαιον. δοχεί δέ μοι χαὶ Πίνδαρος απερ έγω λέγω ένδείχνυσθαι έν τῷ ἄσματι, έν ῷ λέγει, δτι Νόμος ὁ πάντων βασιλεύς θνατών τε καὶ ἀθανάτων οδτος δὲ δή, φησίν, άγει διχαιών το βιαιότατον ύπερτάτα χερί· τεχμαίρομαι ἔργοισιν 'Ηραχλέος, έπεὶ ἀπριάτας -- λέγει οὖτω πως το γὰρ φσμα ούκ επίσταμαι. λέγει δ', ότι ούτε πριάμενος ούτε δόντος του Γηρυόνου ηλάσατο τας βοῦς, ὡς τούτου ὄντος τοῦ δικαίου φύσει, καὶ C βους και τάλλα κτήματα είναι πάντα του βελ-

τίονός τε και κρείττονος τα των χειρόνων τε και CAP. XL. το μεν οθν αληθές ουήττόνων. τως έχει, γνώσει δέ, αν έπὶ τα μείζω έλθης έάσας ήδη φιλοσοφίαν. φιλοσοφία γάρ τοί έστιν, δ Σώχρατες, γαρίεν, αν τις αύτου μετρίως αψηται έν τη ήλικία εάν δε περαιτέρω του δέοντος ένδιατρίψη, διαφθορά των άνθρωπων. έαν γαρ καὶ πάνυ εὐφυὴς ἢ καὶ πόζδω τῆς ἡλικίας φιλο-D σοφή, ανάγχη πάντων άπειρον γεγονέναι έστίν, ών χρη ξμπειρον είναι τον μέλλοντα καλον κάγαθον και εὐδόκιμον ἔσεσθαι ἄνδοα. και γαρ τῶν νόμων ἄπειροι γίγνονται τῶν κατὰ τὴν πόλιν, καὶ τῶν λόγων, οἶς δεῖ χρώμενον ὁμιλεῖν ἐν τοῖς συμβολαίοις τοῖς ἀνθρώποις χαὶ ἰδία χαὶ δημοσία, και των ήδονων τε και επιθυμιών των ανθρωπείων, και συλλήβδην των ήθων παντάπασιν άπειροι γίγνονται. ἐπειδαν οὖν ἔλθωσιν εἴς τινα Ε ίδίαν η πολιτικήν πράξιν, καταγέλαστοι γίγνονται, ωσπερ γε, οίμαι, οί πολιτικοί, ἐπειδαν αδ είς τὰς ὑμετέρας διατριβάς ἔλθωσι καὶ τοὺς λόγους, καταγέλαστοί είσι. συμβαίνει γάρ τὸ τοῦ Εὐριπίδου · λαμπρός τ' ἐστίν ἕκαστος ἐν τούτω.

κάπὶ τοῦτ' ἐπείγεται,
νέμων τὸ πλεῖστον ἡμέρας τούτῳ μέρος,
ἵν' αὐτὸς αύτοῦ τυγχάνῃ βέλτιστος ὧν.

485 όπου δ' αν φαύλος ή, έντεύθεν φεύγει καί λοιδορεί τούτο, τὸ δ' έτερον ἐπαινεί, εὐνοία τῆ ἑαυτού, ἡγούμενος ούτως αὐτὸς ἑαυτὸν ἐπαινείν. ἀλλ', οίμαι, τὸ ὀρθότατόν ἐστιν ἀμφοτέρων μετα-

σχεῖν. φιλοσοφίας μέν, ὅσον παιδείας χάριν, χαλον μετέχειν, χαί ούχ αίσχοον μειραχίφ όντι φιλοσοφείν επειδάν δε ήδη πρεσβύτερος ών άνθρωπος έτι φιλοσοφή, καταγέλαστον, & Σώκρατες, τὸ χρημα γίγνεται, καὶ ἔγωγε ὁμοιότατον πάσχω προς τους φιλοσοφοῦντας ώσπερ προς τους Β ψελλιζομένους καὶ παίζοντας. ὅταν μὲν γὰρ παιδίον ίδω, ῷ ἔτι προσήκει διαλέγεσθαι οὖτω, ψελλιζόμενον καὶ παΐζον, χαίρω τε καὶ χαρίεν μοι φαίνεται καὶ έλευθέριον καὶ πρέπον τῆ τοῦ παιδίου ήλιχία • δταν δε σαφώς διαλεγομένου παιδαρίου ακούσω, πικρόν τί μοι δοκεῖ χρῆμα είναι καὶ ἀνιῷ μου τὰ ὧτα καί μοι δοκεῖ δουλο- C πρεπές τι είναι · όταν δὲ ἀνδρὸς ἀχούση τις ψελλιζομένου η παίζοντα δορά, καταγέλαστον φαίνεται και άνανδρον και πληγών άξιον. ταύτον οδν έγωγε τούτο πάσχω καὶ προς τους φιλοσοφοῦντας. παρα νέφ μεν γαρ μειρακίφ δρών φιλοσοφίαν άγαμαι, καὶ πρέπειν μοι δοκεῖ, καὶ ήγουμαι έλεύθερόν τινα είναι τουτον τὸν ἄνθρωπον, τον δε μή φιλοσοφούντα ανελεύθερον καί οὐδέποτε οὐδενὸς ἀξιώσοντα ξαυτὸν οὔτε καλοῦ D οὖτε γενναίου πράγματος · δταν δὲ δὴ πρεσδύτερον ίδω έτι φιλοσοφούντα καὶ μὴ ἀπαλλαττόμενον, πληγών μοι δοχεῖ ήδη δεῖσθαι, ὧ Σώχρατες, οδτος ὁ ἀνήρ. ὁ γὰρ νῦν δη ἔλεγον, ὑπάρχει τούτφ τῷ ἀνθρώπφ, κᾶν πάνυ εὐφυὴς ἧ, ἀνάνδρφ γενέσθαι φεύγοντι τα μέσα της πόλεως και τας άγοράς, έν αίς έφη ό ποιητής τους άνδρας άριπρεπεις γίγνεσθαι, καταδεδυκότι δε τον λοιπον

βίον βιώναι μετά μειρακίων εν γωνία τριών η Ε τεττάρων ψιθυρίζοντα, έλεύθερον δε καὶ μέγα καὶ ίχανὸν μηδέποτε φθέγξασθαι. CAP. XLI. έγω δέ, δ Σώνρατες, προς σε επιεινώς έγω φιλινώς. κινδυνεύω οὖν πεπονθέναι νῦν ὅπερ ὁ Ζῆθος προς τον Αμφίονα ο Ευριπίδου, οδπερ έμνήσθην. και γαρ έμοι τοιαυτ' άττα έπέργεται προς σε λέγειν, οίάπες έχείνος προς τον άδελφόν, ότι άμελεις, & Σωχρατες, &ν δεί σε έπιμελείσθαι, χαὶ φύσιν ψυγης ὧδε γενναίαν μειρα-486 χιώδει τινὶ διαπρέπεις μορφώματι, χαὶ οὖτ' ἄν δίχης βουλαΐσι προθεῖ' ἄν ὀρθῶς λόγον, οὖτ' εἰκὸς ἂν καὶ πιθανὸν λάβοις, οὖθ' ὑπὲρ ἄλλου νεανικὸν βούλευμα βουλεύσαιο. καίτοι, δ φίλε Σώκρατες — καί μοι μηδεν άχθεσθης εύνοία γαο έρω τη ση --ούχ αἰσχρον δοχεῖ σοι εἶναι οῦτως ἔχειν, ώς ἐγώ σε οίμαι έχειν και τους άλλους τους πόρδω αεί φιλοσοφίας έλαψνοντας; νῦν γὰρ εἴ τις σοῦ λαδόμενος η αλλου δτουουν των τοιούτων εἰς τὸ δεσμωτήριον ἀπαγάγοι, φάσκων ἀδικεῖν μηδὲν Β άδιχοῦντα, οἶσθ' ὅτι οὐχ ᾶν ἔχοις ὅ τι χρήσαιο σαυτῷ, ἀλλ' ἰλιγγιώης ἄν καὶ χασμῷο οὐκ ἔχων δ τι είποις, καὶ είς τὸ δικαστήριον ἀναβάς, κατηγόρου τυχών πάνυ φαύλου καὶ μοχθηρού, ἀποθάνοις άν, εὶ βούλοιτο θανάτου σοι τιμασθαι. καίτοι πως σοφον τουτό έστιν, & Σωκρατες, εί τις εὐφυᾶ λαβοῦσα τέχνη φῶτα ἔθηχε χείο ο ν α, μήτε αὐτὸν αύτῷ δυνάμενον βοηθεῖν μηδ' έχσωσαι έχ των μεγίστων χινδύνων μήτε έαυτον

μήτε ἄλλον μηδένα, ὑπὸ δὲ τῶν ἔχθοῶν περισυλᾶ- C σθαι πᾶσαν τὴν οὐσίαν, ἀτεχνῶς δὲ ἄτιμον ζῆν ἐν τῆ πόλει; τὸν δὲ τοιοῦτον, εἴ τι καὶ ἀγροικότερον εἰρῆσθαι, ἔξεστιν ἐπὶ κόξξης τύπτοντα μὴ διδόναι δίκην. ἀλλ' ὡ 'γαθέ, ἐμοὶ πείθου, παῦσαι δ' ἐλέγχων, πραγμάτων δ' εὐμουσίαν ἄσκει, καὶ ἄσκει ὁπόθεν δόξεις φρονεῖν, ἄλλοις τὰ κομψὰ ταῦτ' ἀφείς, εἴτε ληρήματα χρὴ φάναι εἴναι εἴτε φλυαρίας, ἐξ ὧν κενοῖσιν ἐγκατοικήσεις δόμοις 'ζηλῶν οὐκ ἐλέγχοντας ἄνδρας τὰ μικρὰ ταῦτα, ἀλλ' D οἶς ἐστι καὶ βίος καὶ δόξα καὶ ἄλλα πολλὰ ἀγαθά.

CAP. XLII. ΣΩ. Εὶ χουσῆν ἔχων ἐτύγχανον την ψυχήν, & Καλλίκλεις, ούκ αν οίει με άσμενον εύρεῖν τούτων τινά των λίθων, ή βασανίζουσι τον χουσόν, την αρίστην, προς ηντινα ἔμελλον προσαγαγών αὐτήν, εἴ μοι ὁμολογήσειεν έκείνη καλώς τεθεραπεύσθαι την ψυχήν, εδ εξσεσθαι, δτι ίχανως έχω καὶ οὐδέν μοι δεῖ ἄλλης Ε βασάνου; ΚΑΛ. Προς τί δη τουτ' έρωτας, δ Σ ώχρατες ; $\Sigma \Omega$. Έγώ σοι έρω νῦν. οίμαι έγω σοι έντετυχηκώς τοιούτω έρμαίω έντετυχηκέναι. ΚΑΛ. Τί δή; ΣΩ. Εδ οίδ', δτι, αν μοι συ όμολογήσης περί ών ή έμη ψυχη δοξάζει, ταῦτ' ήδη ἐστὶν αὐτὰ τάληθῆ. ἐννοῷ γὰρ, ὅτι 487 τον μέλλοντα βασανιείν ίχανως ψυχης πέρι όρθως τε ζώσης καὶ μὴ τρία ἀρα δεῖ ἔχειν, ἃ σὺ πάντα έχεις, επιστήμην τε καί εΰνοιαν καί παφόησίαν. έγω γαρ πολλοῖς έντυγχάνω, οί

έμε ούχ οδοί τε εἰσὶ βασανίζειν διά τὸ μή σοφοί είναι ωσπερ σύ · έτεροι δε σοφοί μέν είσιν, ούχ έθέλουσι δέ μοι λέγειν την αλήθειαν δια το μη χήδεσθαί μου ωσπερ σύ τω δε ξένω τώδε, Γορ-Β γίας τε καὶ Πώλος, σοφώ μέν καὶ φίλω ἐστὸν έμώ, ένδεεστέρω δὲ παζδησίας καὶ αἰσχυντηροτέρω μαλλον του δέοντος πως γαρ ου; ω γε είς τοσούτον αἰσχύνης εληλύθατον, ώστε διὰ τὸ αἰσχύνεσθαι τολμα έχατερος αὐτῶν αὐτὸς αύτῷ έναντία λέγειν έναντίον πολλών ανθρώπων, καὶ ταῦτα περὶ τῶν μεγίστων. σὸ δὲ ταῦτα πάντα έχεις, α οί άλλοι ούκ έχουσι πεπαίδευσαί τε γαρ ίκανως, ως πολλοί αν φήσαιεν 'Αθηναίων, καί C έμοί γ' εἶ εὖνους. τίνι τεχμηρίφ χρώμαι; ἐγώ σοι έρω. οίδα ύμως έγω, ω Καλλίκλεις, τέτταρας οντας, ποινωνούς γεγονότας σοφίας, σέ τε παί Τίσανδρον, τὸν ᾿Αφιδναῖον, καὶ Ἦνδρωνα, τὸν 'Ανδροτίωνος, καὶ Ναυσικύδην, τον Χολαργέα. καί ποτε ύμῶν ἐγὰ ἐπήκουσα βουλευομένων μέχρι δποι την σοφίαν άσκητέον είη, και οίδα, δτι ... ἐνίχα ἐν ὑμῖν τοιάδε τις δόξα, μὴ προθυμεῖσθαι D είς την αχρίβειαν φιλοσοφεῖν, αλλα εὐλαβεῖσθαι παρεχελεύεσθε άλλήλοις, ὅπως μὴ πέρα τοῦ δέοντος σοφώτεροι γενόμενοι λήσετε διαφθαρέντες. έπειδη οὖν σου ἀχούω ταῦτα ἐμοὶ συμβουλεύοντος, απερ τοις σεαυτου έταιροτάτοις, ίχανόν μοι τεχμήριον έστιν, ὅτι ὡς ἀληθῶς μοι εΰνους εἶ. καὶ μὴν, ὅτι γε οίος παὸδησιάζεσθαι καὶ μὴ αἰσχύνεσθαι, αὐτός τε φής καὶ ὁ λόγος, δν ὀλίγον πρότερον έλεγες, όμολογεί σοι. έχει δή ούτωσὶ

δῆλον ὅτι τούτων πέρι νυνί · ἐάν τι σὺ ἐν τοῖς Ε λόγοις δμολογήσης μοι, βεβασανισμένον τοῦτ' ήδη έσται ίχανως ύπ' έμου τε καὶ σου, καὶ οὐκέτι αύτο δεήσει έπ' άλλην βάσανον άναφέρειν. οὐ γαρ αν ποτε αυτό συνεχώρησας συ ουτε σοφίας ένδεία οὐτ' αἰσχύνης περιουσία οὐδ' αὖ ἀπατων έμε συγχωρήσαις άν φίλος γάρ μοι εί, ώς καὶ αὐτὸς φής. τῷ ὄντι οὖν ἡ ἐμὴ καὶ σὴ ὁμολογία τέλος ήδη έξει της άληθείας. πάντων δὲ καλλίστη έστιν ή σκέψις, & Καλλίκλεις, περί τούτων ών συ δή μοι έπετίμησας, ποϊόν τινα χρή είναι τον άνδρα καὶ τί ἐπιτηδεύειν καὶ μέχρι τοῦ,488 καὶ πρεσδύτερον καὶ νεώτερον ὄντα. ἐγω γαρ εἴ τι μή όρθως πράττω κατά τον βίον τον έμαυτου, εὖ ἴσθι τοῦτο ὅτι οὐχ ἐχων ἐξαμαρτάνω, ἀλλ' άμαθία τη έμη. συ οδν, ωσπες ήςξω νουθετείν με, μη ἀποστῆς, άλλ' ίχανῶς μοι ἔνδειξαι τί ἐστι τούτο, δ ἐπιτηδευτέον μοι, καὶ τίνα τρόπον κτησαίμην αν αὐτό. καὶ ἐάν με λάβης νῦν μέν σοι ομολογήσαντα, εν δε τῷ ύστεςο χρόνφ μὴ ταῦτα πράττοντα, ἄπερ ώμολόγησα, πάνυ με ήγοῦ βλᾶκα είναι καὶ μηκέτι ποτέ με νουθετήσης υστερον, Β ώς μηδενος άξιον όντα. έξ άρχης δέ μοι έπανάλαβε, πως φής τὸ δίχαιον έχειν καὶ σὺ καὶ Πίνδαρος το κατά φύσιν; άγειν βία τον κρείττω τά τῶν ἡττόνων καὶ ἀρχειν τὸν βελτίω τῶν χειρόνων χαὶ πλέον ἔχειν τον ἀμείνω τοῦ φαυλοτέρου; μή τι άλλο λέγεις το δίκαιον είναι, η όρθως μέ**υνημαι**;

CAP. XLIII. ΚΑΔ. 'Αλλά ταῦτα ἔλεχον

καὶ τότε, καὶ νῦν λέγω. ΣΩ. Πότερον δὲ τὸν C αὐτὸν βελτίω καλεῖς σὺ καὶ κρείττω; οὐδὲ γάρ τοι τότε οδός τ' ή μαθεῖν σου τί ποτε λέγεις. πότερον τους ισχυροτέρους χρείττους χαλείς χαί δεῖ ἀχροᾶσθαι τοῦ ἰσχυροτέρου τοὺς ἀσθενεστέρους, οζόν μοι δοχεῖς χαὶ τότε ἐνδείχνυσθαι, ὡς αἱ μεγάλαι πόλεις έπὶ τὰς σμικρὰς κατὰ τὸ φύσει δίχαιον ἔρχονται, δτι χρείττους είσὶ χαὶ ἰσχυρότεραι, ώς το πρείττον και ίσχυρότερον και βέλτιον ταύτον ον, η έστι βελτίω μέν είναι, ήττω δε καί ασθενέστερον, και κρείττω μεν είναι, μοχθηρότε-D ρον δέ· η ὁ αὐτὸς δρος ἐστὶ τοῦ βελτίονος καὶ του χρείττονος; τουτό μοι αυτό σαφώς διόρισον, ταύτον, η ετερόν έστι το πρείττον παι το βέλτιον καὶ τὸ ἰσχυρότερον; ΚΑΛ. 'Αλλ' ἐγώ σοι σαφως λέγω, ότι ταὐτόν έστιν. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν οί πολλοί του ένος πρείττους είσι πατά φύσιν; οί δή και τους νόμους τίθενται έπι τῷ ένί, ώσπες και σὺ ἄρτι ἔλεγες. KAA. Πῶς γὰρ οὖ; $\Sigma\Omega$. Τὰ τῶν πολλῶν ἄρα νόμιμα τὰ τῶν κρειττόνων E ἐστί. $KA\Lambda$. Πάνυ γε. $\Sigma\Omega$. Οὐχοῦν τὰ τῶν βελτιόνων; οί γαρ πρείττους βελτίους πολύ χατὰ τὸν σὸν λόγον. ΚΑΛ. Ναί. $\Sigma\Omega$. Οὐχοῦν τὰ τούτων νόμιμα χατὰ φύσιν χαλά, χρειττόνων γε οντων; ΚΑΛ. Φημί. $\Sigma\Omega$. 3 Α $_{0}$, οὖν οί πολλοί νομίζουσιν ούτως, ώς ἄρτι αὖ σὺ ἔλεγες, 489 δίχαιον είναι τὸ ἴσον ἔχειν χαὶ αἴσχιον τὸ ἀδιχεῖν τοῦ ἀδικεῖσθαι; ἔστι ταῦτα, ἢ οὖ; καὶ ὅπως μὴ άλώσει ένταῦθα συ αἰσχυνόμενος. νομίζουσιν, ή ού, οί πολλοί τὸ ἴσον ἔχειν άλλ' οὐ τὸ πλέον

δίκαιον είναι, καὶ αἴσχιον τὸ ἀδικεῖν τοῦ ἀδικεῖσθαι; Μὴ φθόνει μοι ἀποκρίνασθαι τοῦτο, Καλλίκλεις, ἵν', ἐάν μοι ὁμολογήσης, βεβαιώσωμαι ἤδη παρὰ σοῦ, ἄτε ἱκανοῦ ἀνδρὸς διαγνῶναι ὡμολογηκότος. ΚΑΛ. ᾿Αλλ' οῖ γε πολλοὶ νομίζουσιν οὕτως. ΣΩ. Οὐ νόμω ἀρα μόνον ἐστὶν αἴσχιον τὸ ἀδικεῖν τοῦ ἀδικεῖσθαι, οὐδὲ δίκαιον τὸ ἴσον ἔχειν, ἀλλὰ καὶ φύσει · ὥστε κινδυνεύεις Βοὐκ ἀληθῆ λέγειν ἐν τοῖς πρόσθεν οὐδὲ ὀρθῶς ἔμοῦ κατηγορεῖν λέγων ὅτι ἐναντίον ἐστὶν ὁ νόμος καὶ ἡ φύσις, ἃ δὴ καὶ ἐγὼ γνοὺς κακουργῶ ἐν τοῖς λόγοις, ἐαν μέν τις κατὰ φύσιν λέγη, ἐπὶ τὸν νόμον ἄγων, ἐαν δὲ τις κατὰ τὸν νόμον, ἐπὶ τὴν φύσιν.

CAP. XLIV. ΚΑΛ. Ούτοσὶ ἀνήρ οὐ παύσεται φλυαρών. Είπέ μοι, ὁ Σώκρατες, οὐκ αίσχύνει, τηλιχούτος ών, ονόματα θηρεύων, καὶ ἐάν τις δήματι άμάρτη, ξομαιον τοῦτο ποιούμενος; C έμε γαρ οίει άλλο τι λέγειν το πρείττους είναι η τὸ βελτίους; οὐ πάλαι σοι λέγω, ὅτι ταὐτόν φημι είναι τὸ βέλτιον καὶ τὸ κρεῖττον; ἢ οἴει με λέγειν, έαν συρφετός συλλεγή δούλων καὶ παντοδαπῶν ἀνθρώπων μηδενὸς ἀξίων πλην ἴσως τῷ σώματι ίσχυρίσασθαι, καὶ οδτοι φωσιν, αὐτὰ ταῦτα είναι νόμιμα; ΣΩ. Είεν, δ σοφώτατε Καλλίκλεις · ούτω λέγεις; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ μεν ούν. D $\Sigma\Omega$. 'Αλλ' έγω μέν, δ δαιμόνιε, καὶ αὐτὸς πάλαι τοπάζω τοιοῦτόν τί σε λέγειν τὸ κρεῖττον, καὶ ανερωτώ γλιχόμενος σαφώς είδέναι δ τι λέγεις. ού γαο δήπου σύ γε τους δύο βελτίους ήγει του

ένός, οὐδὲ τοὺς σοὺς δούλους βελτίους σοῦ, δτι ἰσγυρότεροί εἰσιν ἢ σύ. ἀλλὰ πάλιν ἐξ ἀρχῆς είπέ, τί ποτε λέγεις τους βελτίους, ἐπειδή οὐ τους ισχυροτέρους; καί, δ θαυμάσιε, πραότερόν με Ε προδίδασχε, ίνα μη ἀποφοιτήσω παρά σου. ΚΑΛ. Εἰρωνεύει, ὁ Σώκρατες. ΣΩ. Οὐ μὰ τον Ζηθον, & Καλλίκλεις, & συ χρώμενος πολλά νῦν δη εἰρωνεύου πρός με. άλλ' ἴθι εἰπέ, τίνας λέγεις τους βελτίους είναι; ΚΑΛ. Τους αμείνους έγωγε. ΣΩ. 'Οράς άρα, ότι συ αυτός όνόματα λέγεις, δηλοίς δε ούδεν. Ούκ έρεις τους βελτίους και κρείττους πότερον τους φρονιμωτέgous λέγεις, η άλλους τινάς; ΚΑΛ. 'Αλλα vai μα Δία τούτους λέγω, καὶ σφόδοα γε. $\Sigma\Omega$. Πολλάχις ἄρα είς φρονών μυρίων μή φρονούν-190 των κρείττων έστι κατά τον σον λόγον, και τουτον ἄρχειν δεῖ, τοὺς δ' ἄρχεσθαι, καὶ πλέον ἔχειν τον ἄρχοντα τῶν ἀρχομένων. τοῦτο γάρ μοι δοκείς βούλεσθαι λέγειν - καὶ οὐ ξήματα θηφεύω —, εὶ ὁ εἶς τῶν μυρίων πρείττων. ΚΑΛ. 'Αλλα ταῦτ' ἔστιν α λέγω. τοῦτο γαρ οίμαι έγω το δίκαιον είναι φύσει, το βελτίω όντα καί φρονιμώτερον καὶ ἀρχειν καὶ πλέον ἔχειν τῶν φαυλοτέρων.

Β CAP. XLV. ΣΩ. Έχε δη αὐτοῦ. τί ποτε αὖ νῦν λέγεις; ἐἀν ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ τῶμεν, ὅσπες νῦν, πολλοὶ ἀθρόοι ἄνθρωποι, καὶ ἡμῖν ἢ ἐν κοινῷ πολλὰ σιτία καὶ ποτά, τῶμεν δὲ παντοδαποί, οἱ μὲν ἰσχυροί, οἱ δὲ ἀσθενεῖς, εἶς δὲ ἡμῶν ἢ φρονιμώτερος περὶ ταῦτα ἰατρὸς τῷν, ἢ δέ, οἶον εἰκός,

των μεν ισχυρότερος, των δε ασθενέστερος, αλλο τι η οδτος φρονιμώτερος ημών ων βελτίων και κρείττων ἔσται εἰς ταῦτα; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. $^{f s}H$ οὖν τούτων τῶν σιτίων πλέον ἡμῶν ξατέον $^{f C}$ αὐτῷ, ὅτι βελτίων ἐστίν, ἢ τῷ μὲν ἄρχειν πάντα έχεινον δει νέμειν, έν δε τῷ ἀναλίσχειν τε αὐτὰ καὶ καταγοήσθαι είς τὸ ξαυτοῦ σῶμα οὐ πλεονεχτητέον, εί μη μέλλει ζημιούσθαι, άλλα των μέν πλέον, των δ' έλαττον έκτέον · ἐαν δὲ τύγη πάντων ἀσθενέστατος ών, πάντων ἐλάχιστον τῷ βελτίστφ, δ Καλλίκλεις; ούχ ούτως, δ 'γαθέ; ΚΑΛ. Περί σιτία λέγεις και ποτά και ιατρούς και φλυαρίας · έγω δε ού ταυτα λέγω. ΣΩ. Πότερον D οδν τον φρονιμώτερον βελτίω λέγεις; Φάθι η μή. ΚΑΛ. "Εγωγε. ΣΩ. 'Αλλ' οὐ τὸν βελτίω πλέον δεῖν ἔχειν; ΚΑΛ. Οὐ σιτίων γε οὐδὲ ποτών. $\Sigma\Omega$. Μανθάνω, άλλ' ἔσως ξματίων, καὶ δεῖ τὸν ὑφαντικώτατον μέγιστον ἱμάτιον ἔχειν καὶ πλεῖστα καὶ κάλλιστα ἀμπεχόμενον περιιέvai. KAA. Hoίων ἱματίων; $\Sigma\Omega$. 'All' εἰς ύποδήματα δήλον ότι δεί πλεονεκτείν τον φρονιμώτερον είς ταῦτα καὶ βέλτιστον. τον σκυτοτό-Ε μον ΐσως μέγιστα δεῖ ὑποδήματα καὶ πλεῖστα ύποδεδεμένον περιπατεΐν. ΚΑΛ. Ποΐα ύποδήματα φλυαρείς έχων; ΣΩ. 'Αλλ' εἰ μὴ τὰ τοιαύτα λέγεις, ζοως τὰ τοιάδε · οἶον γεωργικὸν άνδρα περί γῆν φρόνιμόν τε καὶ καλὸν καὶ ἀγαθόν, τοῦτον δη ἴσως δεῖ πλεονεχτεῖν τῶν σπερμάτων και ώς πλείστω σπέρματι χρησθαι είς την αύτου γην. ΚΑΛ. 'Ως ἀεὶ ταὐτὰ λέγεις, δ

Σώχρατες. ΣΩ. Οὐ μόνον γε, δ Καλλίκλεις, 491 άλλα καὶ περὶ τῶν αὐτῶν. ΚΑΛ. Νὴ τοὺς θεούς, ατεχνώς γε αεί σχυτέας τε χαί χναφέας καὶ μαγείρους λέγων καὶ ἰατρούς οὐδὲν παύει, ώς περί τούτων ήμιν όντα τον λόγον. ΣΩ. Ούχοῦν στ έρεις περί τίνων ὁ χρείττων τε χαί φρονιμώτερος πλέον έχων δικαίως πλεονεκτεί; η οὖτε ἐμοῦ ὑποβάλλοντος ἀνέξει οὖτ' αὐτὸς έρεῖς; ΚΑΛ. 'Αλλ' ἔγωγε καὶ πάλαι λέγω. πρώτον μέν τους χρείττους οδ είσιν, ού σχυτοτό-Β μους λέγω οὐδὲ μαγείρους, άλλ' οδ αν είς τα της πόλεως πράγματα φρόνιμοι δσιν, δντινα αν τρόπον εὖ οἰχοῖτο, καὶ μὴ μόνον φρόνιμοι, ἀλλα και ανδρείοι, ίκανοι όντες α αν νοήσωσιν έπιτελεῖν, καὶ μὴ ἀποκάμνωσι διὰ μαλακίαν ψυχῆς.

CAP. XLVI. ΣΩ. 'Οράς, ὅ βέλτιστε Καλλίκλεις, ὡς οὐ ταὐτὰ σύ τ' ἔμοῦ κατηγορεῖς καὶ ἔγῶ σοῦ; σὺ μὲν γὰρ ἔμὲ φὴς ἀεὶ ταὐτὰ λέγειν, καὶ μέμφει μοι: ἔγῶ δε σοῦ τοὐναντίον, ὅτι οὐ-C δέποτε ταὐτὰ λέγεις περὶ τῶν αὐτῶν, ἀλλὰ τοτὲ μὲν τοὺς βελτίους τε καὶ κρείττους τοὺς ἰσχυροτέρους ὡρίζου, αὖθις δὲ τοὺς φρονιμωτέρους, νῦν δ' αὖ ἔτερόν τι ἤκεις ἔχων ἀνδρειότεροί τινες ὑπὸ σοῦ λέγονται οἱ κρείττους καὶ οἱ βελτίους. ἀλλ' ὁ 'γαθέ, εἰπῶν ἀπαλλάγηθι τίνας ποτὲ λέγεις τοὺς βελτίους τε καὶ κρείττους καὶ εἰς ὅ τι. ΚΑΛ. 'Αλλ' εἴρηκά γε ἔγωγε τοὺς φρονίμους D εἰς τὰ τῆς πόλεως πράγματα καὶ ἀνδρείους. τούτους γὰρ προσήκει τῶν πόλεων ἄρχειν, καὶ

τὸ δίχαιον τοῦτ' ἐστί, πλέον ἔχειν τούτους τῶν άλλων, τους άρχοντας των άρχομένων. ΣΩ. Τί δέ; αύτων, ω έταιςε; [ἢ τί ἄρχοντας ἢ ἀρχομένους ;] ΚΑΛ. Πως λέγεις ; ΣΩ. Ενα ... Εκαστον λέγω αὐτὸν ξαυτοῦ ἄρχοντα. ἢ τοῦτο μεν ούδεν δεί, αύτον έαυτου ἄρχειν, των δε άλ-. λων ; ΚΑΛ. Πως ξαυτου ἄρχοντα λέγεις ; ΣΩ. Οὐδὲν ποιχίλον, ἀλλ' ώσπες οἱ πολλοί, σώφρονα όντα καὶ έγκρατη αὐτον ξαυτοῦ, τῶν ήδονών καὶ ἐπιθυμιών ἄρχοντα τών ἐν ἑαυτῷ. Ε ΚΑΛ. 'Ως ήδυς εί! τους ήλιθίους λέγεις τους σώφρονας. ΣΩ. Πῶς γὰρ οἔ; οὐδεὶς ὅστις ούχ αν γνοίη, δτι ούτω λέγω. ΚΑΔ. Πάνυ γε σφόδρα, & Σώκρατες · έπεὶ πῶς ἂν εὐδαίμων γένοιτο άνθρωπος δουλεύων ότωουν; άλλα τουτ' έστι το κατά φύσιν καλον και δίκαιον, δ έγώ σοι νῦν παζόησιαζόμενος λέγω, ὅτι δεῖ τον ὀρθῶς βιωσόμενον τας μεν επιθυμίας τας εαυτοῦ εάν ώς μεγίστας είναι και μή κολάζειν, ταύταις δὲ ώς μεγίσταις οὖσαις ίκανὸν είναι ὑπηρετεῖν δι'492 ανδρείαν και φρόνησιν και αποπιμπλάναι ων αν άει ή ἐπιθυμία γίγνηται. άλλα τοῦτ', οίμαι, τοῖς πολλοῖς οὐ δυνατόν . ὅθεν ψέγουσι τοὺς τοιούτους δι' αἰσχύνην ἀποχουπτόμενοι την αύτων άδυναμίαν, και αισχρόν δή φασιν είναι την ακολασίαν · ὅπερ ἐν τοῖς πρόσθεν ἐγὰ ἔλεγον, δουλούμενοι τους βελτίους την φύσιν ανθοώπους, και αύτοι ού δυνάμενοι έκπορίζεσθαι ταῖς ήδοναῖς πλήρωσιν ἐπαινοῦσι τὴν σωφροσύνην Β καὶ τὴν δικαιοσύνην διὰ τὴν αὐτῶν ἀνανδρίαν.

έπεί γε οίς έξ άρχης ύπηρξεν η βασιλέων υίέσιν είναι η αὐτούς τη φύσει ίχανούς έχπορίσασθαι ἀρχήν τινα ἢ τυραννίδα ἢ δυναστείαν, τί τῷ άληθεία αἴσχιον καὶ κάκιον εἴη σωφροσύνης [καὶ δικαιοσύνης] τούτοις τοῖς ἀνθρώποις; οἶς έξον απολαύειν των αγαθών και μηδενός έμποδών οντος, αύτοι ξαυτοίς δεσπότην ξπαγάγοιντο τον των πολλων ανθοώπων νόμον τε χαὶ λόγον C καὶ ψόγον; ἢ πῶς οὐκ ἀν ἄθλιοι γεγονότες εἴησαν ύπο του καλού του της δικαιοσύνης και της σωφροσύνης, μηδεν πλέον νέμοντες τοις φίλοις τοις αύτων η τοις έχθροις, και ταυτα άρχοντες έν τῆ έαυτων πόλει; αλλα τῆ αληθεία, ω Σώκρατες, ην φης συ διώκειν, ώδ' έχει τρυφή καί απολασία καὶ έλευθερία, ἐαν ἐπικουρίαν ἔχη; τοῦτ' ἐστὶν ἀρετή τε καὶ εὐδαιμονία · τὰ δὲ ἀλλα ταῦτ' ἐστὶ τὰ χαλλωπίσματα, τὰ παρὰ φύσιν συνθήματα, άνθρώπων φλυαρία καὶ οὐδενὸς ἄξια.

D CAP. XLVII. ΣΩ. Οὐκ ἀγεννῶς γε, ὧ Καλλίκλεις, ἐπεξέρχει τῷ λόγῳ παὀξησιαζόμενος σαφῶς γὰρ σὰ νῦν λέγεις ἃ οἱ ἀλλοι διανοοῦνται μέν, λέγειν δὲ οὐκ ἐθέλουσι. δέομαι οὖν ἐγώ σου μηδενὶ τρόπῳ ἀνεῖναι, ἵνα τῷ ὄντι κατάδηλον γένηται πῶς βιωτέον. καί μοι λέγε τὰς μὲν ἐπιθυμίας φὴς οὐ κολαστέον, εἰ μέλλει τις οἶον δεῖ εἶναι, ἐῶντα δὲ αὐτὰς ὡς μεγίστας πλήρωσιν αὐταῖς ἀμόθεν γέ ποθεν ἑτοιμάζειν, καὶ τοῦτο εἶναι τὴν ἀρετήν; ΚΑΛ. Φημὶ Εταῦτα ἐγώ. ΣΩ. Οὐκ ἄρα ὀρθῶς λέγονται οἱ

μηδενός δεόμενοι εὐδαίμονες εἶναι. ΚΑΛ. Οἱ λίθοι γὰς ἄν οὕτω γε καὶ οἱ νεκροὶ εὐδαιμονέστατοι εἶεν. ΣΩ. 'Αλλὰ μὲν δη καὶ ώς γε σῦ λέγεις δεινὸς ὁ βίος. οὐ γάς τοι θαυμάζοιμ' ἄν, εἰ Εὐριπίδης ἀληθῆ ἐν τοῖσδε λέγει, λέγων

τίς δ' οίδεν, εἰ τὸ ζῆν μέν ἐστι κατθανεῖν, τὸ κατθανεῖν δὲ ζῆν;

και ήμεις τῷ ὄντι ἴσως τέθναμεν • ὅπερ ἡδη του 498 ἔγωγε καὶ ἤκουσα τῶν σοφῶν, ὡς νῦν ἡμεῖς τέθναμεν, καὶ τὸ μὲν σῶμά ἐστιν ἡμῖν σῆμα, τῆς δὲ ψυχης τουτο, έν ῷ ἐπιθυμίαι εἰσί, τυγχάνει ὂν οίον αναπείθεσθαι καί μεταπίπτειν άνω κάτω, χαὶ τοῦτο ἄρα τις μυθολογῶν χομψὸς ἀνήρ, ἴσως Σικελός τις η 'Ιταλικός, παράγων τῷ ὀνόματι διὰ τὸ πιθανόν τε καὶ πιστικὸν ἀνόμασε πίθον, τοὺς δὲ ἀνοήτους ἀμυήτους · τῶν δ[;] ἀμυήτων τοῦτο Β της ψυχης, οδ αί επιθυμίαι είσι, το ακόλαστον αὐτοῦ χαὶ οὐ στεγανόν, ώς τετρημένος εἴη πίθος, δια την απληστίαν απειχάσας. τούναντίον δη οὖτος σοί, ὧ Καλλίκλεις, ἐνδείκνυται, ὡς τῶν ἐν "Αιδου — το ἀειδες δη λέγων — οδτοι ἀθλιώτατοι αν είεν οί αμύητοι, καί φοροίεν είς τον τετρημένον πίθον ΰδωρ έτέρω τοιούτω τετρημένω χοσχίνω · τὸ δὲ χόσχινον ἄρα λέγει, ώς ἔφη ὁ πρὸς έμε λέγων, την ψυχην είναι · την δε ψυχην xo-C σχίνω απείχασε την των ανοήτων ώς τετοημένην, άτε ού δυναμένην στέγειν δι' απιστίαν τε καί λήθην. ταῦτ' ἐπιειχῶς μέν ἐστιν ὑπό τι ἄτοπα, δηλοῖ μην δ έγω βούλομαί σοι ένδειξάμενος, ἐάν πως οίδς τε ώ, πείσαι μεταθέσθαι, αντί του απλήστως καὶ ἀκολάστως ἔχοντος βίου τον κοσμίως καὶ τοῖς ἀεὶ παροῦσιν ἱκανῶς καὶ ἔξαρκούντως ἔχοντα βίον έλέσθαι. ἀλλὰ πότερον πείθω τί σε D καὶ μετατίθεσαι εὐδαιμονεστέρους εἶναι τοὺς κοσμίους τῶν ἀκολάστων, ἢ οὐδέν, ἀλλ' ἄν καὶ πολλὰ τοιαῦτα μυθολογῶ, οὐδέν τι μᾶλλον μεταθήσει; ΚΑΛ. Τοῦτ' ἀληθέστερον εἶρηκας, ὧ Σώπρατες.

CAP. XLVIII. $\Sigma\Omega$. Φ έρε δή, ἄλλην σοι εἰκόνα λέγω έκ τοῦ αὐτοῦ γυμνασίου τῆ νῦν. σκόπει γάρ, εί τοιόνδε λέγεις περί του βίου έχατέρου τοῦ τε σώφρονος καὶ τοῦ ἀκολάστου, οἶον εἰ δυεῖν ἀνδροῖν έχατέρφ πίθοι πολλοὶ εἶεν, καὶ τῷ Ε μεν επέρφ ύγιεις και πλήρεις, ὁ μεν οίνου, ὁ δε μέλιτος, ὁ δὲ γάλαχτος χαὶ ἄλλοι πολλοὶ πολλῶν, νάματα δὲ σπάνια καὶ χαλεπὰ ἐκάστου τούτων είη και μετά πολλών πόνων και χαλεπών έκποοιζόμενα · ο μεν οδν έτερος πληρωσάμενος μήτ' έποχετεύοι μήτε τι φροντίζοι, άλλ' ένεκα τούτων ήσυχίαν έχοι · τῷ δ' έτέρῳ τὰ μὲν νάματα, ώσπερ καὶ ἐκείνω, δυνατὰ μεν πορίζεσθαι, χαλεπά δέ, τὰ δ' ἀγγεῖα τετρημένα καὶ σαθρά, καὶ ἀναγκά-494 ζοιτο ἀεὶ καὶ νύκτα καὶ ἡμέραν πιμπλάναι αὐτά, η τας εσχάτας λυποίτο λύπας δρα τοιούτου έχατέρω όντος του βίου, λέγεις τον του αχολάστου εὐδαιμονέστερον είναι ἢ τον τοῦ ποσμίου; πείθω τί σε ταῦτα λέγων συγχωρῆσαι τὸν χόσμιον βίον τοῦ ἀχολάστου ἀμείνω είναι, ἢ οὐ πείθω; ΚΑΛ. Οὐ πείθεις, ὧ Σώχρατες. τῷ μὲν γὰρ πληρωσαμένω έχείνω οὐκέτ' ἔστιν ήδονή οὐδεμία,

ἀλλὰ τοῦτ' ἔστιν, ὁ νῦν δὴ ἐγὼ ἔλεγον, τὸ ὅσπερ λίθον ζῆν, ἐπειδὰν πληρώση, μήτε χαίροντα ἔτι Β μήτε λυπούμενον. ἀλλ' ἐν τούτῷ ἐστὶ τὸ ἡδέως ζῆν, ἐν τῷ ὡς πλεῖστον ἐπιφρεῖν. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν ἀνάγχη γ', ἄν πολὺ ἐπιφρέη, πολὺ καὶ τὸ ἀπιὸν είναι καὶ μεγάλ' ἄττα τὰ τρήματα είναι ταῖς ἐχροαῖς; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ μὲν οὖν. ΣΩ. Χαραδριοῦ τινα αὖ σὺ βίον λέγεις, ἀλλ' οὐ νεχροῦ οὐδὲ λίθου. καί μοι λέγε, τὸ τοιόνδε λέγεις, οἴον πεινῆν καὶ πεινῶντα ἐσθίειν; ΚΛΛ. "Εγωγε. ΣΩ. Καὶ διψῆν γε καὶ διψῶντα πίνειν; ΚΛΛ. Ο Λέγω, καὶ τὰς ἄλλας ἐπιθυμίας ἁπάσας ἔχοντα καὶ δυνάμενον πληροῦντα χαίροντα εὐδαιμόνως ζῆν.

CAP. XLIX. ΣΩ. Εὖγε, ὧ βέλτιστε· διατέλει γαρ ωσπερ ήρξω, και δπως μη απαισχυνεί. δεῖ δέ, ώς ἔοικε, μηδ' ἐμὲ ἀπαισχυνθῆναι. πρώτον μέν είπε, εί και ψωρώντα και κνησιώντα, άφθόνως έχοντα τοῦ χνῆσθαι, χνώμενον διατελουντα τὸν βίον εὐδαιμόνως ἔστι ζῆν. ΚΑΛ. D 'Ως άτοπος εί, ὧ Σώχρατες, καὶ άτεχνῶς δημηγόρος. ΣΩ. Τοιγάρτοι, ὁ Καλλίκλεις, Πῶλον μεν καί Γοργίαν και εξέπληξα και αισγύνεσθαι έποίησα, συ δε ου μή έκπλαγης ουδε μή αισχυνθης. άνδρεῖος γὰρ εί. άλλ' ἀποκρίνου μόνον. ΚΑΛ. Φημί τοίνυν και τον κνώμενον ήδέως αν βιώναι. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν εἴπεο ἡδέως, καὶ εὐδαιμόνως; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Πότερον εὶ τὴν κεφα- Ε λην μόνον χνησιώ, η έτι τί σε έρωτώ; δρα, δ Καλλίκλεις, τί ἀποκρινεῖ, ἐάν τίς σε τὰ ἐχόμενα

τούτοις εφεξης απαντα έρωτα. καί, *τὸ* τούτων τοιούτων όντων κετράλαιον, ό των κιναίδων βίος ούτος ού δεινός και αίσχρός και άθλιος; ή τούτους τολμήσεις λέγειν εὐδαίμονας εἶναι, ἐἀν αφθόνως έχωσιν ων δέονται; ΚΑΛ. Ούκ αίσχύνει είς τοιαυτα άγων, & Σώκρατες, τους λόγους; $oldsymbol{\Sigma} oldsymbol{\Omega}$. 3H γὰ ${}_0$ ἐγὰ ἀγω ἐνταῦθα, $oldsymbol{\check{a}}$ γενναίε, ή έχεινος, δς αν φή ανέδην ούτω τους **195** χαίροντας, ὅπως ἂν χαίρωσιν, εὐδαίμονας εἶναι, καὶ μὴ διορίζηται τῶν ἡδονῶν ὁποῖαι ἀγαθαὶ καὶ κακαί; άλλ' ἔτι καὶ νῦν λέγε, πότερον φὴς είναι τὸ αὐτὸ ἡδὺ καὶ ἀγαθόν, ἢ εἶναί τι τῶν ἡδέων, δ ούκ ἔστιν ἀγαθόν; ΚΑΛ. Γίνα δή μοι μή ἀνομολογούμενος ή ό λόγος, ἐὰν Ετερον φήσω είναι, τὸ αὐτό φημι είναι. ΣΩ. Διαφθείρεις, Ε Καλλίκλεις, τους πρώτους λόγους, καὶ οὐκ ἄν ἔτι μετ' έμου ίχανως τα όντα έξετάζοις, είπερ παρά τα Β δοχούντα σαυτῷ ἐρεῖς. ΚΑΛ. Καὶ γὰρ σύ, ὧ Σώχρατες. ΣΩ. Οὐ τοίνυν ὀρθῶς ποιῶ οὐτ' έγω, είπεο ποιω τούτο, οὐτε σύ. άλλ', ω μακά**ριε, ἄθρει μή οὐ τοῦτο ἢ τὸ ἀγαθόν, τὸ πάντως** χαίρειν · ταυτά τε γάρ τὰ νυν δή αινιχθέντα πολλά καὶ αἰσγοὰ φαίνεται συμβαίνοντα, εὶ τοῦτο ουτως έχει, καὶ άλλα πολλά. ΚΑΛ. 'Ως σύ γε οἴει, ὦ Σώχρατες. ΣΩ. Σὺ δὲ τῷ ὄντι, ὦ Καλλίκλεις, ταυτα ἐσχυρίζει; ΚΑΛ. "Εγωγε. C CAP. L. ΣΩ. Ἐπιχειοωμεν ἄρα τῷ λόγω, ώς σου σπουδάζοντος; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε σφόδοα. ΣΩ. "Ιθι δή μοι, ἐπειδη ουτω δοχεῖ, διελοῦ τάδε. έπιστήμην που καλείς τι; ΚΑΛ. Εγωγε.

 $\Sigma\Omega$. $O\dot{v}$ καὶ ἀνδρείαν νῦν δή ἔλεγές τινα εἶναι μετά ἐπιστήμης; ΚΑΛ. Ελεγον γάρ. ΣΩ. Αλλο τι οὖν ώς ετερον τὴν ἀνδρείαν τῆς ἐπιστήμης δύο ταῦτα ἔλεγες; ΚΑΛ. Σφόδοα γε. $oldsymbol{\Sigma}\Omega$. Τί δέ; ήδονην καὶ ἐπιστήμην ταὐτὸν, $\hat{\eta}$ D έτερον ; ΚΑΑ. Έτερον δήπου, δ σοφώτατε σύ. $\Sigma \Omega$. ^{3}H καὶ ἀνδρείαν ξτέραν ἡδονῆς; $KA\Lambda$. Πῶς γὰρ οὖ; ΣΩ. Φέρε δη ὅπως μεμνησόμεθα ταῦτα, ὅτι Καλλικλῆς ἔφη ὁ ᾿Αχαρνεὺς ἡδὺ μὲν καὶ ἀγαθὸν ταὐτὸν είναι, ἐπιστήμην δὲ καὶ ἀνδρείαν χαὶ ἀλλήλων χαὶ τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ ἑιερον. ΚΑΛ. Σωχράτης δέ γε ήμιν ό 'Αλωπεχηθεν ούχ όμολογεῖ ταῦτα · ἢ όμολογεῖ ; ΣΩ. Οὐχ όμολο- Ε γεί · οίμαι δέ γε ούδὲ Καλλικλής, δταν αὐτὸς αύτον θεάσηται όρθως. είπε γάρ μοι, τους εδ πράττοντας τοις χαχώς πράττουσιν οὐ τοὐναντίον ήγει πάθος πεπονθέναι; ΚΑΛ. "Εγωγε. ΣΩ. $^{5}A_{0}$ ' οὖν, εἴπε $_{0}$ ἐναντία ἐστὶ ταῦτα ἀλλήλοις, ανάγκη περί αὐτῶν ἔχειν ωσπερ περί ὑγιείας ἔχει καὶ νόσου; οὐ γὰρ άμα δήπου ὑγιαίνει τε καὶ νοσεῖ ὁ ἄνθρωπος, οὐδὲ ἄμα ἀπαλλάττεται ὑγιείας τε καὶ νόσου. ΚΑΛ. Πῶς λέγεις; ΣΩ. Οζον περί δτου βούλει τοῦ σώματος ἀπολαβών σχόπει. 498 νοσεῖ που ἄνθρωπος ὀφθαλμούς, ῷ ὄνομα ὀφθαλμία; ΚΑΛ. Πῶς γὰς οὖ; ΣΩ. Οὖ δήπου καὶ ύγιαίνει γε αμα τους αυτούς; ΚΑΛ. Ουδ' όπωστιούν. ΣΩ. Τί δέ; δταν της ὀφθαλμίας ἀπαλλάττηται, ἄρα τότε καὶ τῆς ὑγιείας ἀπαλλάττεται των όφθαλμων καὶ τελευτων άμα άμφοτέρων ἀπήλλακται; ΚΑΛ. "Ηκιστά γε.

Β ΣΩ. Θαυμάσιον γάρ, οίμαι, καὶ ἄλογον γίγνεται. ἡ γάρ; ΚΑΛ. Σφόδρα γε. ΣΩ. 'Αλλ' ἐν μέρει, οίμαι, ἐκάτερον καὶ λαμβάνει καὶ ἀπολλύει; ΚΑΛ. Φημί. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ ἰσχὺν καὶ ἀσθένειαν ὡσαύτως; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Καὶ τάχος καὶ βραδυτῆτα; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. 'Η καὶ τἀγαθὰ καὶ τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν καὶ τὰναντία τούτων, κακά τε καὶ ἀθλιότητα, ἐν μέρει λαμβάνει, καὶ ἐν μέρει ἀπαλλάττεται ἐκατέρου; ΚΑΛ. Πάντως δήπου. ΣΩ. 'Εὰν εῦ- τὸ τε ἀγαθὸν καὶ τὸ κακόν. ὁμολογοῦμεν ταῦτό τε ἀγαθὸν καὶ τὸ κακόν. ὁμολογοῦμεν ταῦτα; Καὶ εὖ μάλα σκεψάμενος ἀποκρίνου. ΚΑΛ. 'Αλλ' ὑπερφυῶς ὡς ὁμολογῶ.

CAP. LI. ΣΩ. "Ιθι δη ἐπὶ τὰ ἔμπροσθεν ώμολογημένα. τὸ πεινῆν ἔλεγες πότερον ἡδύ, ἢ ἀνιαρὸν εἶναι; αὐτὸ λέγω τὸ πεινῆν. ΚΑΛ. Β'Ανιαρὸν ἔγωγε · τὸ μέντοι πεινῶντα ἐσθίειν ἡδύ. ΣΩ. Μανθάνω · ἀλλ' οὖν τό γε πεινῆν αὐτὸ ἀνιαρόν. ἢ οὐχί; ΚΑΛ. Φημί. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ τὸ διψῆν; ΚΑΛ. Σφόδρα γε. ΣΩ. Πότερον οὖν ἔτι πλείω ἐρωτῶ, ἢ ὁμολογεῖς ἄπασαν ἔνδειαν καὶ ἐπιθυμίαν ἀνιαρὸν εἶναι; ΚΑΛ. 'Ομολογῶ, ἀλλὰ μὴ ἐρώτα. ΣΩ. Εἶεν. διψῶντα δὲ δὴ πίνειν ἄλλο τι ἢ ἡδὺ φὴς εἶναι; ΚΑΛ. "Εγωγε. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν τούτου οὖ λέγεις Ε τὸ μὲν διψῶντα λυπούμενον δήπου ἐστί; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Τὸ δὲ πίνειν πλήρωσίς τε τῆς ἐνδείας καὶ ἡδονή; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν

ματά τὸ πίνειν γαίρειν λέγεις; ΚΑΛ. Μάλιστα. ΣΩ. Διψώντά γε; ΚΑΛ. Φημί. ΣΩ. Αυπούμενον; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Αἰσθάνει οὖν τὸ συμβαῖνον, ὅτι λυπούμενον χαίρειν λέγεις αμα, όταν διψώντα πίνειν λέγης; η ούχ αμα τούτο γίγνεται κατά τὸν αὐτὸν τόπον καὶ χρόνον είτε ψυχής είτε σώματος βούλει; οὐδεν γάρ, οίμαι, διαφέρει. ἔστι ταῦτα, ἢ οὖ ; ΚΑΛ. *Εστιν. ΣΩ. 'Αλλά μὴν εὖ γε πράττοντα καχώς πράττειν άμα άδύνατον έφης είναι. ΚΑΛ. Φημὶ γάρ. ΣΩ. Ανιώμενον δέ γε γαίρειν δυ-49 νατὸν ώμολόγηχας. ΚΑΛ. Φαίνεται. $\Sigma\Omega$. Ούκ ἄρα τὸ χαίρειν ἐστίν εὖ πράττειν οὐδὲ τὸ άνιᾶσθαι κακῶς, ὧστε Ετερον γίγνεται το ἡδυ τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ. ΚΑΛ. Οὐκ οίδ' ἄττα σοφίζει, δ Σώχρατες. ΣΩ. Οἶσθα, άλλὰ ἀχχίζει, ὁ Καλλίκλεις. και πρόϊθί γε έτι είς τουμπροσθεν, δτι ἔχων ληρεῖς, ἵνα εἰδῆς ώς σοφὸς ὢν με νουθε-Β τεῖς. οὐχ ἄμα διψῶν τε ἕκαστος ἡμῶν πέπαυται καὶ άμα ἡδόμενος διὰ τοῦ πίνειν; ΚΑΔ. Οὐκ οίδα ο τι λέγεις. ΓΟΡ. Μηδαμώς, ὁ Καλλίκλεις. άλλ' ἀποκοίνου καὶ ἡμῶν ἕνεκα, ἵνα πεοανθώσιν οἱ λόγοι. ΚΑΛ. ᾿Αλλ' ἀεὶ τοιοῦτός έστι Σωκράτης, & Γοργία σμικρά και όλίγου άξια ανερωτά και έξελέγχει. ΓΟΡ. 'Αλλά τί σοὶ διαφέρει; πάντως οὐ σὴ αὖτη ἡ τιμή, τὸ Καλλίκλεις · άλλ' ύπόσχες Σωκράτει έξελέγξαι οπως αν βούληται. ΚΑΛ. Έρωτα δή συ τὰ C σμικρά τε καὶ στενὰ ταῦτα, ἐπείπερ Γοργία δο-ຂະເັ ດນິ້ນຕຣ.

CAP. LII. ΣΩ. Εὐδαίμων εἶ, ὁ Καλλίπλεις, ότι τὰ μεγάλα μεμύησαι πρίν τὰ σμιχρά · έγω δ' ούκ ώμην θεμιτον είναι. δθεν ούν απέλιπες, αποχρίνου, εί ούχ αμα παύεται διψών έχαστος ήμων και ήδόμενος. ΚΑΛ. Φημί. ΣΩ. Ούχοῦν καὶ πεινών καὶ τών ἄλλων ἐπιθυμιών καὶ ήδονων αμα παύεται; ΚΑΛ. Εστι ταυτα. $\Sigma \Omega$. Οὐχοῦν χαὶ τῶν λυπῶν χαὶ τῶν ἡδονῶν D άμα παύεται; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. 'Αλλά μὴν τῶν ἀγαθῶν καὶ κακῶν οὐχ ἄμα παύεται, ώς συ ώμολόγεις · νῦν δὲ ούχ ὁμολογεῖς ; ΚΑΛ. "Εγωγε. τί οὖν δή; ΣΩ. "Οτι οὐ τὰ αὐτὰ γίγνεται, & φίλε, τάγαθα τοῖς ἡδέσιν οὐδὲ τὰ κακα τοις ανιαροίς. των μέν γαρ άμα παύεται, των δε ού, ώς ετέρων όντων. πως ούν ταύτα αν είη τα ήδέα τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς ἢ τὰ ἀνιαρὰ τοῖς κακοῖς; 'Εαν δε βούλη, και τηδ' επίσκεψαι· οίμαι γάρ Ε σοι οὐδὲ ταύτη ὁμολογεῖσθαι. ἄθρει δέ τους άγαθούς ούχὶ άγαθών παρουσία άγαθούς καλεῖς, ωσπερ τους οίς αν κάλλος παρή; ΚΑΛ. *Εγωγε. ΣΩ. Τί δέ; αγαθούς ανδρας καλεῖς τους ἄφρονας καὶ δειλούς; οὐ γὰρ ἄρτι γε, άλλὰ τους ανδρείους και φρονίμους έλεγες. η ού τούτους άγαθους καλείς; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ μεν ουν. ΣΩ. Τί δέ; παΐδα ανόητον χαίροντα ήδη είδες; KAA. "Eywye. $\Sigma\Omega$. "Avdoa dè oŭn ω eldes ανόητον γαίροντα; ΚΑΛ. Οίμαι έγωγε. αλ-198 λα τί τοῦτο; ΣΩ. Οὐδέν · άλλ' ἀποκρίνου. ΚΑΛ. Είδον. ΣΩ. Τί δέ; νοῦν ἔχοντα λυπούμενον καὶ χαίροντα; ΚΑΛ. Φημί. ΣΩ.

Πότεροι δε μάλλον χαίρουσι και λυπούνται, οί φρόνιμοι, η οἱ ἄφρονες; ΚΑΛ. Οἶμαι ἔγωγε ού πολύ τι διαφέρειν. ΣΩ. 'Αλλ' άρχεῖ καὶ τοῦτο. ἐν πολέμφ δὲ ἦδη είδες ἄνδοα δειλόν; ΚΑΛ. Πως γαρ οῦ; ΣΩ. Τί οῦν; ἀπιόντων τών πολεμίων πότεροί σοι έδόχουν μάλλον χαίφειν, οἱ δειλοί, η οἱ ἀνδφεῖοι; ΚΑΛ. 'Αμφότεοοι ξμοιγε μαλλον εί δε μή, παραπλησίως γε. Β ΣΩ. Οὐδὲν διαφέρει. χαίρουσι δ' οὖν καὶ οἱ δειλοί ; KAA. Σφόδρα γε. $\Sigma \Omega$. Kαὶ οί άφρονες, ώς έοικε. ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Προσιόντων δὲ οἱ δειλοὶ μόνον λυποῦνται, ἢ καὶ οἱ ανδρεΐοι ; KAA. Αμφότεροι. $\Sigma \Omega$. Αρα όμοίως; KAA. Μάλλον ἴσως οἱ δειλοί. $\Sigma\Omega$. Απιόντων δ' οὐ μᾶλλον χαίρουσιν; ΚΑΛ. "Ισως. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν λυποῦνται μὲν καὶ χαίρουσι καὶ οἱ ἄφρονες καὶ οἱ φρόνιμοι καὶ οἱ δειλοὶ καὶ οἱ ἀνδρεῖοι παραπλησίως, ώς σὺ φής, μαλλον δὲ οἱ δειλοὶ τῶν ἀνδρείων ; $KA\Lambda$. CΦημί. ΣΩ. 'Αλλά μην οί γε φρόνιμοι καὶ ἀνδρεῖοι ἀγαθοί, οἱ δὲ δειλοὶ καὶ ἄφρονες κακοί; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Παραπλησίως άρα χαίρουσι καὶ λυπούνται οἱ ἀγαθοὶ καὶ οἱ κακοί; ΚΑΛ. Φημί. $\Sigma\Omega$. $^{3}Aρ$, οὖν παραπλησίως εἰσὶν ἀγαθοί και κακοί οἱ ἀγαθοί τε καὶ οἱ κακοί; ἢ καὶ ἔτι μᾶλλον ἀγαθοὶ καὶ κακοί εἰσιν οἱ κακοί;

CAP. LIII. KAA. 'Aλλά μὰ Δί' οὐκ οἶδ' D δ τι λέγεις. $\Sigma\Omega$. Οὐκ οἶσθ', δτι τοὺς ἀγαθοὺς ἀγαθῶν φἢς παρουσία εἶναι ἀγαθούς, κακοὺς δὲ κακῶν; τὰ δὲ ἀγαθὰ εἶναι τὰς ἡδονάς, κακὰ δὲ

τας ανίας; ΚΑΛ. Εγωγε. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν τοῖς χαίρουσι πάρεστι τάγαθά, αἱ ἡδοναί, εἶπερ γαίρουσι; ΚΑΛ. Πώς γάρ οὖ; ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν ἀγαθῶν παρόντων ἀγαθοί εἰσιν οἱ χαίροντες; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Τί δέ; τοῖς ἀνιωμέ-Ε νοις οὐ πάρεστι τὰ κακά, αἱ λῦπαι; ΚΑΛ. Πάρεστι. ΣΩ. Καχών δέ γε παρουσία φής συ είναι κακούς τους κακούς. η οὐκέτι φής; ΚΑΛ. "Εγωγε. ΣΩ. 'Αγαθοί ἄρα οδ αν χαίρωσι, κακοί δε οδ αν ανιώνται; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Οἱ μεν γε μᾶλλον μᾶλλον, οἱ δ' ἦττον ἦττον, οί δὲ παραπλησίως παραπλησίως; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν φὴς παραπλησίως χαίρειν χαὶ λυπεῖσθαι τοὺς φρονίμους καὶ τοὺς ἄφρονας καὶ τους δειλους και τους ανδρείους, ή και μαλλον ἔτι τοὺς δειλούς ; ΚΑΛ. Ἐγωγε. ΣΩ. Συλλόγισαι δη ποινή μετ' έμου, τί ημιν συμβαίνει έχ των ωμολογημένων · και δίς γάρ τοι και τρίς 499 φασι χαλόν είναι τὰ χαλά λέγειν τε χαὶ ἐπισχοπεῖσθαι. 'Αγαθον μέν είναι τον φρόνιμον καί ανδοειόν φαμεν. ή γάο; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Κακὸν δὲ τὸν ἄφρονα καὶ δειλόν; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Αγαθόν δὲ αδ τὸν χαίροντα; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Κακὸν δὲ τὸν ἀνιώμενον; ΚΑΛ. 'Ανάγκη. ΣΩ. 'Ανιᾶσθαι δὲ καὶ γαίρειν τον άγαθον και κακον όμοιως, ίσως δε καί μάλλον τὸν κακόν; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν όμοίως γίγνεται κακὸς καὶ ἀγαθὸς τῷ ἀγα-Β θῶ ἢ καὶ μᾶλλον ἀγαθὸς ὁ κακός; οὐ ταῦτα συμβαίνει, καὶ τὰ πρότερα ἐκεῖνα, ἐάν τις ταύτὰ φῷ ἡδέα τε καὶ ἀγαθὰ είναι; οὐ ταῦτα ἀνάγκη, ὧ Καλλίκλεις;

CAP. LIV. ΚΑΛ. Πάλαι τοί σου απροώμαι, δ Σώχρατες, χαθομολογών, ένθυμούμενος, δτι, χῶν παίζων τίς σοι ἐνδῷ ὁτιοῦν, τούτου ἀσμενος έχει ώσπες τα μειράχια. ώς δή σύ οίει έμε ή καὶ ἀλλον δυτινοῦν ἀνθρώπων οὐχ ήγεῖσθαι τὰs μεν βελτίους ήδονάς, τας δε χείρους. ΣΩ. 'Ιού ίού, & Καλλίκλεις, ώς πανούργος εί, καί μοι C ώσπερ παιδί χρῆ, τοτε μεν αὖ φάσχων οὖτως ἔχειν, τοτε δε ετέρως, εξαπατών με. καίτοι οὐκ φμην γε κατ' άρχας ύπο σου έκόντος είναι έξαπατηθήσεσθαι, ώς όντος φίλου · νῦν δὲ ἐψεύσθην, καὶ ὡς ἔοικεν, ἀνάγκη μοι κατὰ τὸν παλαιὸν λόγον τὸ παρὸν εὖ ποιεῖν καὶ τοῦτο δέχεσθαι τὸ διδόμενον παρά σου. Εστι δε δή, ώς Εσιχεν, δ νῦν λέγεις, ὅτι ἡδοναί τινές εἰσιν αὶ μὲν ἀγαθαί, αί δὲ κακαί. ἢ γάρ; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Αρ' D οὖν ἀγαθαὶ μεν αἱ ἀφέλιμοι, κακαὶ δὲ αἱ βλαδεραί; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. [°]Ωφέλιμοι δέ γε αί ἀγαθόν τι ποιοῦσαι, κακαὶ δὲ αί κακόν τι; ΚΑΛ. Φημί. ΣΩ. Αρ' οὖν τὰς τοιάσδε λέγεις, οίον χατά τὸ σῶμα ἃς νῦν δὴ ἐλέγομεν ἐν τῷ ἐσθίειν καὶ πίνειν ἡδονάς εἰ ἄρα τούτων αἰ μεν ύγίειαν ποιούσιν έν τῷ σώματι ἢ ἰσχύν ἢ άλλην τινα άρετην του σώματος, αδται μέν άγαθαί, αἱ δὲ τἀναντία τούτων κακαί; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ λῦπαι ώσαύτως αί Ε μεν χοησταί είσιν, αί δε πονηραί; ΚΑΑ. Πως γαρ ού; ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν τὰς μεν χρηστας καὶ

ήδονας καὶ λύπας καὶ αἰρετέον ἐστὶ καὶ πρακτέον; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Τὰς δὲ πονηρὰς οὐ; ΚΑΛ. Αῆλον δή. ΣΩ. Ενεκα γάρ που τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἄπαντα ἡμῖν ἔδοξε πρακτέον εἶναι, εἰ μνημονεύεις, ἐμοί τε καὶ Πώλῳ. ἄρα καὶ σοὶ συνδοκεῖ οὐτω, τέλος εἶναι ἀπασῶν τῶν πράξεων τὸ ἀγαθόν, καὶ ἐκείνου ἕνεκεν δεῖν πάντα τάλλα το πράττεσθαι, ἀλλ' οὐκ ἐκεῖνο τῶν ἄλλων; σύμψηφος ἡμῖν εἶ καὶ σὺ ἐκ τρίτων; ΚΑΛ. Ἐγωγε. ΣΩ. Τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἄρα ἕνεκα δεῖ καὶ τάλλα καὶ τὰ ἡδέα πράττειν, ἀλλ' οὐ τἀγαθὰ τῶν ἡδέων. ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. ᾿Αρ' οὖν παντὸς ἀνδρός ἐστιν ἐκλέξασθαι ποῖα ἀγαθὰ τῶν ἡδέων ἐστὶ καὶ ὁποῖα κακά, ἢ τεχνικοῦ δεῖ εἰς ἕκαστον; ΚΑΛ. Τεχνικοῦ.

CAP. LV. ΣΩ. 'Αναμνησθώμεν δη ών αῦ ἐγὼ πρὸς Πώλον καὶ Γοργίαν ἐτύγχανον λέγων. Β ἔλεγον γάρ, εἰ μνημονεύεις, ὅτι εἶεν παρασκευαὶ αἱ μὲν μέχρι ἡδονῆς, αὐτὸ τοῦτο μόνον παρασκευάζουσαι, ἀγνοοῦσαι δὲ τὸ βέλτιον καὶ τὸ χεῖρον, αἱ δὲ γιγνώσκουσαι ὅ τι τε ἀγαθὸν καὶ ὅ τι κακόν · καὶ ἐτίθην τῶν μὲν περὶ τὰς ἡδονὰς τὴν μαγειρικὴν ἐμπειρίαν, ἀλλ' οὐ τέχνην, τῶν δὲ περὶ τὸ ἀγαθὸν τὴν ἰατρικὴν τέχνην. καὶ πρὸς φιλίου, ἄ Καλλίκλεις, μήτε αὐτὸς οἴου δεῖν πρὸς ἐμὲ παίζειν μηδ' ὅ τι ἄν τύχης πα-C ρὰ τὰ δοκοῦντα ἀποκρίνου, μήτ' αὖ τὰ παρ' ἐμοῦ οὕτως ἀποδέχου ὡς παίζοντος. ὁρῷς γὰρ, ὅτι περὶ τούτου εἰσὶν ἡμῖν οἱ λόγοι, οὖ τί ἄν μᾶλλον σπουδάσειέ τις καὶ σμικρὸν νοῦν ἔχων

ανθρωπος, η τούτο, δντινα χρη τρόπον ζην πότερον ἐπὶ δν σὺ παρακαλεῖς ἐμέ, τὰ τοῦ ἀνδρὸς δὴ ταυτα πράττοντα, λέγοντά τε έν τῷ δήμῷ καὶ ξητορικήν ἀσκούντα καὶ πολιτευόμενον τούτον τὸν τρόπον, δν ύμεις νῦν πολιτεύεσθε, ἢ ἐπὶ τόνδε τὸν βίον τον έν φιλοσοφία, και τό ποτ' έστιν οδτος έχείνου διαφέρων; ίσως οδν βέλτιστόν έστιν, ώς ἄρτι ἐγω ἐπεχείρησα, διαιρεῖσθαι, διελομένους δὲ D καὶ ὁμολογήσαντας άλλήλοις, εἰ ἔστι τούτω διττώ τω βίω, σχέψασθαι τί τε διαφέρετον αλλήλοιν χαί όπότερον βιωτέον αὐτοῖν. ἴσως οὖν οὖπω οἶσθα τί λέγω. ΚΑΑ. Οὐ δῆτα. ΣΩ. 'Αλλ' ἐγώ σοι σαφέστερον έρω. ἐπειδή ωμολογήκαμεν ἐγω τε χαὶ σὺ εἶναι μέν τι ἀγαθόν, εἶναι δέ τι ἡδύ, έτερον δὲ τὸ ἡδὺ τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ, ἐκατέρου δὲ αὐτοῖν μελέτην τινα είναι και παρασκευήν της κτήσεως, τὴν μὲν τοῦ ἡδέος θήραν, τὴν δὲ τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ — Ε αὐτο δέ μοι τοῦτο πρῶτον ἢ σύμφαθι, ἢ μή · σύμφης; ΚΑΛ. Οὖτω φημί.

CAP. LVI. ΣΩ. "Ιθι δή, ἃ καὶ προς τούσδε ἐγωὰ ἔλεγον διομολόγησαί μοι, εἰ ἄρα σοι ἔδοξα τότε ἀληθῆ λέγειν. ἔλεγον δέ που, δτι ἡ μὲν ὀψοποιικὴ οὔ μοι δοκεῖ τέχνη εἶναι, ἀλλ' ἐμπειρία, ἡ 501 δ' ἰατρική, λέγων, ὅτι ἡ μὲν τούτου οῦ θεραπεύει καὶ τὴν φύσιν ἔσκεπται καὶ τὴν αἰτίαν ὧν πράττει, καὶ λόγον ἔχει τούτων ἐκάστου δοῦναι, ἡ ἰατρική · ἡ δ' ἔτέρα τῆς ἡδονῆς, πρὸς ῆν ἡ θεραπεία αὐτῆ ἐστιν ἄπασα, κομιδῆ ἀτέχνως ἐπ' αὐτὴν ἔρχεται, οὐτε τι τὴν φύσιν σκεψαμένη τῆς ἡδονῆς οὔτε τὴν αἰτίαν, ἀλόγως τε παντάπασιν,

ώς έπος είπειν ούδεν διαριθμησαμένη, τριβή καί έμπειοία, μνήμην μόνον σωζομένη του εἰωθότος Β γίγνεσθαι, ῷ δὴ καὶ πορίζεται τὰς ἡδονάς. ταῦτ' οὖν πρώτον σχόπει εἰ δοχεῖ σοι ἱχανώς λέγεσθαι, καὶ είναί τινες καὶ περὶ ψυχὴν τοιαῦται άλλαι πραγματεΐαι, αἱ μὲν τεχνικαί, προμήθειάν τινα έχουσαι τοῦ βελτίστου περί την ψυχήν, αἱ δὲ τούτου μεν όλιγωρουσαι, έσπεμμέναι δ' αδ, ωσπερ έχει, την ήδονην μόνον της ψυχης, τίνα αν αὐτῆ τρόπον γίγνοιτο, ήτις δὲ ἢ βελτίων ἢ γείρων τῶν ήδονών οὐτε σχοπούμεναι, οὐτε μέλον αὐταῖς C άλλο ή χαρίζεσθαι μόνον, είτε βέλτιον είτε χείρον. ἐμοὶ μὲν γάρ, ἄ Καλλίκλεις, δοκοῦσί τε είναι, χαὶ ἔγωγέ φημι τὸ τοιοῦτον χολαχείαν είναι καὶ περὶ σῶμα καὶ περὶ ψυχὴν καὶ περὶ άλλο, ότου αν τις την ήδονην θεραπεύη ασκέπτως έχων του άμείνονός τε καὶ του γείρονος · συ δε δη πότερον συγκατατίθεσαι ήμιν περί τούτων την αύτην δόξαν η αντίφης; ΚΑΛ. Ούκ έγωγε, αλλα συγχωρώ, ΐνα σοι καὶ περανθή ὁ λόγος καὶ Γορ-D γία τῷδε χαρίσωμαι. ΣΩ. Πότερον δὲ περὶ μὲν μίαν ψυχήν έστι τοῦτο, περὶ δὲ δύο καὶ πολλας ούκ ἔστιν; ΚΑΛ. Οὔκ, άλλὰ καὶ περὶ δύο καὶ περί πολλάς. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν καὶ ἀθρόαις ἄμα γαρίζεσθαι έστι μηδεν σχοπούμενον το βέλτιστον; ΚΑΛ. Οίμαι έγωγε.

CAP. LVII. ΣΩ. "Εχεις οὖν εἰπεῖν αἵτινές εἰσιν αἱ ἐπιτηδεύσεις αἱ τοῦτο ποιοῦσαι; Μᾶλλον δέ, εἰ βούλει, ἐμοῦ ἐρωτῶντος, ἡ μὲν ἄν σοι δοκῆ τούτων εἶναι, φάθι, ἡ δ' ἀν μή, μὴ φάθι. πρῶ-

τον δε σκεψώμεθα την αθλητικήν. οθ δοκεί σοι Ε τοιαύτη τις είναι, & Καλλίκλεις, την ήδονην ήμων μόνον διώχειν, άλλο δ' οὐδεν φροντίζειν; ΚΑΛ. *E μοιγε δοχεῖ. $\mathcal{Z}\Omega$. Οὐχοῦν χαὶ αἱ τοιαίδε απασαι, οδον ή χιθαριστική ή έν τοῦς ἀγῶσι; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Τί δὲ ἡ τῶν γορῶν διδασκαλία καὶ ή τῶν διθυράμδων ποίησις; οὐ τοιαύτη τίς σοι καταφαίνεται; ἢ ἡγεῖ τι φροντίζειν Κινησίαν τον Μέλητος, δπως έρει τι τοιούτον, δθεν αν οί ακούοντες βελτίους γίγνοιντο, ἢ ο τι μέλ-502 λει χαριεΐσθαι τῷ ὄχλφ τῶν θεατῶν; ΚΑΔ. Δήλον δή τοῦτό γε, ὧ Σώκρατες, Κινησίου γε πέρι. ΣΩ. Τί δὲ ὁ πατήρ αὐτοῦ Μέλης; ή προς το βέλτιστον βλέπων έδόκει σοι κιθαρφδείν: ἢ ἐχεῖνος μὲν οὐδὲ πρὸς τὸ ἣδιστον; ἠνία γὰρ - άδων τους θεατάς. άλλα δή σχόπει · ούχὶ ή τε κιθαρφδική δοκεί σοι πάσα καὶ ή τών διθυράμδων ποίησις ήδονης χάριν εύρησθαι; ΚΑΛ. Εμοιγε. ΣΩ. Τί δὲ δὴ ἡ σεμνὴ αὕτη καὶ θαυμαστή Β ή τῆς τραγωδίας ποίησις ἐφ' ὧ ἐσπούδακε ; πότεφόν έστιν αὐτῆς τὸ ἐπιχείρημα καὶ ἡ σπουδή, ὡς σοί δοχεῖ, γαρίζεσθαι τοῖς θεαταῖς μόνον, ἢ καὶ διαμάχεσθαι, εάν τι αὐτοῖς ήδὺ μεν ή καὶ κεχαρισμένον, πονηρον δέ, δπως τουτο μεν μη έρει, εί δέ τι τυγχάνει άηδες και ώφελιμον, τούτο δε καὶ λέξει καὶ ἄσεται, ἐάν τε χαίρωσιν ἐάν τε μή; ποτέρως σοι δοχεῖ παρεσχευάσθαι ή τῶν τραγωδιων ποίησις; ΚΑΛ. Δήλον δή τοῦτό γε, ὧ Σώ- C κρατες, δτι προς την ήδονην μαλλον ωρμηται καί το χαρίζεσθαι τοις θεαταίς. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν το

τοιούτον, & Καλλίκλεις, ἔφαμεν νύν δή κολακείαν είναι; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Φέρε δή, εἴ τις περιέλοιτο τῆς ποιήσεως πάσης τό τε μέλος και τον φυθμον και το μέτρον, άλλο τι ή λόγοι γίγνονται το λειπόμενον; ΚΑΛ. 'Ανάγκη. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν πρὸς πολὺν ὅχλον καὶ δῆμον D οδτοι λέγονται οἱ λόγοι. KAA. Φημί. $\Sigma\Omega$. Δημηγορία άρα τίς έστιν ή ποιητική. ΚΑΛ. Φαίνεται. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν ξητορική δημηγορία αν είη. η ου δητορεύειν δοχουσί σοι οί ποιηταί ἐν τοῖς θεάτροις ; KAA. "Εμοιγε. $\Sigma\Omega$. Νῦν άρα ήμεις ευρήκαμεν δητορικήν τινα προς δημον τοιούτον, οίον παίδων τε όμου και γυναικών καί ανδρών, και δούλων και έλευθέρων, ην ού πάνυ άγάμεθα · χολακικήν γάρ αὐτήν φαμεν είναι. ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε.

CAP. LVIII. ΣΩ. Εἶεν. τί δὲ ἡ πρὸς τὸν ᾿Αθηναίων δῆμον ὁητορικὴ καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους Ετοὺς ἐν ταῖς πόλεσι δήμους τοὺς τῶν ἐλευθέρων ἀνδρῶν, τί ποτε ἡμῖν αὖτη ἐστί; πότερόν σοι δοκοῦσι πρὸς τὸ βέλτιστον ἀεὶ λέγειν οἱ ἡήτορες, τούτου στοχαζόμενοι, ὅπως οἱ πολῖται ὡς βέλτιστοι ἔσονται διὰ τοὺς αὐτῶν λόγους, ἢ καὶ οὖτοι πρὸς τὸ χαρίζεσθαι τοῖς πολίταις ὡρμημένοι, καὶ ἕνεκα τοῦ ἰδίου τοῦ αὐτῶν ὀλιγωροῦντες τοῦ κοινοῦ, ώσπερ παισὶ προσομιλοῦσι τοῖς δήμοις, χαρίζεσθαι αὐτοῖς πειρώμενοι μόνον, εὶ δέ γε βελτίους ἔσονται ἢ χείρους διὰ ταῦτα, οὐδὲν φροντίζουσιν; τῶς ΚΑΛ. Οὐχ ἁπλοῦν ἔτι τοῦτο ἐρωτῷς · εἰσὶ μὲν γὰρ οῦ κηδόμενοι τῶν πολιτῶν λέγουσιν ἃ λέγου-

σιν, εἰσὶ δὲ καὶ οἴους σὺ λέγεις. $\Sigma \Omega$. Ἐξαρκεῖ. εί γαο και τουτό έστι διπλουν, το μεν έτερόν που τούτου πολακεία αν είη και αισχρα δημηγορία, τὸ δ' Ετερον καλόν, τὸ παρασκευάζειν, ὅπως ώς βέλτισται εσονται των πολιτών αί ψυχαί, καί διαμάχεσθαι λέγοντα τὰ βέλτιστα, εἴτε ἡδίω εἴτε αηδέστερα ἔσται τοῖς ἀχούουσιν. άλλ' οὐ πώποτε B σύ ταύτην είδες την δητορικήν · η εί τινα έχεις των δητόρων τοιούτον είπειν, τί οὐχὶ καὶ έμοὶ αὐτὸν ἔφρασας τίς ἐστιν; ΚΑΛ. 'Αλλά μὰ Δι' ούχ έχω έγωγέ σοι είπεῖν τῶν γε νῦν ὁητόρων οὐδένα. $\Sigma \Omega$. Τί δέ; τῶν παλαιῶν ἔχεις τινὰ εἰπείν, δι' δντινα αίτίαν ξγουσιν 'Αθηναίοι βελτίους γεγονέναι, ἐπειδή ἐκεῖνος ἤοξατο δημηγορεῖν, έν τῷ πρόσθεν χρόνφ χείρους ὄντες ; έγῶ μεν γὰρ ούχ οίδα τίς ἐστιν οδτος. ΚΑΛ. Τί δέ; Θε- C μιστοκλέα ούκ ακούεις ανδρα αγαθόν γεγονότα καὶ Κίμωνα καὶ Μιλτιάδην καὶ Περικλέα τουτονὶ τὸν νεωστὶ τετελευτηχότα, οδ χαὶ σῦ ἀχήχοας; ΣΩ. Εὶ ἔστι γε, ὁ Καλλίχλεις, ην πρότερον συ έλεγες άρετήν, άληθής, το τας έπιθυμίας ἀποπιμπλάναι καὶ τὰς αύτοῦ καὶ τὰς τῶν ἄλλων • εί δε μή τούτο, άλλ' δπες έν τῷ ύστέρφ λόγφ ηναγκάσθημεν ήμεις όμολογείν, ότι αι μέν των έπιθυμιών πληφούμεναι βελτίω ποιούσι τον άν- D θρωπον, ταύτας μέν ἀποτελεῖν, αι δὲ χείρω, μή: τούτο δὲ τέχνη τις είναι · τοιούτον ἄνδρα τούτων τινά γεγονέναι έχεις είπεῖν; ΚΑΛ. Οὐκ έχω ἔγωγε πῶς εἴπω.

CAP. LIX. ΣΩ. 'Αλλ' ἐὰν ζητῆς καλῶς,

εύρήσεις. ίδωμεν δη ούτωσιν ατρέμα σκοπούμενοι, εί τις τούτων τοιούτος γέγονε. φέρε γάρ, Ε ό άγαθὸς άνηρ και ἐπὶ τὸ βέλτιστον λέγων ἃ ἂν λέγη άλλο τι ούχ εἰχῆ ἐρεῖ, άλλ' ἀποδλέπων πρός τι; ώσπες καὶ οἱ άλλοι πάντες δημιουργοὶ βλέποντες προς το αύτων έργον έκαστος ούκ είκη έχλεγόμενος προσφέρει & προσφέρει προς το έργον τὸ αύτοῦ, ἀλλ' ὅπως ἂν εἶδός τι αὐτῷ σχή τοῦτο δ έργάζεται. οίον εί βούλει ίδεῖν τοὺς ζωγράφους, τους οικοδόμους, τους ναυπηγούς, τους άλλους πάντας δημιουργούς, δντινα βούλει αὐτών, ώς είς τάξιν τινά ξχαστος ξχαστον τίθησιν δ αν τιθή, και προσαναγκάζει το έτερον τω έτέρω 504 πρέπον τε είναι και άρμόττειν, ξως αν το απαν συστήσηται τεταγμένον τε καὶ κεκοσμημένον πράγμα, καὶ οί τε δη άλλοι δημιουργοί καὶ ους νῦν δη ἐλέγομεν, οἱ περὶ τὸ σῶμα παιδοτρίβαι τε καὶ ἰατροί, κοσμοῦσί που τὸ σῶμα καὶ συντάττουσιν. δμολογουμεν ουτω τουτ' έχειν, η ου ; ΚΑΛ. "Εστω τούτο ούτω. ΣΩ. Τάξεως ἄρα χαὶ χόσμου τυχοῦσα οἰχία χοηστη ἂν εἴη, ἀταξίας Β δὲ μοχθηρά ; ΚΑΛ. Φημί. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν καὶ πλοΐον ώσαύτως; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Καὶ μὴν καὶ τὰ σώματά φαμεν τὰ ἡμέτερα; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Τί δ' ή ψυχή; αταξίας τυχοῦσα ἔσται χρηστή, ἢ τάξεώς τε καὶ κόσμου τινός; ΚΑΛ. 'Ανάγκη έκ των πρόσθεν καὶ τοῦτο συνομολογείν. ΣΩ. Τί οὖν ὅνομά ἐστιν ἐν τῷ σώματι τῷ ἐχ τῆς τάξεώς τε καὶ τοῦ κόσμου γιγνομένφ; ΚΔΔ. Ύγίειαν καί τοχύν τοως λέγεις.

ΣΩ. "Εγωγε. τί δὲ αὖ τῷ ἐν τῆ ψυχῆ ἐγγιγνο- C μένφ ἐκ τῆς τάξεως καὶ τοῦ κόσμου; πειρῶ εὐ- ρεῖν καὶ εἰπεῖν ὡσπερ ἐκείνφ τὸ ὄνομα. ΚΑΛ. Τί δὲ οὐκ αὐτὸς λέγεις, ὡ Σώκρατες; ΣΩ. 'Αλλ' εἴ σοι ῆδιόν ἐστιν, ἐγὼ ἐρῶ. σὰ δέ, ἄν μέν σοι δοκῶ ἐγὼ καλῶς λέγειν, φάθι εἰ δὲ μή, ἔλεγχε καὶ μὴ ἐπίτρεπε. ἔμοιγε δοκεῖ ταῖς μὲν τοῦ σώματος τάξεσιν ὄνομα εἶναι ὑγιεινόν, ἐξοῦ ἐν αὐτῷ ἡ ὑγίεια γίγνεται καὶ ἡ ἀλλη ἀρετὴ τοῦ σώματος. ἔστι ταῦτα ἢ οὐκ ἔστιν; ΚΑΛ. D "Εστι. ΣΩ. Ταῖς δὲ τῆς ψυχῆς τάξεσί τε καὶ κοσμήσεσι νόμιμόν τε καὶ νόμος, ὅθεν καὶ νόμιμοι γίγνονται καὶ κόσμιοι ταῦτα δ' ἔστι δικαιοσύνη τε καὶ σωφροσύνη. φής, ἢ οὖ; ΚΛΛ. "Εστω.

CAP. LX. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν πρὸς ταῦτα αὖ βλέπων ὁ ἡτωρ ἐκεῖνος, ὁ τεχνικός τε καὶ ἀγαθός, καὶ τοὺς λόγους προσοίσει ταῖς ψυχαῖς οῦς ἀν λέγῃ καὶ τὰς πράξεις ἁπάσας, καὶ δῶρον ἐάν τι διδῷ, δώσει, καὶ ἐάν τι ἀφαιρῆται, ἀφαιρήσεται, πρὸς τοῦτο ἀεὶ τὸν νοῦν ἔχων, ὅπως Ε ἀν αὐτοῦ τοῖς πολίταις δικαιοσύνη μὲν ἐν ταῖς ψυχαῖς γίγνηται, ἀδικία δὲ ἀπαλλάττηται, καὶ σωφροσύνη μὲν ἐγγίγνηται, ἀκολασία δὲ ἀπαλλάττηται, καὶ ἡ ἄλλη ἀρετὴ ἐγγίγνηται, κακία δὲ ἀπίῃ; συγχωρεῖς, ἢ οὕ; ΚΑΛ. Συγχωρῶ. ΣΩ. Τί γὰρ ὄφελος, ὧ Καλλίκλεις, σώματί γε κάμνοντι καὶ μοχθηρῶς διακειμένω σιτία πολλὰ διδόναι καὶ τὰ ἤδιστα ἢ ποτὰ ἢ ἄλλ' ὁτιοῦν, ὁ μὴ ὀνήσει αὐτὸ ἔσθ' ὅτε πλέον ἢ τοὐναντίον, κα-

505τά γε τον δίχαιον λόγον, χαὶ ἔλαττον; ἔστι ταῦτα; ΚΑΛ. "Εστω. ΣΩ. Οὐ γάρ, οίμαι, λυσιτελεῖ μετὰ μοχθηρίας σώματος ζῆν ἀνθρώπφ · ἀνάγκη γὰο οὕτω καὶ ζῆν μοχθηοῶς. ἢ οὐχ ούτω; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν καὶ τὰς έπιθυμίας αποπιμπλάναι, οίον πεινώντα φαγείν · δσον βούλεται ή διψώντα πιεῖν, ύγιαίνοντα μὲν έωσιν οἱ ἰατροὶ ώς τὰ πολλά, κάμνοντα δέ, ώς έπος είπειν οὐδέποι' ἐωσιν ἐμπίπλασθαι ὧν ἐπιθυμεί; συγγωρείς τουτό γε και σύ; ΚΑΛ. Β Εγωγε. ΣΩ. Περί δὲ ψυχήν, ὧ ἄριστε, οὐχ ὁ αὐτὸς τρόπος; ἕως μὲν ἂν πονηρα ἢ, ἀνόητός τε οὖσα καὶ ἀκόλαστος καὶ ἄδικος καὶ ἀνόσιος, εἴργειν αὐτὴν δεῖ τῶν ἐπιθυμιῶν καὶ μὴ ἐπιτρέπειν ἄλλ' άττα ποιείν η άφ' δν βελτίων έσται; φής, η ού; ΚΑΛ. Φημί. ΣΩ. Οὖτω γάο που αὐτῆ ἄμεινον τῆ ψυχῆ. ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν τὸ εἴργειν ἐστὶν ἀφ' ὧν ἐπιθυμεῖ πολάζειν; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Τὸ κολάζεσθαι ἄρα τῆ ψυχῆ ἀμεινόν ἐστιν ἢ ἡ ἀχολασία, ώσπες σὺ νῦν δὴ ι φου. ΚΑΛ. Ούα οίδ' αττα λέγεις, δ Σώαρατες, άλλ' άλλον τινα έρώτα. ΣΩ. Οδτος άνηρ ούχ ύπομένει ώφελούμενος και αὐτος τοῦτο πάσχων, περί οδ ὁ λόγος ἐστί, χολαζόμενος. ΚΑΛ. Οὐδέ γέ μοι μέλει οὐδὲν ὧν σὺ λέγεις, καὶ ταῦτά σοι Γοργίου χάριν ἀπεκρινάμην. ΣΩ. Είεν. τί οὖν δὴ ποιήσομεν; μεταξὺ τὸν λόγον καταλύομεν; ΚΑΛ. Αὐτὸς γνώσει. ΣΩ. 'Αλλ' Το οὐδὲ τοὺς μύθους φασὶ μεταξὺ θέμις εἶναι καταλείπειν, άλλ' ἐπιθέντας κεφαλήν, ΐνα μη ἀνευ

κεφαλής περιίη. ἀπόκριναι οὖν καὶ τὰ λοιπά, ἵνα ἡμῖν ὁ λόγος κεφαλὴν λάβη.

CAP. LXI. ΚΑΛ. 'Ως βίαιος εί, δ Σώχρατες. ἐὰν δὲ ἐμοὶ πείθη, ἐάσεις χαίρειν τοῦτον τον λόγον, η καὶ άλλφ τφ διαλέξει. ΣΩ. Τίς οὖν ἄλλος ἐθέλει; μὴ γάρ τοι ἀτελῆ γε τὸν λόγον καταλείπωμεν. ΚΑΛ. Αὐτὸς δὲ οὐκ αν δύναιο διελθεῖν τὸν λόγον, ἢ λέγων κατὰ σαυτὸν Ε η ἀποχοινόμενος σαυτῷ; ΣΩ. Γίνα μοι τὸ τοῦ Έπιχάρμου γένηται, ἃ πρὸ τοῦ δύο ἀνδρες ἔλεγον, είς ὢν ίχανος γένωμαι. ἀτὰρ χινδυνεύει άναγχαιότατον είναι ούτως. εί μέντοι ποιήσομεν, οίμαι έγωγε χοῆναι πάντας ἡμᾶς φιλονείχως έχειν πρός το είδέναι το άληθες τί έστι περί ών λέγομεν καὶ τί ψεῦδος · κοινον γαρ άγαθον απασι φανερον γενέσθαι αὐτό. δίειμι μεν οῦν τῷ λόγφ ἐγὰ ὡς ἀν μοι δοχῷ ἔχειν ἐὰν δέ τφ 506 ύμῶν μὴ τὰ ὄντα δοχῶ όμολογεῖν ἐμαυτῷ, χρὴ αντιλαμβάνεσθαι καὶ ἐλέγχειν. οὐδὲ γάρ τοι ἔγωγε είδως λέγω α λέγω, άλλα ζητω χοινή μεθ' ύμων, ωστε, αν τι φαίνηται λέγων ο αμφισθητών έμοί, έγω πρώτος συγχωρήσομαι. λέγω μέντοι ταύτα, εί δοκεί χρηναι διαπερανθήναι τὸν λόγον : εὶ δὲ μὴ βούλεσθε, ἐωμεν δὴ χαίρειν καὶ απίωμεν. ΓΟΡ. 'Αλλ' έμοι μεν ού δοκεί, δ Σώπρατες, χρηναί πω ἀπιέναι, ἀλλὰ διεξελθεῖν Β σε τον λόγον · φαίνεται δέ μοι καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις δοχείν. βούλομαι γαρ έγωγε χαὶ αὐτὸς ἀχοῦσαί σου αὐτοῦ διιόντος τὰ ἐπίλοιπα. ΣΩ. ᾿Αλλὰ uèν δή, & Γοργία, καὶ αὐτος ήδέως μèν αν Καλλικλεί τούτφ έτι διελεγόμην, έως αὐτῷ τὴν τοῦ ᾿Αμφίονος ἀπέδωκα ὁῆσιν ἀντὶ τῆς τοῦ Ζήθου · ἐπειδη δὲ σύ, ὡ Καλλίκλεις, οὐκ ἐθέλεις συνδιαπερᾶναι τὸν λόγον, ἀλλ' οὖν ἐμοῦ γε ἀκούων C ἐπιλαμβάνου, ἐάν τί σοι δοκῶ μὴ καλῶς λέγειν. καί με ἐὰν ἐξελέγξης, οὐκ ἀχθεσθήσομαί σοι, ὡσπερ σὺ ἐμοί, ἀλλὰ μέγιστος εὐεργέτης παρ' ἐμοὶ ἀναγεγράψει. ΚΑΛ. Λέγε, ὡ ᾿γαθέ, αὐτὸς καὶ πέραινε.

CAP. LXII. $\Sigma\Omega$. "Axove $\delta\eta$ èt doyns èmov αναλαβόντος τον λόγον. 3 Αρα το ήδυ και το άγαθον το αὐτό ἐστιν; Οὐ ταὐτόν, ώς ἐγώ καὶ Καλλιχλης ώμολογήσαμεν. Πότερον δε το ήδυ ενεχα τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ πραχτέον, ἢ το ἀγαθον ενεχα τοῦ ήδέος; Τὸ ήδὺ ξινεκα τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ. Ἡδὺ D δέ έστι τοῦτο, οὖ παραγενομένου ἡδόμεθα; ἀγαθον δέ, οδ παρόντος άγαθοί έσμεν; Πάνυ γε. ' Αλλα μην άγαθοί γέ έσμεν και ήμεῖς και τάλλα πάντα δσα άγαθά έστιν, άρετης τινος παραγενομένης; Εμοιγε δοχεῖ ἀναγχαῖον είναι, ὁ Καλλίκλεις. 'Αλλά μεν δή ή γε άρετή έκάστου, καί σχεύους καὶ σώματος καὶ ψυχῆς αὖ καὶ ζώου παντός, οὐ τῷ εἰχῷ κάλλιστα παραγίγνεται, άλλα τάξει και δοθότητι και τέχνη, ήτις έκαστφ αποδέδοται αὐτών. άρα ἔστι ταῦτα; Ἐγώ μὲν Ε γάρ φημι. Τάξει άρα τεταγμένον και κεκοσμημένον έστιν ή άρετη έκάστου; Φαίην αν έγωγε. Κόσμος τις άρα έγγενόμενος εν εκάστφ δ εκάστου οίχεῖος ἀγαθὸν παρέχει Εχαστον τῶν ὄντων; "Εμοιγε δοχεῖ. Καὶ ψυχὴ ἄρα κόσμον ἔχουσα

τον ξαυτής αμείνων της ακοσμήτου; 'Ανάγκη. ' Αλλα μην η γε κόσμον έχουσα κοσμία; Πώς γαρ ου μέλλει; 'Η δέ γε ποσμία σώφρων; 507 Πολλή ανάγκη. ΄Η άρα σώφρων ψυχή αγαθή. Έγω μέν οὐκ ἔχω παρά ταῦτα άλλα φάναι, δ φίλε Καλλίκλεις · συ δ' εὶ ἔχεις, δίδασκε. ΚΑΛ. Λέγ', & 'γαθέ. ΣΩ. Λέγω δη, δτι, εί ή σώφοων άγαθή ἐστιν, ἡ τοὐναντίον τῆ σώφρονι πεπονθυῖα κακή έστιν. ἢν δὲ αῦτη ἡ ἀφρων τε καὶ ἀκόλαστος; Πάνυ γε. Καὶ μὴν ο γε σώφοων τὰ προσήχοντα πράττοι αν καί περί θεούς και περί άνθρώπους; ού γάρ αν σωφρονοί τα μή προσήκοντα πράττων. 'Ανάγκη ταῦτ' είναι οὖτω. Καὶ μὴν περὶ μὲν ἀνθρώπους τὰ προσήποντα Β πράττων δίκαι' αν πράττοι, περί δε θεούς δσια: τον δε τα δίχαια χαι δσια πράττοντα ανάγχη δίκαιον καὶ δσιον είναι; *Εστι ταῦτα. Καὶ μέν δή και ἀνδρεῖόν γε ἀνάγκη; οὐ γὰρ δή σώφρονος ανδρός έστιν οὖτε διώχειν οὖτε φεύγειν α μη προσήχει, άλλ' ἃ δεῖ χαὶ πράγματα χαὶ ἀνθρώπους καὶ ήδονὰς καὶ λύπας φεύγειν καὶ διώκειν, καὶ ύπομένοντα καρτερείν δπου δεί · ωστε πολλή C ανάγκη, δ Καλλίκλεις, τον σώφρονα δισπερ διήλθομεν, δίχαιον όντα καὶ ἀνδρεῖον καὶ ὅσιον ἀγαθον ἄνδρα είναι τελέως, τον δε άγαθον εδ τε καί καλώς πράττειν α αν πράττη, τον δ' εδ πράττοντα μαχάριόν τε χαὶ εὐδαίμονα είναι, τὸν δὲ πονηρον και κακώς πράττοντα άθλιον. οδτος δ' αν είη δ έναντίως έχων τῷ σώφρονι, δ ἀκόλαστος, δν συ ἐπήνεις. CAP. LXIII. ¿Εγώ μέν οὖν ταῦτα

ούτω τίθεμαι καί φημι ταύτα άληθη είναι. εὶ δε D ἔστιν άληθη, τον βουλόμενον, ώς ἔοικεν, εὐδαίμονα είναι σωφροσύνην μέν διωχτέον χαὶ ἀσχητέον, αχολασίαν δε φευχτέον ώς έχει ποδών έχαστος ήμων, και παρασκευαστέον μάλιστα μέν μηδεν δείσθαι του χολάζεσθαι, έαν δε δεηθή ή αύτὸς η άλλος τις των οἰχείων, η ίδιώτης η πόλις, έπιθετέον δίχην και κολαστέον, ει μέλλει εὐδαίμων είναι. οὖτος ἔμοιγε δοκεῖ ὁ σκοπὸς είναι, προς δν βλέποντα δεί ζην, και πάντα είς τουτο τα αύτοῦ συντείνοντα καὶ τα τῆς πόλεως, ὅπως Ε διχαιοσύνη παρέσται χαὶ σωφροσύνη τῷ μαχαρίῷ μέλλοντι ἔσεσθαι, ούτω πράττειν, ούα ἐπιθυμίας έῶντα ἀχολάστους εἶναι χαὶ ταύτας ἐπιχειροῦντα πληφούν, ανήνυτον κακόν, ληστού βίον ζώντα. ούτε γαρ αν άλλω ανθρώπω προσφιλής αν είη δ τοιούτος ούτε θεφ · κοινωνείν γαρ αδύνατος · ότφ δε μή ενι κοινωνία, φιλία ούκ αν είη. φασί δ' οί σοφοί, & Καλλίκλεις, και ούρανον και γην 508 χαὶ θεοὺς χαὶ ἀνθρώπους τὴν χοινωνίαν συνέχειν καὶ φιλίαν καὶ κοσμιότητα καὶ σωφροσύνην καὶ δικαιότητα, - καὶ τὸ ὅλον τοῦτο διὰ ταῦτα κόσμον καλουσιν, ὧ έταιρε, — οὐκ ἀκοσμίαν οὐδὲ απολασίαν. σύ δέ μοι δοπεῖς οὐ προσέχειν τὸν νοῦν τούτοις, καὶ ταῦτα σοφὸς ὧν, ἀλλὰ λέληθέ σε, δτι ή ἰσότης ή γεωμετρική και έν θεοίς και έν άνθρώποις μέγα δύναται. συ δε πλεονεξίαν οίει δεῖν ἀσκεῖν · γεωμετρίας γὰρ ἀμελεῖς. Eίεν. Β έξελεγατέος δη ούτος ο λόγος ημίν έστιν, ώς οὐ διχαιοσύνης χαὶ σωφροσύνης χτήσει εὐδαίμονες

οί εὐδαίμονες, κακίας δὲ οἱ ἄθλιοι • ἢ εἰ οδτος άληθής έστι, σκεπτέον τί τὰ συμβαίνοντα. τὰ πρόσθεν έχεῖνα, ὧ Καλλίχλεις, συμβαίνει πάντα, έφ' οίς σύ με ήρου, εί σ. ουδάζων λέγοιμι, λέγοντα, δτι κατηγορητέον είη και αύτοῦ και υίέος καὶ έταίρου, ἐάν τι ἀδικῆ, καὶ τῆ ἡητορικῆ ἐπὶ τούτο χοηστέον. καὶ & Πώλον αἰσχύνη φου συγχωρεῖν, ἀληθῆ ἄρα ἦν, τὸ είναι τὸ ἀδικεῖν τοῦ C άδιχεῖσθαι, δσφπερ αἴσχιον, τοσούτφ χάχιον · χαὶ τον μέλλοντα όρθως δητορικόν ζοεσθαι δίκαιον άρα δεῖ εἶναι καὶ ἐπιστήμονα τῶν δικαίων, δ αὖ Γοργίαν ἔφη Πώλος δι' αλσχύνην όμολογησαι. CAP. LXIV. Τούτων δὲ οῦτως ἐχόντων, σκεψώμεθα τί ποτ' έστιν α συ έμοι όνειδίζεις, άρα χαλώς λέγεται, η ού, ώς ἄρα έγω ούν οίός τ' είμὶ βοηθησαι οὖτε έμαυτῷ οὖτε τῶν φίλων οὐδενὶ οὐδὲ των οἰχείων, οὐδ' ἐχσωσαι ἐχ των μεγίστων χινδύνων, εἰμὶ δὲ ἐπὶ τῷ βουλομένφ ώσπες οἱ ἄτιμοι D τοῦ ἐθέλοντος, ἄν τε τύπτειν βούληται, τὸ νεανικον δη τούτο του σου λόγου, έπι κόδοης, έάν τε χρήματα ἀφαιρεῖσθαι, ἐάν τε ἐχδάλλειν ἐχ τῆς πόλεως, έάν τε, τὸ ἔσχατον, ἀποκτεῖναι · καὶ οὕτω διακεῖσθαι πάντων δή αἴσχιστόν ἐστιν, ὡς ὁ σὸς λόγος. ὁ δὲ δὴ ἐμός, ὅστις πολλάχις μὲν ἤδη εἴρηται, οὐδεν δε κωλύει καὶ ἔτι λέγεσθαι · οὖ φημι, & Καλλίχλεις, τὸ τύπτεσθαι ἐπὶ κόδδης ἀδίχως Ε αἴσχιστον εἶναι, οὐδέ γε τὸ τέμνεσθαι οὖτε τὸ σωμα τὸ ἐμὸν οὖτε τὸ βαλάντιον, άλλὰ τὸ τύπτειν χαὶ ἐμὲ χαὶ τὰ ἐμὰ ἀδίχως χαὶ τέμνειν χαὶ αἴσχιον καὶ κάκιον, καὶ κλέπτειν γε άμα καὶ ἀνδρα-

ποδίζεσθαι καὶ τοιχωρυχεῖν καὶ συλλήδδην όπιοῦν άδικεῖν καὶ ἐμὲ καὶ τὰ ἐμὰ τῷ άδικοῦντι καὶ αἴσχιον χαὶ χάχιον είναι ἢ ἐμοὶ τῷ ἀδιχουμένῳ. ταυτα ήμιν άνω έχει έν τοις έμπροσθεν λόγοις 500 ούτω φανέντα, ώς έγω λέγω, κατέχεται καὶ δέδεται, χαὶ εἰ ἀγροιχότερόν τι εἰπεῖν ἐστι, σιδηροῖς και αδαμαντίνοις λόγοις, ώς γουν αν δόξειεν ούτωσίν, οθς συ εί μη λύσεις η σου τις νεανικώτερος, ούχ οδόν τε, άλλως λέγοντα ἢ ώς ἐγὼ νῦν λέγω, καλώς λέγειν επεί έμοιγε ο αὐτὸς λόγος έστιν αξί, ότι έγω ταυτα ούχ οίδα όπως έχει, ότι μέντοι ών έγω έντετύχηκα, ώσπες νύν, σύδεις οίός Β τ' έστιν άλλως λέγων μή ού καταγέλαστος είναι. έγω μεν ούν αὖ τίθημι ταῦτα οὖτως ἔχειν. εἰ δὲ ουτως έχει, και μέγιστον των κακών έστιν ή άδιχία τῷ ἀδιχοῦντι, χαὶ ἔτι τούτου μεῖζον μεγίστου όντος, εὶ οἰόν τε, τὸ ἀδικοῦντα μὴ διδόναι δίκην, τίνα αν βοήθειαν μη δυνάμενος άνθοωπος βοηθεῖν ξαυτῷ καταγέλαστος ἄν τῷ ἀληθεία εἴη; δο' οὐ ταύτην, ήτις ἀποτρέψει τὴν μεγίστην ήμων βλάβην; άλλα πολλή ανάγκη ταύτην είναι την αἰσχίστην βοήθειαν, μη δύνασθαι βοηθεῖν μήτε έαυτῷ μήτε τοῖς αύτοῦ φίλοις τε καὶ οἰκεί-C οις, δευτέραν δὲ τὴν τοῦ δευτέρου κακοῦ καὶ τρίτην την του τρίτου, και τάλλα ουτως ώς έκάστου χαχοῦ μέγεθος πέφυχεν, οὖτω χαὶ χάλλος τοῦ δυνατὸν είναι ἐφ' ἕχαστα βοηθεῖν χαὶ αἰσχύνη τοῦ μή. ἄρα ἀλλως, ἢ οῦτως ἔχει, ὧ Καλλίκλεις: ΚΑΛ. Οὐκ άλλως.

CAP. LXV. ΣΩ. Δυοΐν οὖν ὄντοιν, τοῦ ἀδι-

κεΐν τε καὶ ἀδικεῖσθαι, μεῖζον μέν φαμεν κακὸν το άδιχεῖν, ἔλαττον δὲ το άδιχεῖσθαι. τί οὖν ἂν παρασκευασάμενος ανθρωπος βοηθήσειεν αύτῷ, ώστε αμφοτέρας τας ώφελείας ταύτας έχειν, τήν D τε ἀπὸ τοῦ μη ἀδικεῖν καὶ την ἀπὸ τοῦ μη ἀδικείσθαι ; πότερα δύναμιν, η βούλησιν ; ώδε δὲ λέγω · πότερον ἐαν μὴ βούληται άδιχεῖσθαι, οὐχ άδιχήσεται, η έαν δύναμιν παρασκευάσηται τοῦ μὴ ἀδικεῖσθαι, οὐκ ἀδικήσεται; KAA. Δῆλον δὴ τοῦτό γε, ὅτι ἐὰν δύναμιν. $\Sigma\Omega$. Τί δὲ δὴ τοῦ ἀδικεῖν; πότερον ἐὰν μὴ βούληται ἀδικεῖν, ίχανὸν τοῦτ' ἐστίν — οὐ γὰρ ἀδιχήσει —, ἢ καὶ Ε έπὶ τοῦτο δεῖ δύναμίν τινα καὶ τέχνην παρασχευάσασθαι, ώς, έαν μη μάθη αὐτα καὶ ἀσχήση, άδικήσει; Τί οὐκ αὐτό γέ μοι τοῦτο ἀπεκρίνω, ῶ Καλλίκλεις; πότερόν σοι δοκούμεν ὀρθώς άναγχασθηναι όμολογεῖν ἐν τοῖς ἔμπροσθεν λόγοις έγώ τε καὶ Πῶλος, ἢ οὐ, ἡνίκα ώμολογήσαμεν μηδένα βουλόμενον άδικεῖν, άλλ' άκοντας τους άδιχοῦντας πάντας άδιχεῖν; ΚΑΛ. Εστω σοι τοῦτο, & Σώχρατες, ούτως, ίνα διαπεράνης 510 τον λόγον. ΣΩ. Καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦτο ἄρα, ὡς ἔοικε, παρασκευαστέον έστι δύναμίν τινα και τέχνην, οπως μη αδικήσωμεν. ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Τίς οὖν ποτ' ἐστὶ τέχνη τῆς παρασκευῆς τοῦ μηδεν άδικεῖσθαι ή ώς όλίγιστα; σκέψαι, εί σοί δοχεῖ ήπεο ἐμοί. ἐμοὶ μὲν γὰο δοχεῖ ήδε· ἡ αὐτον ἄοχειν δεῖν ἐν τῆ πόλει ἢ καὶ τυραννεῖν, η της ύπαρχούσης πολιτείας έταιρον είναι. ΚΛΛ. 'Οράς, & Σώχρατες, ώς έγω ετοιμός είμι

Β ἐπαινεῖν, ἄν τι καλῶς λέγης; τοῦτό μοι δοκεῖς πάνυ καλῶς εἰρηκέναι.

CAP. LXVI. ΣΩ. Σχόπει δή καὶ τόδε ἐάν σοι δοχῶ εὖ λέγειν. φίλος μοι δοχεῖ ἕχαστος ἑχάστφ είναι ώς οδόν τε μάλιστα, δνπερ οί παλακοί τε καὶ σοφοὶ λέγουσιν, ὁ δμοιος τῷ ὁμοίῳ. οὐ καὶ σοί; ΚΑΛ. "Εμοιγε. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν ὅπου τύραννός εξστιν άρχων άγριος και απαίδευτος, εξ τις τούτου έν τῆ πόλει πολύ βελτίων είη, φοδοῖτο δήπου αν αὐτὸν ὁ τύραννος καὶ τούτω ἐξ C απαντος του νου ούκ αν ποτε δύναιτο φίλος γενέσθαι; ΚΑΛ. "Εστι ταυτα. ΣΩ. Οὐδέ γε εἴ τις πολύ φαυλότερος εἴη, οὐδ' ἄγ οὖτος : καταφρονοῖ γὰρ ἄν αὐτοῦ ὁ τύραννος καὶ οὐκ αν ποτε ώς προς φίλον σπουδάσειε. ΚΑΛ. Καὶ ταῦτ' ἀληθη. ΣΩ. Λείπεται δη έχεῖνος μόνος άξιος λόγου φίλος τῷ τοιούτῳ, δς ἄν, ὁμοήθης ών, ταὐτὰ ψέγων καὶ ἐπαινών ἐθέλη ἄρχεσθαι καὶ ὑποκεῖσθαι τῷ ἄρχοντι. οδτος μέγα ἐν ταύ-D τη τη πόλει δυνήσεται · τουτον ούδεὶς χαίρων άδιχήσει. ούχ ούτως έχει; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Εὶ ἄρα τις ἐννοήσειεν ἐν ταύτῃ τῆ πόλει τῶν νέων, Τίνα αν τρόπον έγω μέγα δυναίμην καί μηδείς με άδιχοίη, αύτη, ώς ἔοιχεν, αὐτῷ ὁδός έστιν, εύθυς έχ νέου έθίζειν αύτον τοις αύτοις χαίρειν καὶ ἄχθεσθαι τῷ δεσπότη, καὶ παρασχευάζειν, δπως δτι μάλιστα δμοιος έσται έχείνφ. Ε ούχ ουτως : ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Ούχοῦν τούτω το μεν μη άδικεῖσθαι καὶ μέγα δύνασθαι, ώς ό ύμέτερος λόγος, εν τη πόλει διαπεπράξεται.

ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Αρ' οὖν καὶ τὸ μὴ άδιχεῖν; ἢ πολλοῦ δεῖ, εἴπερ ὅμοιος ἔσται τῷ άρχοντι, όντι άδίκω, καὶ παρά τούτω μέγα δυνήσεται; άλλ' οίμαι έγωγε, παν τούναντίον ούτωσι ή παρασχευή έσται αὐτῷ ἐπὶ τὸ οίῷ τε είναι ώς πλεϊστα άδικεῖν καὶ άδικοῦντα μη διδόναι 511 δίχην. ή γάρ; ΚΑΛ. Φαίνεται. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν τὸ μέγιστον αὐτῷ χαχὸν ὑπάρξει, μοχθηρῷ οντι την ψυχην και λελωδημένω δια την μίμησιν τοῦ δεσπότου καὶ δύναμιν. ΚΑΛ. Οὐκ οἶδ' οπη στρέφεις έχάστοτε τους λόγους άνω χαι χάτω, & Σώχρατες. ἢ οὐχ οἶσθα, ὅτι οὖτος ὁ μιμούμενος τον μη μιμούμενον έκεῖνον ἀποκτενεῖ, ἐὰν βούληται, καὶ ἀφαιρήσεται τὰ ὄντα; ΣΩ. Οίδα, Β δ 'γαθε Καλλίκλεις, εί μή κωφός γ' είμί, και σοῦ ακούων καὶ Πώλου άρτι πολλάκις καὶ τῶν άλλων όλίγου πάντων των έν τῆ πόλει. άλλα καὶ σὺ έμου ἄχουε, δτι ἀποχτενεῖ μέν, αν βούληται, άλλα πονηρος ών καλον κάγαθον όντα. ΚΑΛ. Ούκοῦν τοῦτο δή καὶ τὸ ἀγανακτητόν; ΣΩ. Οὐ νοῦν γε ἔχοντι, ώς ὁ λόγος σημαίνει. ἢ οἴει δεῖν τοῦτο παρασχευάζεσθαι άνθρωπον, ώς πλείστον χρόνον ζῆν, καὶ μελετᾶν τὰς τέχνας ταύτας, αι ήμᾶς ἀεὶ έχ τῶν χινδύνων σώζουσιν, ὢσπερ χαὶ ἢν σὺ χε- C λεύεις έμε μελεταν την δητορικήν, την έν τοῖς δικαστηρίοις διασώζουσαν; ΚΑΛ. Ναὶ μὰ Λι' όρθως γέ σοι συμβουλεύων.

CAP. LXVII. $\Sigma\Omega$. Τί δέ, $\vec{\omega}$ βέλτιστε; $\vec{\eta}$ καὶ $\hat{\eta}$ τοῦ νεῖν ἐπιστήμη σεμνή τίς σοι δοκεῖ εἶναι; KAA. Μὰ Δ ί' οὐκ ἔμοιγεὶ $\Sigma\Omega$. Καὶ

μην σώζει γε καὶ αυτη έκ θανάτου τους ανθρώπους, όταν είς τοιούτον έμπέσωσιν, οδ δεί ταύτης D της έπιστήμης. εί δ' αυτη σοι δοχεί σμιχρά είναι, έγώ σοι μείζονα ταύτης έρω, την χυβερνητιχήν, ή οὐ μόνον τὰς ψυχὰς σώζει, ἀλλὰ χαὶ τὰ σώματα καὶ τὰ χρήματα ἐκ τῶν ἐσχάτων κινδύνων, ωσπες ή δητορική. και αθτη μεν προσεσταλμένη έστι και κοσμία, και ού σεμνύνεται έσχηματισμένη ώς ύπερήφανόν τι διαπραττομένη, άλλα τα ύτα διαπραξαμένη τη δικανική, έαν μέν έξ Αιγίνης δευρο σώση, οίμαι, δύ' όδολους έπρά-Ε ξατο, έαν δε έξ Αἰγύπτου ἢ έκ τοῦ Πόντου, έαν πάμπολυ ταύτης τῆς μεγάλης εὐεργεσίας, σώσασ' α νυν δή έλεγον, και αύτον και παίδας και χρήματα καὶ γυναῖκας, ἀποδιδάσασ' εἰς τὸν λιμένα δύο δραχμας έπράξατο, και αὐτος ό ἔχων την τέγνην καὶ ταῦτα διαπραξάμενος έκβας παρα τὴν θάλατταν καὶ τὴν ναῦν περιπατεῖ ἐν μετρίφ σχήματι. λογίζεσθαι γάρ, οίμαι, ἐπίσταται, ὅτι άδηλόν έστιν ουστινάς τε ώφέληκε των συμπλεόντων οὖχ ἐάσας χαταποντωθῆναι χαὶ οὖστινας ἔβλαψεν, είδως, ὅτι οὐδεν αὐτοὺς βελτίους έξεβί-512 βασεν η οίοι ενέβησαν, ούτε τα σώματα ούτε τας ψυγάς. λογίζεται οὖν, ὅτι οὐκ, εἰ μέν τις μεγάλοις καὶ ἀνιάτοις νοσήμασι κατὰ τὸ σῶμα συνεχόμενος μη ἀπεπνίγη, οδτος μεν ἀθλιός έστιν, δτι ούκ απέθανε, και ούδεν ύπ' αύτου ώφέληται · εί δέ τις ἄρα ἐν τῷ τοῦ σώματος τιμιωτέρῳ, τῆ ψυχῆ, πολλά νοσήματα ἔχει καὶ ἀνίατα, τούτφ δε βιωτέον έστι και τουτον ονήσειεν, αν τε έχ

θαλάττης ἄν τε ἐχ διχαστηρίου ἄν τε ἄλλοθεν όποθενοῦν σώση, άλλ' οίδεν, δτι ούκ ἄμεινόν έστι Β ζην τῷ μοχθηςῷ ἀνθςώπῳ · κακῶς γὰς ἀνάγκη έστὶ ζῆν. CAP. LXVIII. Διὰ ταῦτα οὐ νόμος έστὶ σεμνύνεσθαι τον κυβερνήτην, καίπερ σώζοντα ήμας. οὐδέ γε, & θαυμάσιε, τὸν μηχανοποιόν, δς ούτε στρατηγού, μη δτι κυβερνήτου, ούτε άλλου ούδενος ελάττω ενίστε δύναται σώζειν πόλεις γαρ ἔστιν ὅτε ὅλας σώζει. μή σοι δοχεῖ χατα τον δικανικόν είναι; καίτοι εί βούλοιτο λέγειν, δ Καλλίκλεις, ἄπεο ύμεῖς, σεμνύνων τὸ ποᾶγμα, καταγώσειεν αν ύμας τοις λόγοις, λέγων καὶ πα- C ραχαλών ἐπὶ τὸ δεῖν γίγνεσθαι μηχανοποιούς, ώς οὐδὲν τάλλά ἐστιν ἱχανὸς γὰρ αὐτῷ ὁ λόγος. άλλα σύ ούδεν ήττον αύτου καταφρονείς και της τέχνης της έχείνου, και ώς έν ονείδει αποκαλέσαις αν μηχανοποιόν, καὶ τῷ υίεῖ αὐτοῦ οὖτ' αν δοῦναι θυγατέρα έθέλοις, οὖτ' αν αὐτὸς τῷ σαυτοῦ λαβεῖν τὴν ἐχείνου. χαίτοι ἐξ ὧν τὰ σαυτοῦ ἐπαινεῖς, τίνι δικαίφ λόγφ τοῦ μηχανοποιοῦ καταφρονεῖς χαὶ τῶν ἄλλων ὧν νῦν δὴ ἔλεγον; οἶδ' ὅτι D φαίης αν βελτίων είναι καὶ έκ βελτιόνων. τὸ δὲ βέλτιον εί μη ἔστιν δ έγω λέγω, άλλ' αὐτο τοῦτ' έστιν άρετή, το σώζειν αύτον και τα ξαυτοῦ οντα όποῖός τις ἔτυχε, καταγέλαστός σοι ὁ ψόγος γίγνεται καὶ μηχανοποιοῦ καὶ ἰατροῦ καὶ τῶν ἄλλων τεχνών, δσαι του σώζειν ένεχα πεποίηνται. άλλ', δ μαχάριε, δρα μη άλλο τι το γενναΐον χαὶ το άγαθον ή του σώζειν τε καὶ σώζεσθαι. μή γὰρ τοῦτο μέν, το ζην δποσονδη χρόνον, τόν γε ώς Ε

άληθως άνδρα έατέον έστι και ου φιλοψυχητέον, αλλα επιτρέψαντα περί τούτων τῷ θεῷ καί πιστεύσαντα ταις γυναιξίν, δτι την είμαρμένην ούδ' αν είς έκφύγοι, το έπὶ τούτω σκεπτέον, τίν' αν τρόπον τοῦτον δν μέλλει χρόνον βιώναι ώς άριστα βιώη, άρα έξομοιών αύτον τη πολιτεία 518 ταύτη, εν ή αν οἰκή, καὶ νῦν δε ἀρα δεῖ σε ώς όμοιότατον γίγνεσθαι τῷ δήμῳ τῷ ᾿Δθηναίων, εἰ μέλλεις τούτφ προσφιλής είναι και μέγα δύνασθαι έν τῆ πόλει; τοῦθ' ὅρα εἰ σοὶ λυσιτελεῖ καὶ έμοί, δπως μή, & δαιμόνιε, πεισόμεθα δπερ φασί τας την σελήνην χαθαιρούσας, τας Θετταλίδας. συν τοις φιλτάτοις ή αίρεσις ήμιν έσται ταύτης της δυνάμεως της έν τη πόλει. εί δέ σοι οἴει όντινοῦν ἀνθρώπων παραδώσειν τέχνην τινὰ τοιαύ-Β την, ήτις σε ποιήσει μέγα δύνασθαι έν τῆ πόλει τῆδε ἀνόμοιον ὄντα τῆ πολιτεία εἶτ' ἐπὶ τὸ βέλτιον εἴτ' ἐπὶ τὸ χεῖρον, ώς ἐμοὶ δοκεῖ, οὐκ ὀρθῶς βουλεύει, & Καλλίκλεις ού γαρ μιμητήν δεί είναι, άλλ' αὐτοφυῶς δμοιον τούτοις, εὶ μέλλεις τι γνήσιον ἀπεργάζεσθαι εἰς φιλίαν τῷ ᾿Αθηναίων δήμφ καὶ ναὶ μὰ Δία τῷ Πυριλάμπους γε πρός. δστις οδν σε τούτοις όμοιότατον απεργάσεται, οδτός σε ποιήσει, ως έπιθυμεῖς πολιτικος είναι, πο-C λιτικόν και δητορικόν · τῷ αύτῶν γὰρ ἤθει λεγομένων των λόγων έκαστοι χαίρουσι, τῷ δὲ ἀλλοτρίω ἄχθονται. εί μή τι συ άλλο λέγεις, ώ φίλη κεφαλή. Λέγομέν τι προς ταυτα, & Καλλίκλεις; CAP. LXIX. ΚΑΛ. Ούχ οίδ' δντινά μοι τρόπον δοχείς εὖ λέγειν, ὧ Σώχρατες. πέπονθα δὲ τὸ τῶν πολλῶν πάθος οὐ πάνυ σοι πείθομαι. ΣΩ. 'Ο δήμου γαρ ἔρως, ὧ Καλλίκλεις, ἐνων ἐν τῆ ψυγῆ τῆ σῆ ἀντιστατεῖ μοι · ἀλλ' ἐὰν πολλά- D κις ίσως και βέλτιον ταύτα ταύτα διασκοπώμεθα, πεισθήσει. ἀναμνήσθητι δ' οὖν, ὅτι δύ' ἔφαμεν είναι τας παρασχευας έπι το ξχαστον θεραπεύειν καὶ σῶμα καὶ ψυχήν, μίαν μεν προς ήδονην όμιλείν, την έτέραν δε προς το βέλτιστον, μη καταχαριζόμενον, άλλα διαμαχόμενον. οὐ ταῦτα ἦν ἃ τότε ὡριζόμεθα; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν ή μεν έτέρα, ή προς ήδονήν, αγεννής καὶ οὐδὲν ἄλλο ἢ χολαχεία τυγχάνει οῦσα. ἢ γάς; Ε ΚΑΛ. "Εστω, εὶ βούλει, σοὶ οὖτως. ΣΩ. Ή δέ γε έτέρα, δπως ώς βέλτιστον ἔσται τοῦτο, εἴτε σῶμα τυγχάνει ὂν εἶτε ψυχή, δ θεραπεύομεν; $KA\Lambda$. Πάνυ γε. $\Sigma\Omega$. 3A ρ' οὖν οὖτως ἡμῖν έπιχειοητέον έστι τη πόλει και τοις πολίταις θεραπεύειν ώς βελτίστους αὐτους τους πολίτας ποιουντας; ανευ γαρ δη τούτου, ως έν τοις ξμπροσθεν εύρίσχομεν, οὐδεν ὄφελος ἄλλην εὐεργεσίαν 514 ούδεμίαν προσφέρειν, έαν μή καλή κάγαθή ή διάνοια ή των μελλόντων ή χρήματα πολλά λαμβάνειν η ἀρχήν τινων η ἀλλην δύναμιν ήντινοῦν. Θῶμεν οὖτως ἔχειν; KAA. Πάνυ γ ε, εἴ σοι ήδιον. ΣΩ. Εὶ οὖν παρεκαλοῦμεν ἀλλήλους, δ Καλλίκλεις, δημοσία πράξαντες των πολιτικών πραγμάτων, έπὶ τὰ οἰκοδομικά, ἢ τειχῶν ἢ νεωρίων ἢ ἱερῶν ἐπὶ τὰ μέγιστα οἰκοδομήματα, πότεφον έδει αν ήμας σκέψασθαι ήμας αὐτούς καὶ Β έξετάσαι, πρώτον μεν εί έπιστάμεθα την τέχνην, ή

ούχ έπιστάμεθα, την οίχοδομικήν, και παρά του έμαθομεν; έδει αν, η ού; ΚΑΔ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν δεύτερον αὖ τόδε, εἴ τι πώποτε ολκοδόμημα φαοδομήκαμεν ιδία ή των φίλων τινί η ημέτερον αὐτῶν, καὶ τοῦτο τὸ οἰκοδόμημα καλον ή αίσχρόν έστι. καὶ εί μεν εύρίσκομεν σκο-C πούμενοι διδασχάλους τε ήμων άγαθους και έλλογίμους γεγονότας καὶ οἰκοδομήματα πολλά μὲν καὶ καλὰ μετὰ τῶν διδασκάλων ώκοδομημένα ήμιτν, πολλα δε και ιδία ύφ' ήμων, επειδή των διδασκάλων απηλλάγημεν, ούτω μεν διακειμένων, νουν εχόντων ήν αν ιέναι επί τα δημόσια έργα. εὶ δὲ μήτε διδάσχαλον εἴχομεν ἡμῶν αὐτῶν ἐπιδείξαι οἰχοδομήματά τε η μηδεν η πολλά καὶ μηδενος άξια, ούτω δε ανόητον ήν δήπου επιχειρείν τοῖς δημοσίοις ἔργοις καὶ παρακαλεῖν άλλήλους έπ' αὐτά. φωμεν ταυτα ὀρθως λέγεσθαι, ἢ οὖ; D ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε.

CAP. LXX. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν οὖτω πάντα, τά τε ἄλλα, κᾶν εἰ ἐπιχειρήσαντες δημοσιεύειν παρεκαλοῦμεν ἀλλήλους ὡς ἱκανοὶ ἰατροὶ ὄντες, ἐπεσκεψάμεθα δήπου ἄν ἐγώ τε σὲ καὶ σὺ ἐμέ, Φέρε πρὸς θεῶν, αὐτὸς δὲ ὁ Σωκράτης πῶς ἔχει τὸ σῶμα πρὸς ὑγίειαν; ἢ ἤδη τίς ἄλλος διὰ Σωκράτην ἀπηλλάγη νόσου, ἢ δοῦλος ἢ ἐλεύθερος; Κᾶν Ε ἐγώ, οἶμαι, περὶ σοῦ ἕτερα τοιαῦτα ἐσκόπουν. καὶ εἰ μὴ ηὑρίσκομεν δι' ἡμᾶς μηδένα βελτίω γεγονότα τὸ σῶμα, μήτε τῶν ξένων μήτε τῶν ἀστῶν, μήτε ἄνδρα μήτε γυναῖκα, πρὸς Διός, ῷ Καλλίκλεις, οὐ καταγέλαστον ἄν ἤν τῷ ἀληθεία εἰς το-

σουτον ανοίας έλθειν ανθρώπους, ώστε, πρίν ίδιωτεύοντας πολλά μεν δπως ετύχομεν ποιήσαι, πολλὰ δὲ χατορθῶσαι χαὶ γυμνάσασθαι ἱχανῶς τὴν τέχνην, τὸ λεγόμενον δη τοῦτο, ἐν τῷ πίθφ την χεραμείαν επιχειρεῖν μανθάνειν, χαὶ αὐτούς τε δημοσιεύειν έπιχειρεῖν χαὶ άλλους τοιούτους παφακαλεῖν; οὐκ ἀνόητόν σοι δοκεῖ αν εἶναι οῧτω πράττειν ; ΚΑΛ. "Εμοιγε. ΣΩ. Νῦν δέ, ὧ515 βέλτιστε ανδρών, έπειδή σύ μεν αύτος άρτι άρχει πράττειν τὰ τῆς πόλεως πράγματα, ἐμὲ δὲ παρακαλείς και ονειδίζεις, δτι ού πράττω, ούκ έπισχεψόμεθα άλλήλους, Φέρε, Καλλικλης ήδη τινά βελτίω πεποίηκε των πολιτών; ἔστιν δστις πρότερον πονηρός ων άδικός τε και ακήλαστος καί ἄφρων δια Καλλιχλέα χαλός τε οδς γέγονεν, η ξένος η ἀστός, η δοῦλος η ελ ος; Λέγε Β μοι, ἐάν τίς σε ταῦτα ἐξετάζη, ω ζαλλίκλεις, τί έρεις; τίνα φήσεις βελτίω πεποιηχέναι άνθρωπον τῆ συνουσία τῆ σῆ; — 'Οχνεῖς ἀποχρίνασθαι, εἴπερ εστι τοιόνδε τι εργον σον ετι ίδιωτεύοντος, πρίν δημοσιεύειν ἐπιχειρεῖν; ΚΑΛ. Φιλόνειχος εί, δ Σώχρατες.

CAP. LXXI. ΣΩ. 'Αλλ' οὐ φιλονεικία γε εξωτω, άλλ' ως άληθως βουλόμενος εἰδέναι ὅντινά ποτε τρόπον οἴει δεῖν πολιτεύεσθαι ἐν ἡμῖν, εἰ ἄλλου του ἄρα ἐπιμελήσει ἡμῖν ἐλθων ἐπὶ τὰ τῆς Ὁ πόλεως πράγματα ἢ ὅπως ὅτι βέλτιστοι οἱ πολῖται ὧμεν. ἢ οὐ πολλάκις ἢδη ωμολογήκαμεν τοῦτο δεῖν πράττειν τὸν πολιτικὸν ἄνδρα; ωμολογήκαμεν ἐγω καμεν, ἢ οὖ; ἀποκρίνου. ΄Ωμολογήκαμεν ἐγω

ύπερ σου αποχρινουμαι. Εί τοίνυν τουτο δεί τον άγαθον άνδρα παρασκευάζειν τη ξαυτού πόλει, νῦν μοι ἀναμνησθείς είπε περί ἐχείνων τῶν ανδρων ων όλίγω πρότερον έλεγες, εί έτι σοι δο-D χουσιν αγαθοί πολίται γεγονέναι, Περικλής καί Κίμων καὶ Μιλτιάδης καὶ Θεμιστοκλης. ΚΑΛ. *Εμοιγε. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν εἴπερ ἀγαθοί, δῆλον ὅτι ξχαστος αὐτών βελτίους ἐποίει τοὺς πολίτας ἀντὶ γειρόνων. ἐποίει, η οὐ; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Ούχοῦν ὅτε Περικλῆς ἡρχετο λέγειν ἐν τῷ δήμω, χείρους ήσαν οί 'Αθηναΐοι ή ότε τα τελευταΐα ἔλεγεν; ΚΑΛ. Ἰσως. ΣΩ. Οὐκ ἴσως δή, δ βέλτιστε, άλλ' άνάγκη έκ των ωμολογημένων, Ε είπερ ἀγαθός γ' ἦν ἐκεῖνος πολίτης. ΚΑΛ. Τί οὖν δή; ΣΩ. Οὐδέν. ἀλλὰ τόδε μοι εἰπὲ ἐπὶ τούτω, εὶ λέγονται 'Αθηναΐοι διὰ Περικλέα βελτίους γεγονέναι, η παν τουναντίον διαφθαρηναι ύπ' ἐκείνου. ταυτὶ γὰρ ἔγωγε ἀκούω, Περικλέα πεποιηχέναι 'Αθηναίους άργους χαι δειλους χαι λάλους καὶ φιλαργύρους, εἰς μισθοφορίαν πρώτον καταστήσαντα. ΚΑΛ. Των τα ώτα κατεαγότων ακούεις ταῦτα, ὁ Σώκρατες. ΣΩ. Αλλά τάδε οὐκέτι ἀκούω, ἀλλ' οἶδα σαφῶς καὶ ἐγὼ καὶ σύ, δτι τὸ μὲν πρώτον ηὐδοχίμει Περιχλής χαὶ ούδεμίαν αίσχοαν δίκην κατεψηφίσαντο αύτοῦ ' Αθηναΐοι, ήνίκα χείρους ήσαν · ἐπειδή δὲ καλοὶ 516 χάγαθοί γεγόνεσαν ύπ' αὐτοῦ, ἐπὶ τελευτῆ τοῦ βίου τοῦ Περικλέους, κλοπην αὐτοῦ κατεψηφίσαντο, ολίγου δε καὶ θανάτου ετίμησαν, δηλον δτι ώς πονηφού όντος.

CAP. LXXII. ΚΑΛ. Τί οὖν; τούτου Ενεκα κακὸς ἦν Περικλῆς; ΣΩ. Θνων γοῦν ἂν ἐπι μελητής και ίππων και βοών τοιούτος ών κακός αν εδόχει είναι, εί παραλαβων μη λαχτίζοντας μηδε χυρίττοντας μηδε δάχνοντας απέδειξε ταυτα απαντα ποιούντας δι' άγριότητα. η ού δοκεί σοι κακὸς εἶναι ἐπιμελητης ὁστισοῦν ὁτουοῦν ζώου, ὃς B αν παραλαδών ήμερώτερα αποδείξη δίγριώτερα η παρέλαδε; Δοκεῖ, ἢ οὖ; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε, ἵνα σοι χαρίσωμαι. ΣΩ. Καὶ τόδε τοίνυν μοι χάρισαι ἀποχρινάμενος, πότερον καὶ ὁ ἄνθρωπος εν τῶν ζώων ἐστίν, ἢ οὖ; ΚΑΛ. Πῶς γὰρ οὖ; ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν ἀνθρώπων Περικλης ἐπεμέλετο; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Τί οὖν; οὐκ ἔδει αὐτούς, ὡς άρτι ώμολογουμεν, δικαιοτέρους γεγονέναι άντὶ άδικωτέρων ὑπ' ἐκείνου, εἴπερ ἐκεῖνος ἐπεμελεῖτο C αὐτῶν ἀγαθὸς ῶν τὰ πολιτικά; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν οί γε δίκαιοι ημεροι, ώς ἔφη "Ομηφος. συ δε τί φής; ούχ ούτως; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. 'Αλλά μην άγριωτέρους γε αὐτοὺς απέφηνεν η οίους παρέλαδε, και ταῦτ' εἰς αὐτόν, ον ήπιστ' αν εβούλετο. ΚΑΛ. Βούλει σοι όμολογήσω; ΣΩ. Εὶ δοχῶ γέ σοι ἀληθῆ λέγειν. ΚΑΛ. "Εστω δή ταῦτα. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν εἴπες αγριωτέρους, αδικωτέρους τε καὶ γείρους; ΚΑΛ. "Εστω. ΣΩ. Οὐχ ἄρ' ἀγαθὸς τὰ πολιτικὰ Πε- D **ρικλης ην έκ τούτου τοῦ λόγου. ΚΑΛ. Οὐ σύ** γε φής. ΣΩ. Μὰ Δί' οὐδέ γε σὺ ἐξ ὧν ὁμολογείς. Πάλιν δὲ λέγε μοι περὶ Κίμωνος · οὐκ έξωστράχισαν αὐτὸν οδτοι, οθς έθεράπευεν, ίνα

αὐτοῦ δέχα ἐτῶν μὴ ἀχούσειαν τῆς φωνῆς; χαὶ Θεμεστοκλέα ταὐτά ταῦτα ἐποίησαν καὶ φυγή προσεζημίωσαν; Μιλτιάδην δὲ τον ἐν Μαραθώνι Ε είς το βάραθρον εμβαλεῖν έψηφίσαντο, καὶ εἰ μή δια τον πούτανιν, ενέπεσεν αν; Καίτοι οδτοι, εί ήσαν ανδρες αγαθοί, ώς σύ φής, ούκ αν ποτε τα υτα επασχον. ο υκουν ο εγε αγαθοί ήνίοχοι κατ' ἀρχὰς μεν οὐκ ἐκπίπτουσιν ἐκ τῶν ζευγῶν, έπειδαν δε θεραπεύσωσι τους εππους και αυτοί άμείνους γένωνται ήνίοχοι, τότ' ἐκπίπτουσιν. οὐκ ἔστι ταῦτ' οὐτ' ἐν ἡνιοχεία οὐτ' ἐν ἄλλα ἔργα οὐδενί. ἢ δοχεῖ σοι ; KAA. Οὐχ ἔμοιγε. $\Sigma\Omega$. ' Αληθεῖς ἄρα, ὡς ἔοικεν, οἱ ἔμπροσθεν λόγοι 517 ήσαν, δτι οὐδένα ήμεῖς ἴσμεν ἄνδοα ἀγαθον γεγονότα τὰ πολιτικὰ ἐν τῆδε τῆ πόλει. σὺ δὲ ώμολόγεις τῶν γε νῦν οὐδένα, τῶν μέντοι ἔμπροσθεν, και προείλου τούτους τους ανδρας. οδτοι δε ανεφανησαν έξ ίσου τοῖς νῦν ὄντες, ώστε, εἰ οδτοι δήτορες ήσαν, οὖτε τη άληθινη δητορική έχρωντο — οὐ γὰρ ἂν ἐξέπεσον — οὖτε τῆ κολακικῆ.

CAP. LXXIII. ΚΑΑ. 'Αλλά μέντοι πολλοῦ Β γε δεῖ, ὧ Σώκρατες, μή ποτέ τις τῶν νῦν ἔργα τοιαῦτα ἐργάσηται, οἶα τούτων δς βούλει εἴργασται. ΣΩ. 'Ω δαιμόνιε, οὐδ' ἐγὰ ψέγω τούτους, ὧς γε διακόνους εἴναι πόλεως, ἀλλά μοι δοκοῦσι τῶν γε νῦν διακονικώτεροι γεγονέναι καὶ μᾶλλον οἴοί τε ἐκπορίζειν τῆ πόλει ὧν ἐπεθύμει. ἀλλὰ γὰρ μεταδιδάζειν τὰς ἐπιθυμίας καὶ μὴ ἐπιτρέπειν, πείθοντες καὶ βιαζόμενοι ἐπὶ τοῦτο, ὅθεν ἔμελλον ἀμείνους ἔσεσθαι οἱ πολῖται, ὡς ἔπος

είπεῖν οὐδεν τούτων διέφερον έχεῖνοι · ὅπερ μόνον C ἔργον ἐστὶν ἀγαθοῦ πολίτου. ναῦς δὲ καὶ τείχη χαὶ νεώρια χαὶ ἄλλα πολλά τοιαῦτα χαὶ ἐγώ σοι δμολογά δεινοτέρους είναι έχείνους τούτων έχπορίζειν. Πράγμα οὖν γελοῖον ποιοῦμεν ἐγώ τε καί συ έν τοῖς λόγοις. έν παντί γὰς τῷ χρόνῳ, ον διαλεγόμεθα, οὐδεν παυόμεθα είς το αὐτο ἀεὶ περιφερόμενοι χαὶ ἀγνοοῦντες ἀλλήλων ὅ τι λέγομεν. έγω γουν σε πολλάκις οίμαι ώμολογηκέναι καὶ ἐγνωκέναι, ὡς ἄρα διττὴ αὖτη τις ἡ πραγμα- D τεία έστι και περί το σώμα και περί την ψυχήν, καὶ ή μεν ετέρα διακονική εστιν, ή δυνατον είναι έχπορίζειν, έαν μεν πεινή τα σώματα ήμων, σιτία, έαν δε διψή, ποτά, έαν δε διγώ, ίματια, στρώματα, ύποδήματα, άλλα ών ἔρχεται σώματα εἰς ἐπιθυμίαν. καὶ ἐξεπίτηδές σοι διὰ τῶν αὐτῶν εἰκόνων λέγω, ενα δάον καταμάθης. τούτων γάρ ποριστιχον είναι ἢ χάπηλον ὄντα ἢ ἔμπορον ἢ δημιουργόν του αὐτῶν τούτων, σιτοποιον ἢ όψο- Ε ποιον η υφάντην η σχυτοτόμον η σχυτοδεψόν, ουδεν θαυμαστόν έστιν, όντα τοιούτον δόξαι καί αύτῷ καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις θεραπευτήν είναι σώματος, παντί τῷ μὴ εἰδότι, ὅτι ἔστι τις παρὰ ταύτας άπάσας τέχνη γυμναστική τε καὶ ἰατρική, ή δή τῷ ὄντι ἐστὶ σώματος θεραπεία, ἥνπερ καὶ προσήκει τούτων ἄρχειν πασών τών τεχνών καὶ χρήσθαι τοις τούτων έργοις δια το είδέναι ο τι το χρηστον καί πονηρον των σιτίων η ποτων έστιν 518 είς ἀρετήν σώματος, τας δ' άλλας πάσας ταύτας άγνοεῖν · διὸ δη καὶ ταύτας μεν δουλοπρεπεῖς τε

καί διακονικάς και άνελευθέρους είναι περί σώματος πραγματείαν, τας άλλας τέχνας την δέ γυμναστικήν καὶ ἰατρικήν κατὰ τὸ δίκαιον δεσποίνας είναι τούτων. ταύτα οδν ταῦτα δτι ἔστι καὶ περὶ ψυχήν, τοτὲ μέν μοι δοκεῖς μανθάνειν ότι λέγω, και δμολογείς ώς είδως, δ τι έγω λέγω. ηκεις δε ολίγον υστερον λέγων, οτι [άνθρωποι] Β χαλοί κάγαθοί γεγόνασι πολίται έν τῆ πόλει, καὶ έπειδαν έγω έρωτω οίτινες, δοκείς μοι ομοιοτάτους προτείνεσθαι ανθρώπους περί τα πολιτικά, ώσπερ αν εί περί τα γυμναστικά έμου έρωτωντος οίτινες άγαθοί γεγόνασιν ή είσι σωμάτων θεραπευταί, έλεγές μοι πάνυ σπουδάζων, Θεαρίων δ άρτοκόπος καί Μίθαικος ό την όψοποιίαν συγγεγραφώς την Σικελικήν και Σάραμδος δ κάπηλος, δτι οδτοι θαυμάσιοι γεγόνασι σωμάτων θεραπευταί, δ μέν C ἄρτους θαυμαστούς παρασκευάζων, δ δε όψον, δ δὲ οίνον. CAP. LXXIV. "Ισως αν οὖν ήγανάκτεις, εἴ σοι ἔλεγον ἐγώ, ὅτι, Ανθρωπε, ἐπαΐεις ούδεν περί γυμναστικής · διακόνους μοι λέγεις καὶ ἐπιθυμιῶν παρασκευαστὰς ἀνθρώπους, οὐκ έπαΐοντας καλον κάγαθον ούδεν περί αύτων, οί, αν ουτω τύχωσιν, έμπλήσαντες και παχύναντες τὰ σώματα τῶν ἀνθρώπων ἐπαινούμενοι ὑπ' ἀὐ-D των, προσαπολούσιν αὐτων καὶ τὰς ἀρχαίας σάρxas. οί δ' αὖ δι' ἀπειρίαν οὐ τοὺς έστιὧνταs αὶτιάσονται τῶν νόσων αἰτίους εἶναι καὶ τῆς ἀποδολης των ἀρχαίων σαρχών, άλλ' οι αν αὐτοῖs τύχωσι τότε παρόντες καὶ συμβουλεύοντές τι, ὅταν δή αὐτοῖς ήχη ή τότε πλησμονή νόσον φέρουσα

συχνῷ υστερον χρόνῳ, άτε ἀνευ τοῦ ὑγιεινοῦ γεγονυΐα, τούτους αἰτιάσονται καὶ ψέξουσι καὶ καχόν τι ποιήσουσιν, αν οδοί τ' ώσι, τους δε προτέφους εκείνους καὶ αἰτίους τῶν κακῶν εγκωμιά- Ε σουσι. καὶ σὺ νῦν, ὧ Καλλίκλεις, ὁμοιότατον τούτφ ἐργάζει · ἐγκωμιάζεις ἀνθρώπους, οἳ τούτους είστιακασιν εύωχουντες ών έπεθύμουν, καί φασι μεγάλην την πόλιν πεποιηκέναι αὐτούς. ότι δε οίδει και υπουλός έστι δι' εκείνους τους παλαιούς, οὐχ αἰσθάνονται. ἄνευ γὰρ σωφροσύ-519 νης καὶ δικαιοσύνης λιμένων καὶ νεωρίων καὶ τειχών και φόρων και τοιούτων φλυαριών έμπεπλήχασι την πόλιν. δταν οὖν ἔλθη ή χαταβολή αθτη της ασθενείας, τους τότε παρόντας αιτιάσονται συμβούλους, Θεμιστοχλέα δὲ χαὶ Κίμωνα καὶ Περικλέα έγκωμιάσουσι, τους αιτίους των κακών · σου δε ίσως επιλήψονται, εάν μη εύλαδη, καὶ τοῦ ἐμοῦ ἑταίρου ᾿Αλκιβιάδου, ὅταν καὶ τὰ άρχαῖα προσαπολλύωσι προς οἶς ἐκτήσαντο, οὐκ Β αἰτίων ὄντων τῶν κακῶν, ἀλλ' ἴσως συναιτίων. καίτοι ἔγωγε ἀνόητον πρᾶγμα καὶ νῦν ὁρῶ γιγνόμενον και ακούω των παλαιών ανδρών πέρι. αἰσθάνομαι γάρ, ὅταν ἡ πόλις τινὰ τῶν πολιτικῶν ανδρών μεταχειρίζηται ώς αδικούντα, αγανακτούντων καὶ σχετλιαζόντων, ώς δεινα πάσχουσι • πολλά και άγαθά την πόλιν πεποιηκότες ἄρα αδίκως ύπ' αὐτης απόλλυνται, ώς δ τούτων λόγος. τὸ δὲ ὅλον ψεῦδός ἐστι. προστάτης γὰρ πόλεως C οὐδ' ἂν είς ποτε ἀδίκως ἀπόλοιτο ὑπ' αὐτῆς τῆς πόλεως, ής προστατεί. κινδυνεύει γάρ ταὐτὸν

εἴναι, δσοι τε πολιτικοί προσποιοῦνται εἴναι καὶ δσοι σοφισταί. καὶ γὰρ οἱ σοφισταί, τάλλα σοφοὶ ὄντες, τοῦτο ἄτοπον ἐργάζονται πρᾶγμα· φάσκοντες γὰρ ἀρετῆς διδάσκαλοι εἴναι πολλάκις κατηγοροῦσι τῶν μαθητῶν, ὡς ἀδικοῦσι σφῶς αὐτούς, τούς τε μισθοὺς ἀποστεροῦντες καὶ ἄλλην χάριν οὐκ ἀποδιδόντες, εὖ παθόντες ὑπ' αὐτῶν. D καὶ τούτου τοῦ λόγου τί ἄν ἀλογώτερον εἴη πρᾶγμα, ἀνθρώπους ἀγαθοὺς καὶ δικαίους γενομένους, ἐξαιρεθέντας μὲν ἀδικίαν ὑπὸ τοῦ διδασκάλου, σχόντας δὲ δικαιοσύνην, ἀδικεῖν τούτῳ ῷ οὐκ ἔχουσιν; οὐ δοκεῖ σοι τοῦτο ἄτοπον εἶναι, ὡ ἑταῖρε; 'Ως ἀληθῶς δημηγορεῖν με ἡνάγκασας, ὡ Καλλίκλεις, οὐκ ἐθέλων ἀποκρίνεσθαι.

CAP. LXXV. ΚΑΛ. Σῦ δ' οὖα ἄν οἶός τ' Ε είης λέγειν, εί μή τίς σοι αποχρίνοιτο; ΣΩ. "Εοικά γε · νῦν γοῦν συχνούς τείνω τῶν λόγων, έπειδή μοι ούκ έθέλεις αποκρίνεσθαι. άλλ', δ γαθέ, είπε προς φιλίου, ου δοχεί σοι άλογον είναι αγαθον φάσχοντα πεποιηπέναι τινα μέμφεσθαι τούτφ, δτι ύφ' ξαυτοῦ ἀγαθὸς γεγονώς τε καὶ ῶν ἔπειτα πονηρός ἐστιν; ΚΑΛ. Εμοιγε δοκεί. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν ἀκούεις τοιαῦτα λεγόντων 520 τῶν φασκόντων παιδεύειν ἀνθρώπους εἰς ἀρετήν; ΚΑΛ. "Εγωγε. άλλα τί αν λέγοις ανθοώπων πέρι οὐδενὸς ἀξίων; ΣΩ. Τί δ' αν περί ἐκείνων λέγοις, οδ φάσκοντες προεστάναι της πόλεως καὶ έπιμελεῖσθαι, δπως ώς βελτίστη έσται, πάλιν αὐτῆς κατηγορούσιν, όταν τύχωσιν, ώς πονηροτάτης; οίει τι διαφέρειν τούτους έχείνων; ταὐτόν, ὁ μαχάρι', έστὶ σοφιστής καὶ ξήτωρ, ἢ ἐγγύς τι καὶ παραπλήσιον, ωσπερ έγω έλεγον προς Πώλον. σύ δὲ δι' ἄγνοιαν τὸ μὲν πάγκαλόν τι οἴει εἶναι, Β την δητορικήν, του δε καταφρονείς. τη δε άληθεία χάλλιόν έστι σοφιστιχή δητοριχής δσωπερ νομοθετική δικαστικής και γυμναστική ιατρικής. μόνοις δ' έγωγε καὶ ὤμην τοῖς δημηγόροις τε καὶ σοφισταις ούχ έγχωρειν μέμφεσθαι τούτφ τῷ πράγματι, δ αὐτοὶ παιδεύουσιν, ώς πονηρόν ἐστιν είς σφας, η τῷ αὐτῷ λόγῳ τούτᾳ αμα καὶ ἑαυτῶν κατηγορείν, ότι οὐδεν ώφελήκασιν οὖς φασιν ώφελείν. ούχ ούτως έχει; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. C Καὶ προέσθαι γε δήπου την εὐεργεσίαν ἄνευ μισθου, ώς το είκος, μόνοις τούτοις ένεχώρει, είπερ άληθη έλεγον. άλλην μέν γάρ εὐεργεσίαν τις εύεργετηθείς, οίον ταχύς γενόμενος δια παιδοτρίδην, ίσως αν αποστερήσειε την χάριν, εί προοίτο αὐτῷ ὁ παιδοτρίβης καὶ μὴ συνθέμενος αὐτῷ μισθον ότι μάλιστα αμα μεταδιδούς του τάχους D λαμβάνοι το άργύριον ού γάρ τη βραδυτήτι, οίμαι, αδικούσιν οἱ ἀνθρωποι, αλλ' αδικία. ή γάρ: ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Οὐχοῦν εἴ τις αὐτὸ τουτο αφαιζεί, την αδικίαν, ούδεν δεινον αύτῷ μήποτε άδιχηθή, άλλα μόνφ ασφαλές ταύτην την εὖεργεσίαν προέσθαι, εἴπερ τῷ ὄντι δύναιτό τις άγαθους ποιείν. ούχ ούτως; ΚΑΛ. Φημί.

CAP. LXXVI. $\Sigma\Omega$. Διὰ ταῦτ' ἄρα, ὡς ἔοικε, τὰς μὲν ἄλλας συμδουλὰς συμδουλεύειν λαμβάνοντα ἀργύριον, οἶον οἰκοδομίας πέρι ἢ τῶν
ἄλλων τεχνῶν, οὐδὲν αἰσχρόν. $KA\Lambda$. *Eοικέ E

γε. ΣΩ. Περί δέ γε ταύτης της πράξεως, δυτιν' άν τις τρόπον ώς βέλτιστος είη και άριστα την αύτοῦ οἰκίαν διοικοῖ ἢ πόλιν, αἰσχρὸν νενόμισται μη φάναι συμβουλεύειν, έαν μή τις αὐτῷ ἀργύοιον διδφ. ή γάο; ΚΑΛ. Ναί. ΣΩ. Δήλον γαο, δτι τουτο αιτιόν έστιν, δτι μόνη αυτη των εὖεργεσιῶν τὸν εὖ παθόντα ἐπιθυμεῖν ποιεῖ ἀντ? εὖ ποιεῖν, ὧστε καλον δοκεῖ το σημεῖον είναι, εἰ εὖ ποιήσας ταύτην τὴν εὐεργεσίαν ἀντ' εὖ πείσε-521 ται · εἰ δὲ μή, οὔ. ἔστι ταῦτα οὕτως ἔχοντα ; KAΛ. "Εστιν. ΣΩ. Επὶ ποτέραν οὖν με παφαχαλείς την θεφαπείαν της πόλεως; διόφισόν μοι την του διαμάχεσθαι Αθηναίοις, δπως ώς βέλτιστοι ἔσονται, ώς ἰατοόν, ἢ ώς διακονήσοντα καὶ προς χάριν ομιλήσοντα; Τάληθῆ μοι εἰπέ, δ Καλλίκλεις · δίκαιος γαρ εί, ωσπερ ήρξω παζόησιάζεσθαι προς έμέ, διατελείν α νοείς λέ-Β γων. καὶ νῦν εὖ καὶ γενναίως εἰπέ. ΚΑΛ. Λέγω τοίνυν, ότι ώς διακονήσοντα. ΣΩ. Κολακεύσοντα ἄρα με, ὧ γενναιότατε, παρακαλεῖς. ΚΑΛ. Εί σοι Μυσόν γε ηδιον καλείν, δ Σώκρατες · ως εί μη ταυτά γε ποιήσεις — ΣΩ. Μή είπης δ πολλάκις είρηκας, ότι αποκτενεί με δ βουλόμενος, ίνα μη αδ καὶ έγω είπω, ότι πονηρός γε ὢν ἀγαθὸν ὄντα · μηδ' ὅτι ἀφαιρήσεται, ἐάν C τι έχω, ίνα μη αδ έγω είπω, ὅτι ᾿Αλλ᾽ ἀφελόμενος ούχ έξει δ τι χρήσεται αὐτοῖς, άλλ' ώσπερ με άδίκως άφείλετο, ούτω και λαβών άδίκως χρήσεται εί δε αδίκως, αίσχρως εί δε αίσχρως, χαχῶς.

CAP. LXXVII. ΚΑΛ. "Ως μοι δοπεῖς, ὁ Σώκρατες, πιστεύειν μηδ' αν εν τούτων παθείν, ώς οίχων έχποδών χαί ούχ αν είσαχθείς είς διχαστήοιον ύπο πάνυ ἴσως μοχθηροῦ ανθρώπου καὶ φαύλου! ΣΩ. 'Ανόητος ἄρα εἰμί, ὁ Καλλίxλεις, ώς άληθώς, εἰ μὴ οἴομαι ἐν τῆδε τῆ πόλει όντινοῦν ἀν, ὅ τι τύχοι, τοῦτο παθεῖν. τόδε μέν- D τοι εὖ οἶδ', ὅτι, ἐάνπερ εἰσίω εἰς δικαστήριον περὶ τούτων τινὸς κινδυνεύων ών σὺ λέγεις, πονηρός τίς με ἔσται ὁ εἰσάγων οὐδεὶς γὰρ ἄν χρηστὸς μη άδιχοῦντ' ἄνθρωπον είσαγάγοι. χαὶ οὐδέν γε ἄτοπον, εί ἀποθάνοιμι. βούλει σοι είπω, διότι ταύτα προσδοκώ; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε. ΣΩ. Οίμαι μετ' ολίγων 'Αθηναίων, ίνα μη είπω μόνος, έπιχειρεῖν τῆ ὡς ἀληθῶς πολιτικῆ τέχνη καὶ πράττειν τὰ πολιτικὰ μόνος τῶν νῦν. ἄτε οὖν οὐ προς χάριν λέγων τους λόγους ους λέγω έκάστοτε, άλλα προς το βέλτιστον, ου προς το ήδι- Ε στον, καὶ οὐκ ἐθέλων ποιεῖν ἃ σὺ παραινεῖς, τὰ χομψα ταυτα, ουχ έξω ο τι λέγω έν τῷ δικαστηρίω. ὁ αὐτὸς δέ μοι ηκει λόγος, ὅνπερ πρὸς Πωλον έλεγον · χρινουμαι γάρ ώς έν παιδίοις ἰατρός αν χρίνοιτο χατηγορούντος όψοποιού. σχόπει γάρ, τί αν απολογοῖτο ὁ τοιοῦτος ανθρωπος ἐν τούτοις ληφθείς, εί αὐτοῦ κατηγοροί τις λέγων, δτι 3Ω παϊδες, πολλά ύμας και κακά δδε είργασται άνηρ και αύτούς, και τους νεωτάτους ύμῶν διαφθείρει, τέμνων τε καὶ κάων καὶ ἰσχναίνων 522 καὶ πνίγων ἀπορεῖν ποιεῖ, πικρότατα πώματα διδούς και πεινην και διψην άναγκάζων, ούχ ώσπερ

έγω πολλά και ήδέα και παγτοδαπά εὐωχουν ύμας. τί αν οἴει ἐν τούτω τῷ κακῷ ἀποληφθέντα τὸν ἰατρὸν ἔχειν εἰπεῖν; ἢ εἰ εἴποι τὴν ἀλήθειαν, ὅτι Ταῦτα πάντα ἐγω ἐποίουν, ῷ παῖδες, ὑγιεινῶς, ὁπόσον οἴει αν ἀναβοῆσαι τοὺς τοιούτους δικαστάς; οὐ μέγα; ΚΑΛ. Ἰσως οἴεσθαί γε χοή. ΣΩ. Οὐκοῦν οἴει ἐν πάσῃ ἀπορίᾳ αν αὐ-Β τὸν ἔχεσθαι ὅ τι χρὴ εἰπεῖν; ΚΑΛ. Πάνυ γε.

CAP. LXXVIII. ΣΩ. Τοιοῦτον μέντοι καὶ έγω οίδ' δτι πάθος πάθοιμι αν είσελθων είς δικαστήριον. οὐτε γαρ ήδονας ας έχπεπόρικα έξω αὐτοῖς λέγειν, ας οὖτοι εὐεργεσίας καὶ ώφελείας νομίζουσιν, έγω δε ούτε τους πορίζοντας ζηλώ ούτε οίς πορίζεται · ἐάν τέ τίς με ἢ νεωτέρους φῆ διαφθείρειν ἀπορεῖν ποιοῦντα, ἢ τοὺς πρεσβυτέρους κακηγορείν λέγοντα πικρούς λόγους ή ίδία η δημοσία, ούτε το άληθες έξω είπειν, ότι Δικαίως C πάντα ταῦτα έγω λέγω, καὶ πράττω το ύμέτερον δή τοῦτο, ὧ ἀνδρες δικασταί, οὖτε ἀλλο οὐδέν. ώστε ίσως, δ τι αν τύχω, τοῦτο πείσομαι. ΚΑΛ. Δοχεῖ οὖν σοι, ὦ Σώχρατες, καλῶς ἔχειν ἄνθρωπος εν πόλει ούτως διαχείμενος χαὶ αδύνατος ων ξαυτῷ βοηθεῖν; ΣΩ. Εὶ ἐκεῖνό γε ἐν αὐτῷ ύπάρχοι, ὁ Καλλίκλεις, δ οὺ πολλάκις ώμολόγησας εί βεδοηθηχώς είη αύτῷ, μήτε περί ἀνθρώ-D πους μήτε περί θεους άδικον μηδέν μήτε είρηκως μήτε είργασμένος. αθτη γάρ τις βοήθεια ξαυτφ πολλάκις ἡμῖν ὡμολόγηται κρατίστη είναι. μεν οδν εμέ τις έξελέγχοι ταύτην την βοήθειαν αδύνατον όντα έμαυτῷ καὶ άλλῷ βοηθεῖν, αἰσχυνοίμην αν καὶ ἐν, πολλοῖς καὶ ἐν ὀλίγοις ἐξελεγχόμενος καὶ μόνος ὑπὸ μόνου, καὶ εἰ διὰ ταὐτην τὴν
ἀδυναμίαν ἀποθνήσκοιμι, ἀγανακτοίην ἄν · εἰ δὲ
κολακικῆς ὑητοφικῆς ἐνδείᾳ τελευτώην ἔγωγε, εὖ
οἶδα, ὅτι ὑᾳδίως ἴδοις ἄν με φέροντα τὸν θάνα- Ε
τον. αὐτὸ μὲν γὰρ τὸ ἀποθνήσκειν οὐδεὶς φοδεῖται, ὅστις μὴ παντάπασιν ἀλόγιστός τε καὶ ἄνανδρός ἐστι, τὸ δὲ ἀδικεῖν φοδεῖται · πολλῶν γὰρ
ἀδικημάτων γέμοντα τὴν ψυχὴν εἰς ᾿Αιδου ἀφικέσθαι πάντων ἔσχατον κακῶν ἐστιν. εἰ δὲ βούλει, σοὶ ἐγώ, ὡς τοῦτο οὖτως ἔχει, ἐθέλω λόγον
λέξαι. ΚΑΛ. ᾿Αλλ᾽ ἐπείπερ γε καὶ τάλλα ἐπέρανας, καὶ τοῦτο πέρανον.

CAP. LXXIX. ΣΩ. "Απουε δή, φασί, μάλα 528 καλοῦ λόγου, δν σὺ μὲν ἡγήσει μῦθον, ώς ἐγὰ οἶμαι, έγω δε λόγον · ως άληθη γαρ όντα σοι λέξω ά μέλλω λέγειν. "Ωσπεο γαο "Ομηρος λέγει, διενείμαντο την άρχην ό Ζευς και ό Ποσειδών καὶ ὁ Πλούτων, ἐπειδή παρὰ τοῦ πατρὸς παρέλαδον. ην οὖν νόμος δδε περὶ ἀνθρώπων ἐπὶ Κρόνου, και αεί και νθν έτι έστιν έν θεοίς, των ανθρώπων τὸν μὲν διχαίως τὸν βίον διελθόντα χαὶ όσίως, ἐπειδὰν τελευτήση, ἐς μακάρων νήσους ἀπι- Β όντα οἰχεῖν ἐν πάση εὐδαιμονία ἐχτὸς χαχῶν, τὸν δὲ ἀδίχως χαὶ ἀθέως εἰς τὸ τῆς τίσεώς τε χαὶ δίχης δεσμωτήριον, δ δη τάρταρον καλουσιν, ιέναι. τούτων δε δικασταί επί Κρόνου και έτι νεωστί του Διὸς την ἀρχην ἔχοντος ζῶντες ἦσαν ζώντων, ἐχείνη τῆ ἡμέρα δικάζοντες, ἡ μέλλοιεν τελευτάν. κακῶς οὖν αἱ δίκαι ἐκρίνοντο. ὅ τε οὖν Πλούτων

χαὶ οἱ ἐπιμεληταὶ οἱ ἐχ μ<mark>αχάρων ν</mark>ήσων ἰόντες C έλεγον προς τον Δία, δτι φοιτφέν σφιν ανθρωποι έχατέρωσε ανάξιοι. είπεν οὖν ὁ Ζεύς, 'Αλλ' έγώ, ἔφη, παύσω τοῦτο γιγνόμενον. νῦν μὲν γὰο κακώς αί δίκαι δικάζονται. αμπεχόμενοι γάρ, ἔφη, οί χρινόμενοι χρίνονται • ζῶντες γὰρ χρίνονται. πολλοί οὖν, ἦ δ' δς, ψυχὰς πονηρὰς ἔχοντες ημφιεσμένοι είσὶ σώματά τε χαλά χαὶ γένη χαὶ πλούτους, καί, ἐπειδαν ή αρίσις ή, ἔρχονται αὐτοῖς πολλοὶ μάρτυρες, μαρτυρήσοντες, ὡς διχαίως D βεδιώχασιν, οἱ οὖν διχασταὶ ὑπό τε τούτων ἐχ- ° πλήττονται, καὶ άμα καὶ αὐτοὶ άμπεχόμενοι δικάζουσι, προ της ψυχης της αύτων όφθαλμούς καί δτα καὶ δλον τὸ σῶμα προκεκαλυμμένοι. ταῦτα δή αὐτοῖς πάντα ἐπίπροσθεν γίγνεται, καὶ τὰ αὐτων αμφιέσματα και τα των κρινομένων. πρώτον μεν οδν, εφη, παυστέον έστι προειδότας αύτους τον θάνατον · νῦν μεν γὰο προίσασι. τοῦτο μεν οὖν καὶ δη εἴρηται τῷ Προμηθεῖ ὅπως ἄν παύση Ε αὐτῶν. ἔπειτα γυμνούς χριτέον άπαντων τούτων · τεθνεώτας γάρ δεῖ κρίνεσθαι. καὶ τὸν κριτην δεῖ γυμνον είναι, τεθνεώτα, αὐτη τη ψυχη αὐτὴν τὴν ψυχὴν θεωροῦντα ἐξαίφνης ἀποθανόντος ξχάστου, ἔρημον πάντων τῶν συγγενῶν χαὶ χαταλιπόντα έπὶ τῆς γῆς πάντα ἐχεῖνον τὸν χόσμον, ενα διχαία ή χρίσις ή. έγω μεν οθν ταυτα έγνωχως πρότερος ἢ ύμεῖς ἐποιησάμην διχαστας υίεις έμαυτου, δύο μεν έκ της 'Ασίας, Μίνω 524τε καὶ Pαδάμανθυν, Ενα δὲ ἐκ τῆς Eὐρώπης, Αἰακόν. οὖτοι οὖν ἐπειδαν τελευτήσωσι, δικάσουσιν έν τῷ λειμῶνι, έν τῆ τριόδῳ ἐξ ἡς φερετον τὰ ὁδώ, ἡ μὲν εἰς μαχάρων νήσους, ἡ δ' εἰς τάρταρον. καὶ τοὺς μὲν ἐκ τῆς 'Ασίας ' Ραδάμανθυς κρινεῖ, τοὺς δὲ ἐκ τῆς Εὐρώπης Αἰακός · Μίνφ δὲ πρεσδεῖα δώσω, ἐπιδιακρίνειν, ἐὰν ἀπορῆτόν τι τὰ ἑτέρω, ἵνα ὡς δικαιοτάτη ἡ κρίσις ἢ περὶ τῆς πορείας τοῖς ἀνθρώποις.

CAP. LXXX. Ταῦτ' ἔστιν, ὧ Καλλίκλεις, ἃ έγω αληκοώς πιστεύω αληθη είναι · καὶ ἐκ τού- Β των των λόγων τοιόνδε τι λογίζομαι συμβαίνειν. • ΄Ο θάνατος τυγχάνει ών, ώς έμοι δοχεί, οὐδὲν άλλο η δυοίν πραγμάτοιν διάλυσις, της ψυχης καὶ τοῦ σώματος, ἀπ' ἀλλήλοιν. ἐπειδαν δὲ διαλυθητον άρα απ' αλλήλοιν, ού πολύ ήττον έχατερον αὐτοῖν ἔχει τὴν έξιν τὴν αὑτοῦ ἢνπερ καὶ ' δτε ἔζη ὁ ἄνθρωπος, τό τε σῶμα τὴν φύσιν τὴν αύτου και τα θεραπεύματα και τα παθήματα, ἔνδηλα πάντα. οἶον εἴ τινος μέγα ἦν τὸ σῶμα C φύσει ἢ τροφῆ ἢ ἀμφότερα ζῶντος, τούτου καὶ έπειδαν αποθάνη ὁ νεχρὸς μέγας · καὶ εἰ παχύς, παχύς καὶ ἀποθανόντος, καὶ τάλλα οὖτως. καὶ εί αὖ ἐπετήδευε χομᾶν, χομήτης τούτου καὶ ὁ νεχρός. μαστιγίας αὖ εἴ τις ἦν χαὶ ἴχνη εἶχε τὧν πληγών ούλας έν τῷ σώματι ἢ ὑπο μαστίγων ἢ άλλων τραυμάτων ζών, καὶ τεθνεώτος το σώμα έστιν ίδεῖν ταῦτα έχον. χατεαγότα τε εἴ του ἢν μέλη ἢ διεστραμμένα ζῶντος, καὶ τεθνεῶτος ταὐτὰ D ταῦτα ἔνδηλα. ένὶ δὲ λόγφ, οίος είναι παρεσχεύαστο τὸ σῶμα ζῶν, ἔνδηλα ταῦτα καὶ τελευτήσαντος ἢ πάντα ἢ τὰ πολλὰ ἐπί τινα γρόνον.

ταύτον δή μοι δοκεί τοῦτ' άρα και περί την ψυχην είναι, δ Καλλίκλεις · ένδηλα πάντα έστιν έν τῆ ψυχῆ, ἐπειδὰν γυμνωθῆ τοῦ σώματος, τά τε της φύσεως και τα παθήματα α δια την έπιτήδευσιν έχαστου πράγματος ἔσχεν ἐν τῆ ψυχῆ ὁ Ε άνθρωπος. Επειδάν οθν άφίχωνται παρά τον δικαστήν, οί μεν έκ της 'Ασίας παρά τον 'Ραδάμανθυν, ό 'Ραδάμανθυς έκείνους έπιστήσας θεαται έχαστου την ψυχήν, ούχ είδως δτου έστίν, άλλα πολλάχις του μεγάλου βασιλέως έπιλαβόμενος η άλλου ότουοῦν βασιλέως η δυνάστου χατεῖδεν οὐδὲν ύγιὲς ὂν τῆς ψυχῆς, ἀλλὰ διαμεμαστι-525 γωμένην και οὐλῶν μεστὴν ὑπὸ ἐπιορκιῶν καὶ αδιχίας, α έχαστο ή πράξις αύτου έξωμόρξατο είς την ψυχήν, και πάντα σκολια ύπο ψεύδους και αλαζονείας και ούδεν εύθυ δια το ανευ αληθείας* τεθράφθαι · καὶ ύπὸ έξουσίας καὶ τρυφης καὶ υθοεως και ακρατίας των πράξεων ασυμμετρίας τε και αισχρότητος γέμουσαν την ψυχην είδεν. ίδων δε ατίμως ταύτην απέπεμψεν εύθυ της φρουρας, οι μέλλει έλθουσα ανατλήναι τα προσήχοντα πάθη. CAP. LXXXI. Προσήχει δὲ παντὶ τῷ Β ἐν τιμωρία ὄντι, ὑπ' ἀλλου ὀρθῶς τιμωρουμένω, ἢ βελτίονι γίγνεσθαι καὶ ὀνίνασθαι ἢ παραδείγματι τοῖς ἄλλοις γίγνεσθαι, ἵν' ἄλλοι ὁρῶντες πάσχοντα α αν πάσχη φοδούμενοι βελτίους γίγνωνται. είσι δε οί μεν ώφελούμενοί τε και δίκην διδόντες ύπο θεών τε και ανθρώπων οδτοι, οι αν ιάσιμα άμαρτήματα άμάρτωσιν· δμως δὲ δι' άλγηδόνων και όδυνών γίγνεται αὐτοῖς ή ἀφέλεια καί

ένθάδε καὶ έν "Αιδου· οὐ γὰο οἶόν τε ἄλλως άδιχίας ἀπαλλάττεσθαι. οδ δ' αν τα ἔσχατα άδιχή- C σωσι καὶ διὰ τὰ τοιαῦτα ἀδικήματα ἀνίατοι γένωνται, έχ τούτων τα παραδείγματα γίγνεται, καὶ οὖτοι αὐτοὶ μεν οὐκέτι ὀνίνανται οὐδέν, ἄτε ανίατοι όντες, αλλοι δε όνίνανται οί τούτους όρωντες δια τας άμαρτίας τα μέγιστα και όδυνηρότατα καὶ φοδερώτατα πάθη πάσχοντας τον ἀεὶ χρόνον, άτεχνως παραδείγματα άνηρτημένους έχει έν Αιδου εν τῷ δεσμωτηρίω, τοῖς ἀεὶ τῶν ἀδίκων ἀφιχνουμένοις θεάματα χαὶ νουθετήματα. ὧν ἐγώ D φημι ένα καὶ 'Αρχέλαον ἔσεσθαι, εἰ ἀληθῆ λέγει Πώλος, καὶ άλλον δστις αν τοιούτος τύραννος ή. οίμαι δε και τους πολλους είναι τούτων των παραδειγμάτων έχ τυράννων χαί βασιλέων χαί δυναστών καὶ τὰ τών πόλεων πραξάντων γεγονότας. οδτοι γαρ δια την έξουσίαν μέγιστα και ανοσιώτατα άμαρτήματα άμαρτάνουσι. μαρτυρεί δέ τούτοις καὶ "Ομηρος : βασιλέας γαρ καὶ δυνάστας έχεῖνος πεποίηκε τοὺς έν Αιδου τὸν ἀεὶ Ε χρόνον τιμωρουμένους, Τάνταλον καὶ Σίσυφον καὶ Τιτυόν. Θερσίτην δέ, καὶ εἴ τις άλλος πονηρος ήν ίδιώτης, ούδεὶς πεποίηκε μεγάλαις τιμωρίαις συνεχόμενον ώς ανίατον· ου γάρ, οίμαι, έξην αὐτῷ · διὸ καὶ εὐδαιμονέστερος ην η οἶς ἐξην. άλλα γάρ, ὁ Καλλίκλεις, ἐκ τῶν δυναμένων εἰσὶ καὶ οί σφόδρα πονηροί γιγνόμενοι ἄνθρωποι 528 οὐδὲν μὴν χωλύει χαὶ ἐν τούτοις ἀγαθοὺς ἀνδρας έγγίγνεσθαι, καὶ σφόδρα γε ἄξιον ἄγασθαι τῶν γιγνομένων · γαλεπον γάρ, δ Καλλίκλεις, καὶ

πολλοῦ ἐπαίνου ἄξιον ἐν μεγάλῃ ἐξουσία τοῦ άδιχεῖν γενόμενον διχαίως διαδιώναι. όλίγοι δὲ γίγνονται οί τοιοῦτοι · ἐπεὶ καὶ ἐνθάδε καὶ ἄλλοθι γεγόνασιν, οίμαι δὲ καὶ ἔσονται καλοὶ κάγαθοὶ Β ταύτην την ἀρετην την τοῦ διχαίως διαχειρίζειν α αν τις επιτρέπη είς δε και πάνυ ελλόγιμος γέγονε και είς τους άλλους Ελληνας, Αριστείδης ό Δυσιμάχου. οἱ δὲ πολλοί, ὧ ἄριστε, κακοὶ γίγνονται τῶν δυναστῶν. CAP. LXXXII. "Οπερ οὖν ἔλεγον, ἐπειδαν ὁ 'Ραδάμανθυς ἐχεῖνος τοιοῦτόν τινα λάβη, ἄλλο μεν περί αὐτοῦ οὐκ οίδεν οὐδέν, οὖθ' ὄστις οὖθ' ὧντινων, ὅτι δὲ πονηρός τις · καὶ τοῦτο κατιδών ἀπέπεμψεν εἰς τάρταρον, C ἐπισημηνάμενος, ἐάν τε ἰάσιμος ἐάν τε ἀνίατος δοχή είναι · ό δε έχεισε άφιχόμενος τὰ προσήχοντα πάσχει. ενίστε δ' άλλην είσιδων όσίως βεδιωχυΐαν χαὶ μετ' άληθείας, άνδρος ίδιώτου ή άλλου τινός, μάλιστα μέν, ἔγωγέ φημι, ο Καλλίκλεις, φιλοσόφου τὰ αύτοῦ πράξαντος καὶ οὐ πολυπραγμονήσαντος έν τῷ βίφ, ἡγάσθη τε καὶ ἐς μακάρων νήσους ἀπέπεμψε. ταὐτὰ ταῦτα καὶ ὁ Αἰαχός. έχατερος δε τούτων δαβδον έχων δικάζει. D ὁ δὲ Μίνως ἐπισκοπῶν κάθηται μόνος, ἔχων χούσοῦν σκῆπτρον, ως φησιν 'Οδυσσευς δ 'Ομήρου ίδεῖν αὐτον

> χούσεον σκήπτοον έχοντα, θεμιστεύοντα νέχυσσιν.


Έγω μεν οὖν, ὧ Καλλίκλεις, ὑπο τούτων τῶν λόγων πέπεισμαι, καὶ σκοπῶ, ὅπως ἀποφανοῦμαι τῷ κριτῆ ὡς ὑγιεστάτην τὴν ψυχήν. χαίρειν οὖν

ἐἀσας τὰς τιμὰς τὰς τῶν πολλῶν ἀνθρώπων, τὴν ἀλήθειαν σκοπῶν πειράσομαι τῷ ὄντι ὡς ἄν δύνωμαι βέλτιστος ἢν καὶ ζῆν καί, ἐπειδὰν ἀποθνή-Ε σκω, ἀποθνήσκειν. παρακαλῶ δὲ καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους πάντας ἀνθρώπους, καθ' ὅσον δύναμαι, καὶ δὴ καὶ σὲ ἀντιπαρακαλῶ ἐπὶ τοῦτον τὸν βίον καὶ τὸν ἀγῶνα τοῦτον, ὃν ἐγώ φημι ἀντὶ πάντων τῶν ἐνθάδε ἀγώνων εἶναι, καὶ ὀνειδίζω σοι, ὅτι οὐχ οἴός τ' ἔσει σαυτῷ βοηθῆσαι, ὅταν ἡ δίκη σοι ἢ καὶ ἡ κρίσις ἢν νῦν δὴ ἐγὼ ἔλεγον, ἀλλὰ ἐλθῶν παρὰ τὸν δικαστὴν τὸν τῆς Αἰγίνης υίόν, ἐπειδάνων σου ἐπιλαβόμενος ἄγη, χασμήσει καὶ ἰλιγγιάσεις οὐδὲν ἤττον ἢ ἐγὼ ἐνθάδε σὺ ἐκεῖ, καί σε ἴσως τυπτήσει τις καὶ ἐπὶ κόζξης ἀτίμως, καὶ πάντως προπηλακιεῖ.


Τάχα δ' οὖν ταῦτα μῦθός σοι δοχεῖ λέγεσθαι, ὅσπες γραός, καὶ καταφρονεῖς αὐτῶν. καὶ οὐδέν γ' ἄν ἢν θαυμαστον καταφρονεῖν τούτων, εἴ πῃ ζητοῦντες εἴχομεν αὐτῶν βελτίω καὶ ἀληθέστερα εὐρεῖν · νῦν δὲ ὁρᾶς, ὅτι τρεῖς ὄντες ὑμεῖς, οἵπερ σοφώτατοί ἐστε τῶν νῦν Ἑλλήνων, σύ τε καὶ Β Πῶλος καὶ Γοργίας, οὐκ ἔχετε ἀποδεῖξαι, ὡς δεῖ ἄλλον τινὰ βίον ζῆν ἢ τοῦτον, ὅσπερ καὶ ἐκεῖσε φαίνεται συμφέρων, ἀλλ' ἐν τοσούτοις λόγοις τῶν ἄλλων ἐλεγχομένων μόνος οὖτος ἡρεμεῖ ὁ λόγος, ὡς εὐλαδητέον ἐστὶ τὸ ἀδικεῖν μᾶλλον ἢ τὸ ἀδικεῖσθαι, καὶ παντὸς μᾶλλον ἀνδρὶ μελετητέον οὐ τὸ δοκεῖν εἶναι ἀγαθόν, ἀλλὰ τὸ εἶναι καὶ ἰδία καὶ δημοσία · ἐὰν δέ τις κατά τι κακὸς γίγνηται, κολαστέος ἐστί, καὶ τοῦτο δεύτερον ἀγαθὸν μετὰ C

τὸ εἶναι δίκαιον, τὸ γίγνεσθαι καὶ κολαζόμενον διδόναι δίκην · καὶ πᾶσαν κολακείαν καὶ τὴν περὶ ἐαυτὸν καὶ τὴν περὶ τοὺς ἄλλους, καὶ περὶ ὀλίγους καὶ περὶ πολλούς, φευκτέον · καὶ τῷ ἡητορικῷ οὖτω χρηστέον, ἐπὶ τὸ δίκαιον ἀεί, καὶ τῷ ἄλλῃ πάσῃ πράξει.

CAP. LXXXIII. 'Εμοί οὖν πειθόμενος ἀκολούθησον ενταυθα, οδ άφικόμενος εύδαιμονήσεις καὶ ζῶν καὶ τελευτήσας, ὡς ὁ λόγος σημαίνει. καὶ ἔασόν τινά σου καταφρονήσαι ώς ἀνοήτου D καὶ προπηλακίσαι, ἐὰν βούληται, καὶ ναὶ μὰ Δία σύ γε θαδδών πατάξαι την άτιμον ταύτην πληγήν · οὐδεν γὰρ δεινὸν πείσει, ἐὰν τῷ ὄντι ἢς καλος χαγαθός, ασχών αρετήν. χάπειτα οθτω χοινή ἀσκήσαντες, τότε ήδη, ἐὰν δοκῆ χοῆναι, ἐπιθησόμεθα τοῖς πολιτιχοῖς, ἢ ὁποῖον ἄν τι ἡμῖν δοχῆ. τότε βουλευσόμεθα, βελτίους όντες βουλεύεσθαι η νῦν. αἰσχοὸν γὰο ἔχοντάς γε ώς νῦν φαινόμεθα έχειν, έπειτα νεανιεύεσθαι ως τι όντας, οίς Ε ούδέποτε ταύτα δοχεῖ περί τῶν αὐτῶν, χαὶ ταῦτα περί τῶν μεγίστων : εἰς τοσοῦτον ῆχομεν ἀπαιδευσίας! ωσπερ οθν ήγεμόνι τῷ λόγφ χρησώμεθα τῷ νῦν παραφανέντι, δς ἡμῖν σημαίνει, ὅτι οὖτος ό τρόπος άριστος τοῦ βίου, καὶ τὴν δικαιοσύνην καί την άλλην άρετην άσχουντας καί ζην καί τεθνάναι. τούτφ οὖν ἐπώμεθα, καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους παρακαλώμεν, μη έκείνω, ώ σύ πιστεύων έμε παρακαλεις · έστι γάρ οὐδενὸς ἄξιος, ὧ Καλλίκλεις.



NOTES.



NOTES.

N. B. The references follow the marginal pages and letters of the text, which are those of the Paris edition of 1578, edited by H. Stephanus. Mt. stands for Matthle's Grammar, second edition; K., for Kühner's Middle Grammar, translated by Edwards and Taylor; Cr., for Crosby's, second edition; and Soph., for that of Sophocles, quoted according to the original sections:—in the new edition (1847) the earlier and present sections are collated at the beginning.

447 A. ούτω μεταλαγχάνειν, so to take part in; i. e. in the present instance, to take no part in at all. Callicles says, "It were well to have such a share in a battle, as you have had in listening to Gorgias"; i. e. if were well to arrive too late for an unpleasant employment, but not for a feast such as we have had. For the selection of war in this proverbial phrase, comp. Phædr. 242, B, οὐ πόλεμον dyyeddess, what you tell me is not at all disagreeable; and so Laws, 702, D. — τὸ λεγόμενον, as the saying is. Soph. § 167, N. 2. Cr. § 334. 8. The accusative may be explained by considering it as the object of the general notion of action contained in the verbs, = are we doing το λεγόμενον, i. e. are we too late. —— ἐπεδείξατο. This verb in the middle, with an accus. (e. g. σοφίαν or a neuter adjective) or without, especially denotes that ostentatious display of their art, which the sophists and rhetoricians at this time were wont to make. — τούτων refers to ήκομεν και ύστεροῦμεν. As the verbs denote but one act, τούτου might be used equally well, and the plural is not unfrequently used in referring to a single verb. Comp. 492, C.

B. ἐγὰ γὰρ καὶ ἰάσομαι. καὶ, also, refers to a suppressed clause. No matter: for if I did the harm, I will also find. the remedy. According to Olympiod. and a Schol., the words are drawn from the Telephus of Euripides, being spoken by Achilles, who wounded that hero. —— εἰ μὲν δοκεί. The indic. implies belief that such is the view of Socrates; while in ¿àv δè βούλη the subjunctive expresses the wish as a mere possible contingency. —— ἐσαῦθις, again, at another time, hereafter. So είσαῦθις ἀναβαλοῦ, Sympos. 174, Ε; είσαῦθις ἀποθέσθαι, Euthydem. 275, Α. — τί dal; usually, where dal is found, de is in some MSS. as a various reading. The longer form, it is now admitted, is properly retained after ti and mus, where wonder or indignation is expressed. — οὐκοῦν . . . ὑμῖν. Stallb., Ast, and others explain the construction by regarding fixer as used imperatively (Soph. § 219, N. 6; Cr. § 625; K. § 306, R. 11), ἀκοῦσαι Γοργίου being understood. I incline to regard ἐπιδείξεται ὑμῶν as the proper apodosis, which, owing to the intervention of the clause beginning with yap, deserts its own construction for that of the interposed clause. The sense is, Well, then, whenever you wish to come to my house, Gorgias will exhibit to you, for he lodges with me. So, apparently, Heindorf. Comp. Soph. Œd. R. 227-229, where the clause πείσεται γάρ οὐδὲν turns the apodosis following it, which would be naturally γη̂ς ἀπίτω ἀβλαβής, into γης δ' ἄπεισιν ἀβλαβής. —— εδ λέγεις, i. e. you are very civil in inviting us to your house, and quite right in wishing to spare Gorgias further fatigue. But, etc.

C. διαλεχθηναι, here, to discourse by way of question and answer, tacitly contrasted with an ἐπίδειξις, in which Gorgias would be the sole speaker. Hence, to hold a discussion, or search for truth in that way, as Socrates did. Socrates, in Xen. Mem. 4. 5. 12, defines it κοινή βουλεύεσθαι διαλέγοντας κατά γένη τὰ πράγματα. In the end, the

notion of question and answer faded away from the derivatives of this word; and that of logical reasoning, or that of the science of unchangeable, absolute truth, remained.

— δύναμε, essence, nature. — τοῦ ἀνδρὸς pronominis fere partes agit, ut exprimi possit pronomine possessivo. Ast. The art of the man — his art, with perhaps something of contempt in τοῦ ἀνδρὸς. — τὸ αὐτὸν ἐρωτᾶν, to ask him in person, or himself. And so 481, B. αὐτὸν is taken with the object of ἐρωτᾶν not expressed.

- D. ἐροῦ, second aor., not ἔρου, No present is used by the Attics. Soph. § 118, sub voce; K. § 166; Cr. § 298.— ὅτι ἐπαγγέλλει ἀποκρίνεσθαι. Cic. de Fin. 2, init.: "Quorum [sophistarum] e numero primus est ausus Leontinus Gorgias in conventu poscere quæstionem, id est, jubere dicere, qua de re quis vellet audire." This is spoken of at length by Philostr. Vit. Sophist., Proœm.
- 48 A. ἢ που . . . ἀποκρίνει, no doubt, then, you answer with ease, O Gorgias. Ast translates ῥαδίως, libenter, but his power to answer, and not his willingness, is in question.

 ἀν δέ γε βούλη, ἐμοῦ, sc. λάμβανε πεῖραν. For λ. πεῖραν spoken of a person, comp. εἰ βούλει λαβεῖν μου πεῖραν, Protag. 341, E, cited by Ast. τί δὲ . . . iκανῶς; but what difference does that make, if I answer well enough for you? To the common formula, τί τοῦτο; is sometimes added διαφέρει, which Stallb. here supplies. But τί can well be a nominative. τί τοῦτο; what is that? i. e. what of that?
 - B. 'Ηρόδικος, a brother of Gorgias, of whom we know nothing besides his name and calling. He is not to be confounded, as the Scholiast well observes, with another man often mentioned by Plato, Herodicus of Selymbria in Thrace, and originally of Megara; who first taught gymnastics, but on the failure of his health gave himself up to the attempt to recover it; and was among the earliest to

cure diseases by exercise. — τίνα ἀν... ἀνομάζομεν. The predicate-accusative with καλεῖν, ὀνομάζοιν, may be τίνα, referring to any one by name or by some appellation taken from his art, business, etc.; or τί, which is neuter on account of ὅνομα. Here in τίνα ἀν... οὐχ ὅπερ both forms occur together. — ἡ ὁ ἀδελφὸς αὐτοῦ. Polygnotus of Thasos, the most celebrated of this family of painters. He painted upon the wall of the Stoa Pœcile at Athens gratuitously, and at Delphi. Of his pictures from epic subjects in a hall near the Delphian temple, Pausanias gives a minute account (10. 25. seq.), which has enabled two artists of the present day to reproduce his designs in the spirit of ancient art.

C. νῦν δ' ἐπειδή. In this sentence, both the main clause and that which furnishes the reason are interrogative, a usage which would be awkward in English, but is lively and favorable to brevity. A little below, 451, A, we have the interrogative included between the article and participle (ἡ περὶ τί . . . ἔχουσα), as it often is found within a relative sentence. Comp. Cr. § 539. 2. — & Χαιρεφῶν. The words which follow are plainly not in the style of conversation. The juxtaposition of words from the same root (ἐμπειριῶν ἐμπείρως, ἄλλοι ἄλλων ἄλλως, ἀρίστων ἄριστοι), αίῶνα for βίσν, and the antithetical form of the clauses, all show, that either the style of Polus is imitated by Plato, or that words from a treatise of his are here put into his mouth. They are quoted as his by Syrianus on Hermogenes. (4. 44. Walz.) See 462, B.

D. σοι βουλομένω ἐστίν. Soph. § 196, N. 2; Cr. § 408; K. 284. 10. c. — δῆλος γὰρ... διαλέγεσθαι, for it is plain to me, even from what he has said, that Polus has studied the art of rhetoric, so called, rather than how to discourse (logically) by way of question and answer. δῆλος, etc., for δῆλός ἐστιν, ὅτι Πῶλος, by attraction, as it is sometimes called. The

tendency to give prominence to the main word—here the subject—of the second clause caused it to be pushed forward into the leading clause. This made that clause personal instead of impersonal, and bound the two clauses together more closely.

E. ἐρωτᾳ. So all the MSS., but the editors give ἡρώτα,* on account of ποία τις εἶη. But this is unnecessary, for the present may be rhetorically for the imperfect, or may include it. Nobody asks you = nobody asked you or now asks you. Comp. Xen. Anab. 1. 1. 3, διαβάλλει ἐκτιβουλεύοι, for διέβαλλε. In this sentence, ποῖος and τίς, which belong to direct inquiry, are found in company with ὅστις, by which indirect questions are introduced. Comp. ποῖα and ὁποῖα together, 500, A. Comp. also οἴαν for ὁποίαν, 450, C, ὅσα for ὁπόσα, 451, B, and other passages. — ὅσπερ τὰ ἔμπροσθεν. For the asyndeton, comp. 450, B, note. For τὰ ἔμπροσθεν, comp. Soph. § 141, N. 1; Cr. § 478, α. The second clause begins at καὶ νῦν οὕτως.

449 A. ὑποτείνεσθαι, est quæstionem ita proponere ut alteri subjicias quid respondendem sit, et in universo proponere quæstionem. Ast. — ὑς τίνος . . . τέχνης. Another form of compound interrogation, when one clause has the participial structure (ἐπιστήμονα, sc. ὅντα). — ἀγαθόν γε, not bene moratum, as Routh translates it, but plainly egregium certe. — ὡς ἔψη τομηρος. Il. 6. 211, and elsewhere.

B. οὐκοῦν. See Preface. — ἄλλοθι. See Introd. pp. xiii., xiv. — ἀλλ' ὅπερ, etc., but be not false to the promise you make. Socrates takes for granted that he consents. Hence ὅπερ, which Ast would change into εἴπερ, is justly defended by Stallb. — εἰσὶ . . . ποιεῖσθαι, — ἀναγκαῖον ἐστιν ἐνίας (Heind.), or ἐν ἐνίαις ποιεῖσθαι. See 448, D. In some of the answers it is necessary to discourse at length.

Stallb. has ἐρωτῷ in his second edition.

- C, D. &s διὰ βραχυτάτων = &s βραχύτατα, or &s δίον τε διὰ βραχυτάτων, just below. With this boast of Gorgias, comp. the ironical passages in Protag. 329, B, 334, D. The latter runs thus: "O Protagoras, I happen to be an oblivious sort of person, and if I have a long speech made to me, I forget what is the topic of discourse; therefore, as, in case I were somewhat deaf, you would think that you ought to talk in a louder tone with me than with others, to carry on a conversation with me; so, seeing I am so forgetful, abridge your answers, and make them shorter, to enable me to follow you." πάνν . . . ἐπιεικῶs, quite sufficiently, or very well.
- Ε. ποίους τούτους... ὑγιαίνοιεν; what kind of words? are they those which make known by what sort of regimen the sick can get well? Understand περὶ with ποίους τούτους; as in 450, A, line 4. This is a condensed expression for ποῦοί εἰσιν οδτοι περὶ οῦς ἐστιν; The subject of ὑγιαίνοιεν is attracted forwards to δηλοῦσι as its object. ὡς is quomodo, not ut, and to be taken with διαιτώμενοι. The two words have the same sense as τίνι διαίτη. Comp. 453, C.—
 οὐκοῦν περὶ δυπερ, etc. = οὐκοῦν ποιεῖ δυνατοὺς καὶ φρονεῖν περὶ τούτων περὶ δυπερ λέγειν δυνατοὺς ποιεῖ.
- B. οὖτως ἔχουσιν ἐκάστη. One of a number of the in-450 stances of asyndeton which occur in this dialogue. Some are owing to earnestness of feeling (449, A, δοπερ...ἀπεκρίνω); some heighten the effect of contrast by bringing clauses closely together (503, E, τοὺς ζωγράφους...τοὺς ἄλλους), or making a word more emphatic (510, C); but in the greater number the second clause is added without a particle to explain the first, and, like a noun in apposition, would rather be separated than connected by a particle. Comp. K. § 325. ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῦν. This common phrase nearly always, in this work, moderates the force of some universal word, as πῶς, οὐδείς. According to Lobeck (para-

lipom. Gram. Græc. 59), ἔπος εἰπεῖν occurs very often, εἰπεῖν ἔπος rarely. It denotes, 1. ut ita dicam, as here; 2. speaking inaccurately, the opposite of ἀκριβεῖ λόγφ. — τῆς δὲ ρητορικῆς. The sense is, But there is no such manual operation pertaining to rhetoric, but all its activity and efficiency are exercised by means of words. The Scholiast says, that χειρούργημα and κύρωσις are provincial words brought by Gorgias from his native town, Leontini. This is probably a mere random assertion, and unlikely in itself. But the use of these words, instead of χειρουργία and κῦρος, may be intended to show forth the artificial and elaborate style of Gorgias. Thucydides uses κύρωσις (Lib. 6. 103).

C. δρ' οδν . . . καλείν; Indeed, I perceive what sort of art you wish to call it. So Ast. "Formula do" our eodem modo ut οὖκ οὖν initio per interrogationem cum negatione junctam affirmat." Hermann on Soph. Antig. 628 (632). Or we need only say that $\delta \rho a$, as it often does, requires an affirmative answer. Stallb. retains the interrogative force of $\delta \rho a$, and supposes the question to require a negative answer. Do I understand, etc., i. e. I do not understand. But μανθάνω can denote a perception that is not yet clear. Prof. Crosby remarks on this passage as follows: - " Is there not a species of anacoluthon at the beginning of this chapter? 'Do I then understand what you would call it? However, I shall soon know.' He seems to me to be first intending to ask Gorgias directly, whether the idea he obtains from his answer is the true one; but then another mode of satisfying himself occurs, and he changes the discourse abruptly." — For των μέν . . . ένιαι δέ, comp. Soph. § 142, N. 3.

E. οὐχ ὅτι... εἶπες, although in the expression which you make use of you so said. οὖτως refers to the succeeding subordinate clause. οὐχ ὅτι is properly elliptical for οὐ λέγω, or οὐκ ἐρῶ ὅτι, and sometimes, followed by ἀλλά, means not

only, or not only not. Comp. Mt. § 624. 4. —— δυσχεραίτες, to be captious in the discourse or discussion.

- είποιμ' αν . . . οντα. Complete the sentence by τις 451 τῶν κῦρος έχουσῶν, I would say that it is one of those arts that exert their power with regard to (whose efficiency consists in inquiring concerning) the odd and even, how many there can be of each, i. e. that it is an art, which asks how many there are, and whose elements are odd and even numbers. yrôous is due to a copyist, who thought the structure deficient. — δσπερ οἱ ἐν τῷ δήμφ συγγραφόμενοι, as those say who draw up written motions in the meetings of the people, i. e. who offer amendments in the assembly. clearest light has been thrown upon this phrase recently by Boeckh, in his Inscriptiones Græcæ, Vol. I. No. 84. allusion is to the formula τὰ μὲν ἄλλα καθάπερ τῆ βουλῆ, sc. Edofer, which was used by those who, in the assembly, made amendments to the decrees or probouleumata brought down from the council. They employed the phrase to avoid the trouble of reading over those parts of the decree which they left unaltered. σύγγραμμα is so used of a clause in a decree by Æschines c. Ctes. § 127 Bekker. The Scholiast, with less success, explains these words of cases where two or more bills proposed by the same person followed one another in succession. It was the custom to prefix the names of the citizen, of his father, his demus, and tribe, to his resolution. In such cases the herald, says he, to save time, would say τὰ μὲν ἄλλα κατὰ ταὐτά, the same as before. But this explanation is unfortunate for several obvious reasons. Still more so is Coray's, who understands οἱ συγγραφόμενοι of public contractors.
- C. διαφέρει δὲ τοσοῦτον, etc., but it differs (ἡ λογιστική) thus much: that the art of calculating considers how the odd and the even are related to themselves (i. e. odd to odd and even to even) and to each other in respect to number.

For πῶς ἔχει πλήθους, see Soph. § 188, N.; Cr. § 363, β; K. § 274. 3. This definition of λογιστική is found again in Charmides, 166, A. Arithmetic seems in Plato's definition to be employed with number in general, and λογιστική to be the vulgar art of reckoning, in which numbers are considered in their relations. A later distinction in the science of number was into Arithmetic, which inquired περὶ τοῦ ποσοῦ καθ' ἐαυτό, and Music περὶ τοῦ πρὸς ἄλλο, i. e. concerning the relations of numbers. Sometimes, as here, the former term included the whole science of number, but was used ἐδιαίτερου περὶ τοῦ τοσοῦ καθ' αὐτό, more especially of numbers in themselves considered.

- D. The second τί is added by Stallbaum from a conjecture of Heindorf, and seems necessary to the text. The stars denote insertion. —— ἀμφισβητήσιμον καὶ τοῦτο λέγεις. See Eurip. Alcest. 106, and p. 452, E, τί . . . τοῦτο λέγεις; also Cr. § 528.
- E. τοῦτο τὸ σκολών. This scolium, or table-song, is ascribed by the Scholiast to Simonides or to Epicharmus. It is often quoted, as by Athenæus at the end of his work. Comp. a fine passage, Laws 1. 631. The whole song is,—

" ύγιαίνειν μὲν ἄριστον ἀνδρὶ θνατῷ,
δεύτερον δὲ καλὸν φυὰν γενέσθαι,
τὸ τρίτον δὲ πλουτεῖν ἀδόλως,
καὶ τὸ τέταρτον ἡβᾶν μετὰ τῶν φίλων."

Plato does not allude to the last line, because no trade or employment is concerned with it.

452 A. larρόs τε... χρηματιστής. They are named in the order suggested by the scolium. Heindorf wished to read δ larρόs τε, but Buttmann observes (the remark does not appear in the second ed. of Heind.), that the article so used would denote that one person had all the attributes mentioned: δ τε larρὸs και χρηματιστής, on the contrary, would

sufficiently discriminate the persons; or, in ambiguous cases, δ τε lατρὸς καὶ δ χρηματιστής. —— εἶποι . . . δτι . . . ἐξαπατῷ. For oratio recta after ὅτι, comp. Cr. § 609, a.

- B. θαυμάζοιμι γ' ἀν ... εἶ σοι ἔχει. The reason, according to Stallb., why there is here an indic. in the protasis with an opt. in the apodosis is, that what the pædotribe says, "interlocutoris mentem potius quam suam ipsius opinionem respiciat." As, however, ἔχει ἐπιδεῖξαι is, in his own opinion, only a possibility, he says θαυμάζοιμι ἀν. But perhaps θαυμάζοιμι ἀν may be considered the optative of politeness, which expresses, under the form of a possibility, something real and absolute. Comp. Kühner's largest Gr. § 817. 6. —— τέχνης depends on ἀγαθόν, good pertaining to his art.
- C. πάνι καταφρονῶν ἀπάντων is added in satire, to show the higher pretensions of the meanest of the three employments. Gorgias and the sophists held philosophy in like contempt, compared with the arts of show. One of the comic poets, Anaxandrides (Athenœus, 694, F), proposes to comply with these high claims so far as to change the place of the second and third lines of the scolium. He says, "when the author of it named making money as the third best thing,"—

"τοῦθ', όρᾳς, ἐμαίνετο, μετὰ τὴν ὑγίειαν γὰρ τὸ πλουτεῖν διαφέρει · καλὸς δὲ πεινῶν ἐστιν αἰσχρὸν θηρίον."

- ----- καὶ μὴν... δδε, and yet you see Gorgias here maintains on the contrary.
- D. καὶ σὲ... αὐτοῦ. There is here a change not unknown to our language from the relative to the demonstrative construction.—— αὐτοῦς τοῦς ἀνθρώποις, i. e. the cause why the men themselves who are possessed of the art are free. αὐτοῦς is used on account of the contrast with others whom they govern. There is here a certain rhetorical col-

oring, which may be intended as an imitation of the style of Gorgias.

E. τὸ πείθειν ἔγωγ' οἰόν τ' εἶναι, I certainly pronounce it, or mean by it the being able to persuade, etc. — ἐν ἄλ-λφ συλλόγφ, facile intelligas τοὺς συλλεγέντας. Stallb. — ἐν ταύτη τῆ δυνάμει, i. e. when in or invested with this power. — ἀλλὰ σοὶ is added as if ἄλλφ had not gone before. Comp. 521, D, οὐ πρὸς χάριν . . . ἀλλὰ πρὸς τὸ βέλτιστον, σὐ πρὸς τὸ ήδιστον.

453 A. τὸ κεφάλαιον els τοῦτο τελευτῷ, i. e. its sum and substance, its essential quality ends in this or tends to this as its result, τοῦτο referring to πειθοῦς. τελευτῶν, meaning to end, takes the preposition els and adverbs of motion to a place after it, as including the previous motion, together with the end itself; = to come to an end. So ἄρχειν, to begin, is joined with ἀπὸ, ἐκ, and adverbs of motion from a place, = to start. — ἡ ἔχεις . . . δύνασθαι. Here τι seems to be taken with δύνασθαι, and ἐπὶ πλέον is to a greater extent, plus. Comp. ταῦτα ἐπὶ πλέον εἰπεῖν, Laws, 697, C; ἐπὶ πλέον τι δύναται, Politicus 305, B. So also ἐπ᾽ ἔλαττον, ἐπὶ πολὸ, ἐπὶ σμικρὸν (Soph. Electr. 414), are used.

B. ἐγὰ γὰρ... τούτων ἔνα, be assured that I, as I flatter myself,—if any other person engages in conversation with another, because he wishes to know the very nature of that about which the discourse is held, that I also, I say,—am a person of that description. It is often the case, as here, that an infinitive and its subject are introduced after ὅτι. This happens, for the most part, when a clause intervening between ὅτι and the infinitive renders the change from the grammatical construction to its equivalent one less obvious. But here there is an anacoluthon also. Owing to the change just mentioned, ἐγὰ is left by itself, and ἐμὲ takes its place.— ἐγὰ ... οὐκ οίδα. Here notice the emphatic position of ἐγώ, the attraction of τὴν ... πειθὰ to the main

sentence, in which old is, and the interposition of el lot' for between the adverb and the verb.

C. où σοῦ ἔνεκα . . . λέγεται, not on your account (to draw anything further from you), but on account of the discussion, that it may go on in the way in which it can make the subject discussed most clear to us. Some authorities have ποιη, which arose from not perceiving that ώς here is quomodo, and not ut. See 449, E. — δοπερ αν. αν belongs to ηρόμην, and is repeated on account of its distance from the verb, occasioned by the conditional clause. Comp. 447, D. - Zevêis. As this great painter painted for Archelaus, king of Macedon, who died in the same year with Socrates, there is here no anachronism, and Pliny's date for his entrance on his art (Olymp. 95. 4, after the death of Socrates) must be incorrect. — καὶ ποῦ. These words have given no little trouble to the interpreters, because the place where a painter's works are, which is their natural meaning, has nothing to do with the definition of his art. Ast's explanation of mov as meaning where, in what thing, in regard to what (i. e. what animals and what properties of them, etc.), and Cousin's, where, on what, as canvas or stone, are hardly deserving of mention. Others suppose the text corrupt. Heind. conjectures πόσου, for how much, and Coray, τοῦ, whose son. But how the compensation or the father of Zeuxis had anything more to do with the definition of his art than the place where he painted, they do not inform us. Stallb., after Routh, would read πως, which makes good sense, though it departs too much from the letters of the actual text. I conjecture (that I likewise may contribute my mite) that the sentence originally ended at γράφων; which, indeed, may be argued from the fact, that Plato afterwards only alludes to tà Coa. To this tà ποία τῶν ζώων, and ἄλλα πολλά ζῶα, point; and no other definition of the art of Zeuxis is hinted at. Next to γράφων

came \$\overline{\eta}_0\overline{\sigma}\), HOY, which was corrupted into HOY; and then \$\kalpha a\text{i}\) was added to bring \$\pi o\overline{\sigma}\) into grammatical connection with the sentence. For the confusion of H and H in the MSS., Bast's Epist. Palæograph. in Schæfer's Gregory Corinth., p. 716, may be consulted. A similar corruption of \$\eta\$ o\overline{\sigma}\) into \$\pi o\overline{\sigma}\) into \$\pi o\overline{\sigma}\) in Repub. 437, D, is removed in modern editions.

D. καλῶς ἄν σοι ἀπεκέκριτο; would your answer have been a good one? This verb, like several other deponents, is used both actively and passively,—a usage almost confined to the perfect, pluperfect, and aorist. Comp. Soph. § 208, N. 2; K. § 252; Cr. § 564.——οὐ δῆτα denies the latter part of the alternative, οὐ πείθει.

454 A. τον λέγοντα, him who makes this assertion, that rhetoric is the art of persuasion.

B, C. ταύτης . . . τῆς πειθοῦς λέγω, SC. τὴν ἡητορικὴν τέχνην εἶναι. — ἀλλ ἴνα μὴ θανμάζης, etc. The form of this sentence changes a little as it proceeds. ὅπερ γὰρ λέγω is written as if μὴ θαύμαζε had gone before. The proper apodosis of ἴνα . . . θανμάζης is τοῦ ἐξῆς . . . ἐρωτῶ, but the connection is broken up by γὰρ in ὅπερ γὰρ λέγω. The sense of ὅπερ . . . λέγω is, for as I say (i. e. as I was just saying, 453, C), I put the inquiry that the discourse may be finished in due order, — not on your account, but that we may not be in the habit of too soon catching up each other's words on mere suspicion (of what they mean, and without giving one another time for explanation). With προαρπάζειν . . . τὰ λεγόμενα, comp. Herodot. 9. 91, ὁ δὲ ὑπαρπάσας τὸν ἐπίλοιπον λόγον.

Ε. βούλει... θώμεν like visne videamus, volo hoc contingat. Soph. § 219. 3, last ed.; Cr. § 611. 3; K. § 259. 1. 6.
455 A. πιστευτικής, productive of belief. — διδασκαλικής, able to impart instruction or knowledge, i. e. knowledge founded upon absolute, unchangeable principles. — άλλὰ πιστικός

μόνον, able to cause belief and nothing more (aiming at conviction, and not at truth). This word has been altered into πειστικός by Stephens, Heindorf, Coray, and Buttmann; and some MSS. favor the change. Bekker, Stallb., and Ast, with reason, retain miorinos. For, as is shown by Ast at great length, πειστικός denotes (having relation to, having to do with, i. e.) able to produce πειθώ, and is the more general word, and not necessarily opposed to διδασκαλικός; while πιστικός means able to produce πίστιν, which has just been contrasted with ἐπιστήμην (454, D). Again, as to the form of the word, - which, according to Buttmann, cannot analogically be derived from miorus, - Ast observes that adjectives in -1x6s are freely derived, not only from verbals, but also from nouns (ἀρχή, ἀρχικός), adjectives (φίλος, φιλικός), and imaginary forms (νουθετικός from νουθέτης). What objection, then, is there to regarding miorós, or miστις (comp. φύσις, φυσικός, φθίσις, φθισικός), as the source of πιστικός? However derived, such words may take a genitive. But here there is no necessity of supplying a genitive with πιστικός.

B. Τδωμεν τί ποτε καὶ λέγομεν. The force of καὶ in such a case, before a verb, seems to me to correspond with that of even. Let us see what we are even saying, where an emphasis is thrown on the verb; = what we can mean. A few MSS. have λέγωμεν, which gives the inapposite sense of let us see what we shall say. — περὶ ἰατρῶν αἰρέσεως. "The ancient states maintained public physicians at a salary; and Hippocrates is said to have been so employed at Athens. Such physicians had assistants, especially slaves, who practised among the poorer sort of people. The famous Democedes of Croton, about Olymp. 60, although as yet little money was in circulation, received the large salary of thirty-six Æginetic minæ or an Attic talent of silver (\$1,017). When he was called to Athens, he received one hundred minæ

(\$1,692), until Polycrates, tyrant of Samos, engaged his services for two talents (\$2,034)." Boeckh's Civil Econ. of Athens, I. § 21. — āλλο τι ἡ (literally, is there anything else than, is it not true) is a very common formula in Plato, meaning no more than nonne. Very often āλλο τι, without ἡ, is found in the same sense at the beginning of a sentence; and Bekker always prefers it to āλλο τι ἡ. According to Hermann on Viger, note 110, when the latter is used, the interrogation extends to the end of the sentence; but when āλλο τι, it stops with those words. — αἰρεῖσθαι is in the middle. — After ἡ νεωρίων, supply συμβουλεύσει δ ἡητορικόs. And, just below, a similar clause, which is to be supplied in thought before ἀλλ' οἱ στρατηγικοί, is afterwards in part expressed. — A passage precisely like this occurs in Protag. 319, B.

C. τὸ σὸν σπεύδειν, tuis rebus studere. See 458, B, note. — τινας σχεδὸν καὶ συχνούς. τινὲς includes many and few, as the more generic word (Wyttenbach on Phædo, p. 116), and therefore πολλοί, ὀλίγοι, and similar words, often follow to define it. Here καί, on account of the position of σχεδόν, seems to me not to be and, but even. The sense is, some, almost even many, i. e. some, indeed even quite a number.

D. aὐτὸs...καλῶs ὑφηγήσω, for you yourself have admirably led the way, i. e. in speaking about the docks, etc., just now you suggested a good answer.

E. τὰ δ' ἐκ τῆς Περικλέους. τὰ δὲ is used as if τὰ μὲν had preceded: and partly through that of Pericles.—
ἐκ τῶν δημιουργῶν, through the advice of the artificers. Heind. and Buttmann wish to read τῆς δημιουργῶν. But there is no need of this, because a man effects what his advice effects; and such brevity is common in Greek. Thus, in Alcibiad. I. 135, E, cited by Ast, we have πελαργοῦ ἄρα ὁ ἐμὸς ἔρως οὐδὲν διοίσει, my love then will not differ

from a stork, i. e. from a stork's love; and in Repub. 375, A, we have οίει τι διαφέρειν φύσιν γενναίου σκύλακος είς φυλακήν (in respect to keeping guard) νεανίσκου εὐγενοῦς; Comp. Soph. § 186, N. 1. - τοῦ διὰ μέσου τείχους. Αςcording to Colonel Leake (Topography of Athens, 354 -357), this expression denotes both the long walls, which, as he supposes, reached from Athens to Piræeus and Port Phalerum; so named as being between the city and the seaports, and also called reixos in the singular, as forming a sort of fortification. Plutarch (Vita Pericl. § 13) alluding to this passage, interprets the words - perhaps carelessly by το μακρον τείχος, and thus sanctions Leake's view. But Harpocration, s. v. διὰ μέσου τείχους, explains the phrase of the southern of the two-long walls, so styled as being between the northern and the Phaleric wall; and this southern wall it is, says he, which Plato mentions in Gorgias. This is so confirmed by Thucyd. 2. 13, - who speaks of a Phaleric wall reaching to the city, and also of the long walls reaching, both of them, from the city to Piræeus, the outer or northern one of which was guarded, - that it is not easy to see how the opinion of Leake can stand. See the commentators on Thucyd. l. c., especially Dr. Ar-Now this inner or southern leg of the long walls, τὸ μακρὸν τεῖχος τὸ νότιον (Æschin. de Fals. Leg. § 174, Bekker), was built after the thirty years' peace with Sparta, i. e. after B. C. 445, when Pericles began to be at the head of affairs, and when Socrates was over twenty years old.

A. of νικῶντες τὰς γνώμας, Soph. § 164, N. 2; K. § 278. 456 2; Cr. § 433. — πάλαι ἐρωτῶ. πάλαι is very often found with the present of an action begun in the past and still continued. — Join τὸ μέγεθος with δαιμονία, as the accus. of specification. — εὶ πάντα γε εἰδείης. The apodosis must be something like δαιμονία ἀν καταφαίνοιτο, which is pointed at by γε.

- B. μετὰ τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ. See 448, B. ἡ τεμεῖν ἡ καῦσαι, etc., to put himself into the physician's hands, to be cut or cauterized. A heated iron was applied to the wound for the purpose of stanching blood by the laτρός, who, as Routh observes, exercised both the medical and the surgical arts. παρασχεῖν, sc. ἐαυτόν; comp. 475, D. For τεμεῖν, καῦσαι, see Soph. § 219. 2; Cr. § 621. β. An infinitive so used, if it have the direct object of the leading verb for its subject, is in the passive, but otherwise in the active.
- C. οὐδαμοῦ... φανῆναι, would be of no account. Comp. Soph. Antig. 183, τοῦτον οὐδαμοῦ λέγω; Xen. Memorab. 1. 2. 52, μηδαμοῦ εἶναι, to be nowhere, in no estimation.—— εἶ βοῦλοιτο is elegantly added, says Stallb., to denote the arrogance and pretension of Gorgias, if he chose to give himself the trouble.
- D. ἔμαθε, sc. τις. Soph. § 157, N. 8; K. § 238, R. 3; Cr. § 546. This omission of the indefinite subject τὶς is common in this dialogue, as is also the transition from a singular verb to a plural, or the contrary, where the subject is an indefinite one. ἐν ὅπλοις μάχεσθαι dicuntur qui veris armis certare discunt. Nam vulgo juventus non veris armis se exercebat sed rudibus, aut pilis præpilatis, quæ dicuntur Græcis ἐσφαιρωμένα ἀκόντια [i. e. with a ball covering the point]. Quæ sunt verba Casauboni ad Theophrast. Charact. p. 79, ed. Fischer. Stallb.
- E. ἐκεῖνοι μὲν γὰρ, etc., for they intrusted (them to their scholars) for the purpose of using them aright against their foes, and those who wrong them, in defending themselves, not in beginning an assault. τούτοις refers to the means of defence used in the arts just named. The subject of χρῆσθαι is to be gathered from the context, viz. such persons as learn to box, and beat their friends. The forms of ὑπάρχειν, and those of ἀμύνομαι, are often contrasted, in the senses of acting on the offensive and defensive.—— μετα-

στρέψωντες, turning round, i. e. on the contrary. Comp. μεταβαλών, 480, Ε.

146

- A. $\partial_{\mu}\beta\rho\alpha\chi\dot{\nu}$, in short, is taken with $\pi\epsilon\rho\lambda$ drov dr β o $\nu\lambda\eta\tau\alpha$, 457 to show that that phrase comprises all that can be said, or is used in its widest sense. Its force is like that of omnino.
- B. καὶ τῆ ἡητορικῆ... &σπερ καὶ. For καὶ, in each member of the sentence, comp. the note on Electr. 1301, where it is said that καὶ often stands after words of comparison to show connection, without any force that can be given in English. See also 458, A, at the beginning. —— κἦτα. Post participia, καὶ εἶτα, καὶ ἔπειτα inferuntur, ubi εἶτα et ἔπειτα expectes. Stallb. A frequent idiom.
- C. δύνανται, sc. of λέγοντες, implied in τῶν λόγων; or, more exactly, the subject is indefinite, and plural, because the action of conversing requires more than one. ούτω repeats and recalls the participles. Join διαλύεσθαι to δύνανται.
- D. μη σαφῶς, i. e. μη φη (deny) τον ἔτερον σαφῶς λέγεω.

 καὶ κατὰ φθόνον, etc., and they think that they are (i. e. each thinks that the other is) speaking out of envy, or with ill feelings towards each other, having a contentious spirit, and not seeking after that which was proposed in the discussion. For ἐαντῶν = ἀλλήλων, Soph. § 145, N. 2; K. § 302. 7; Cr. § 507. 7. τελευτῶντες, Cr. § 457. a; K. § 312, R. 3. οἰα καὶ, etc., so that even the by-standers feel vexed for themselves, because they consented to be listeners to such people. The infinitive follows οἷα here, as it so often does ὧστε.
- E. διελέγχειν, to go on, or through with a refutation of. διὰ has the same force in διερωτών below. In the next words, the sense is, Lest you should suppose that I speak with my zeal for debate not directed towards the subject, that it may become plain, but against you. For τοῦ γενέσθαι, comp. Soph. § 187. 1; K. § 308. 2. (b); Cr. § 372.

With genitives in this relation of the motive, Eveka is often found.

458 Α. των ήδέως . . . Δυ έλεγχθέντων = τούτων οὶ ήδέως Δυ έλεγχθείεν. Κ. § 260. 2. (5); Cr. § 615. 2. Comp. Euthyphro 3, D, where Socrates says, that he converses, not only without taking pay for it, αλλά και προστιθεις αν ήδέως, sc. μισθόν, but even with a willingness to give pay, if any one is disposed to hear him. Here προστιθεὶς without τω would mean, that he actually paid his listeners. - Just below, for εί τι μη άληθες λέγω, we should expect εί τι . . . λέγοιεν, or, with the transition to the first person, λέγοιμι, parallel to the succeeding λέγοι. The reason for using λέγο seems to be that given by Stallb., which Ast opposes: that Socrates, in speaking of himself, denotes the probability of his being in an error by el with an indicative; but only the possibility of error on the part of another by el and an optative. — αὐτὸν ἀπαλλαγῆναι. Comp. for αὐτὸν emphatic (the word with which it agrees being omitted), 447, C, ouder οίον αὐτὸν ἐρωτᾶν.

B. δσον δόξα ψευδής. Græci in hujusmodi comparationibus modo casus præcedenti nomini accommodant, modo nominativum ponunt, intellecto verbo superiore. Comp. Repub. 334, Β, τοῦτο μέντοι ἔμοιγε δοκεῖ ἔτι, ἀφελεῖν μέν τούς φίλους ή δικαιοσύνη, where την δικαιοσύνην would have been more common. See Cr. § 655. 4. — 70 7@r παρόντων, the interests or feelings of the present company. And so τὸ τούτων, just below; τὸ σὸν σπεύδουσ' ἄμα, καὶ τοὐμὸν αὐτῆς, Soph. Electr. 251. Such formulæ are sometimes little more than circumlocutions for the pronoun. Comp. τό γ' ἐμόν, 458, D. - The Schol. thinks that Gorgias is here finding an excuse to break off. probably nothing more than polite attention to the wishes of the auditors is intended. Gorgias is uniformly polite to Socrates, and willing to continue the discourse. Comp. 497, B, 506, A.

- C. πόρφω ἀποτενοῦμεν, we shall extend too far, be too prolix. The verb is here used without an object, or, if anything is understood, it is ἡμῶς αὐτούς, rather than τὸν λόγον.
 —— ἐμοὶ δ' οὖν, etc., and as for that (οὖν), may I myself also never have so much business, that leaving a conversation such as this, and so carried on (i. e on a subject of such importance, and so interesting), it may be of more importance for me to do anything else.
- D. τὸ ἐμὸν is the subject of κωλύει. —— τὸ λοιπόν, after this, i. e since all the others wish that the debate should continue. —— καὶ ταῦτα, etc., and that too (i. e. and especially) when I myself announced, that whatever questions any one wishes to put he may do so.
- A. τλεγές τοι νῦν δή, you were saying certainly just now. 459 I have written τοι νῦν δή for τοίνυν δή, at Bekker's suggestion, on account of the sense. τὸ ἐν ὅχλφ τοῦτο, this expression ἐν ὅχλφ. This is the subject of ἐστιν, and the remaining words the predicate. ἐν τοῖς μὴ εἰδόσιν. Compare the negative μὴ here, and in ὁ μὴ ἰατρός, with οὐ in ὁ οὖκ εἰδός, ἐν τοῖς οὖκ εἰδόσιν, just below. In the first two cases, the negation is general and indefinite: "before such as do not know, whoever they are," "he who is no physician, supposing such a person to exist." In the other two cases, the negation being made concerning something definite and particular (the orator and the crowd), οὐ is properly used.
 - B. ἐνταῦθα, i. e. in the case of the art of medicine.
 - C. ράστώνη, saving of trouble, convenience. ἐάν τι ἡμῶν πρὸς λόγου ἢ, if it come at all within the scope of our discourse. πρός, (literally,) on the side of, in favor of, to the advantage of.
 - D. οὖτως ἔχων. This clause is afterwards defined by
 αὐτὰ μὲν οὐκ εἰδώς.
 - A. δοπερ άρτι είπες. See 455, D. οίμαι is paren- 460

thetical: hence $\mu a\theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau a t$ follows, and not $\mu a\theta \eta \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$. $= \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \delta \eta$, as Ast says, is a formula of exhorting, like $\tilde{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \gamma \eta$; as Heindorf, a "formula subsistendi et inhibendi," $= \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon a u \tau \delta \nu$; as Stallb., after Hermann, one of admonishing another to remember something, and perceive its results, $= \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \tau \sigma \tilde{\nu} \tau \sigma t$. Its use, here, at least, consists in drawing attention, in causing one to stop and consider something, especially some objection derived from what had been said.

- B. τάλλα οὖτω, sc. ἔχει. κατὰ τὸν ἀὐτὸν λόγον, after the same form of speech, after the same analogy. The next words, being explicative of this clause, are without a connecting particle.
- C. The words here inclosed in brackets are so injurious to the sense, that there can be little doubt, I think, of their being interpolations. The reasoning is this: He who has learned justice is just. The just does justly. Therefore he wills or prefers to do justly. Therefore he never will prefer to do unjustly. The orator, if taught justice by Gorgias, is just, and therefore will never will or prefer to do unjustly. For this passage, consult the Introduction.—

 in row loyou, from what has been said; as follows from the argument. These words show that Socrates begins here to apply what has been conceded to the case of the rhetorician, which is proof that the words in brackets are indefensible.
- D. ἐκβάλλειν, sc. αὐτούς, and so with ἐξελαύνειν, just below. When the same noun follows two verbs, or a participle and a verb, in different cases, the Greeks content themselves usually with expressing it once. Comp. Mt. § 428. 2. ὡσαύτως οὖτω, in the selfsame way. These adverbs answer, as Coray observes, to ὁ αὐτὸς οὖτος.
- E. φαίνεται . . . οὐκ ἄν ποτε ἀδικήσας, appears incapable of ever doing injustice, = φαίνεται ὅτι οὐκ ἄν ποτε ἀδικήσειε. F. A. Wolf, on Demosth. c. Leptin. (p. 468, ed. Reiske),

lays down the rule, that ϕ airo μ a ι with an infin. = videor, but with a particip. palam sum. — $\delta \gamma \ldots \pi$ ouera ι , since it is always discoursing. For the use of the relative, comp. note on 488, D. Here δ , which refers to $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\rho}\eta\tau$ o ρ u $\dot{\kappa}\dot{\eta}$, is put in the gender of the predicate $\pi\rho$ a $\dot{\gamma}\mu$ a, by attraction. Comp. 463, E.

A. εἶπον... ὅτι... ἄξιον εἵη. Here ἀν is not used, be-461 cause the sense is, I said that it was worth while. With ἀν the sense would be, I said that it would be worth while. The first is a direct assertion, in oratio obliqua; the second a hypothetical one, in the same form. And so just above, 460, Ε, ελέγετο ὅτι ἡ ῥητορικὴ... εἵη, that rhetoric was, but ὑπελαβον ὡς οὐδέποτ ἀν εἵη, that it never could be.

B. μὰ τὸν κύνα. A very common oath in the mouth of Socrates, concerning which much has been written. In 482, B, we have μὰ τὸν κύνα, τὸν Αἰγυπτίων θεόν, i. e. "latrator Anubis," which is either a comic addition to the original formula, μὰ τὸν κύνα, or else shows the oath to be of Egyptian origin. Mr. Mitchell (Aristoph. Wasps, Appendix, Note D) is of the latter opinion. We refer those who wish to pursue this point further to the Commentt. and the Scholl. on that play, v. 83, Solanus on Lucian's Vit. Auct. (Vol. III. p. 520, ed. Lehm.), and Coray on this place. The ancients thought that such oaths were intro-. duced by Rhadamanthus, to avoid swearing by a divinity on a common occasion. Zeno, the Stoic, in imitation of Socrates, swore by the caper-bush. —— οὐκ ὀλίγης συνουσίας, haud exigui est sermonis. — καὶ . . . δοξάζεις. According to Ast, καὶ affects δοξάζεις, being a little out of its place. Do you even or really think? —— ἡ οἶει . . . ἐρωτήματα. Α passage of considerable difficulty, which seems to be best explained by regarding the sentence, with Schleierm., as a broken one; which is indicated in this edition by a dash after διδάξειν. Polus is so eager, that he cannot end his

sentence grammatically, but must make a rhetorical exhibition of his feelings. The sense is, Or do you think, because Gorgias was ashamed not to admit, both that the orator must understand the just, beautiful, and good; and that he himself would give instruction in these subjects, if one should come to him (to study oratory) ignorant of them; - then, perhaps, from this admission, an inconsistency grose in the discussion, to wit (8h) the very thing which you take satisfaction in, though you yourself led the way to such questions (i. e. though you alone, by your artful questions, are to blame for the inconsistency). For the first sentence, Schleierm. supplies a close from τοῦθ' δ δη αγαπάς, as if Polus had at first meant to say, "Or do you think, because Gorgias," etc. . . . "to find your pleasure therein." But perhaps some other close of more emphasis may be gathered from the context, such as, ("do you think, because Gorgias, through shame, made certain admissions,) that therefore his inconsistency is to be charged to rhetoric." The other attempts to explain this sentence which I have seen are, -1. Ast's, who gives to ofer the sense of routless, and supplies ovrw. Or do you think so because, etc. 2. Stallb., in his first ed., translates thus: an putas Gorgiam præ pudore negasse, etc. But this perverts the sense. Comp. 482, C, D, where the passage is alluded to and in part explained. He also ends the sentence beginning with h ofer. at energy and flat close to the period. 3. In his second edition, where he blames Ast for his "mira commenta," deserting his former view, he continues the sense in an unbroken period, and treats ofer as though it did not The sense then becomes, because affect the structure. Gorgias was ashamed to deny, etc. . . . did therefore, do you suppose, from this admission an inconsistency arise, etc.? But an inconsistency did arise, for the very reason contained in the words on Foppias, etc. This is evident from

482, D. The words of Polus then become unmeaning.—

μὴ προσομολογῆσαι . . . μὴ οὐχί. μὴ οὐ sometimes, as here, follows a simply negative expression, — quin before a subjunctive. But more usually it follows a verb of negative import, when οὐ precedes such a verb. Thus ἀπαρνοῦμαι μὴ ἐπίστασθαι, I deny that I know, but οὐκ ἀπαρνοῦμαι μὴ οὐκ ἐπίστασθαι, I do not deny that I know. An analogous formula to this latter is found just below, 461, C, — τίνα οἴει ἀπαρνήσεσθαι μὴ οὐχὶ καὶ αὐτὸν ἐπίστασθαι, — where the interrog. implies a negative.

D. ἐπανορθοῖτε. Most of the MSS. have the opt. here in lieu of the subj. after a present in the principal clause. The reasons given for the opt. in the present case by Stallb. and Ast are scarcely sufficient. — δίκαιος δ' εί, and it is right for you so to do. For discuss, see Mt. \$ 297; K. \$ 307, R. 6; Cr. \$ 551. It is for dikatóv čotí σ_{ϵ} , etc., owing to the cause explained in the note on 448, D. — ἀναθέσθαι, to retract, properly, to put a piece over again, to change a move in playing draughts. Comp. Xen. Memorab. 2. 4. 4. Cicero, in a frag. of his Hortensius, says, "Itaque tibi concedo, quod in duodecim scriptis solemus, ut calculum reducas, si te alicujus dicti pœnitet," borrowing his figure perhaps from Plato. The subject of ἀναθέσθαι Ast takes to be σε understood. "Quod fieri non posse manifestum est," says Stallb. But such cases are possible, and we believe Ast to be right. Here, σοι δοκεί, just before, and δ τι αν σύ βούλη, just after, almost forbid us to supply $\mu\epsilon$. A stronger case occurs Theætet. 151, C: " For many feel so towards me, as positively to be ready to bite me, when I strip them of some folly of theirs," - καὶ οὐκ οἴονται εὐνοία τοῦτο ποιεῖν, i. e. that I do this. (Such is the MS. reading.) ---- φυλάττης, if you will only observe one thing. The middle, if you will guard against, might stand here.

- E. οδ... λέγειν, where of all Greece there is the greatest liberty of speech, Έλλάδος being a genitive partitive.—— ἀντίθες, put over against it, sc. this, viz. σοῦ μακρὰ λέγοντος, etc. For this latter passage, compare Aristoph. Acharn. 303, σοῦ δ' ἐγὰ λόγους λέγοντος οὐκ ἀκούσομαι μακρούς.—— τοι, though.
- 462 B. νῦν δή, nunc igitur. ἐν τῷ συγγράμματι. Aristotle (Metaphys. init.) refers to this treatise, or to the words of Polus of like import on 448, C, and approves of his opinion making experience the foundation of art.
 - C. οὐκοῦν καλὸν... ἀνθρώποις; does not rhetoric, then, namely, to have the faculty of giving pleasure to men, seem to you to be a good thing? The last clause of the sentence explains, and is in apposition with, ἡ ἡητορική. οἰόν τ' εἶναι = τινα οἶόν τ' εἶναι.
 - D. βούλει οὖν, etc., are you willing, then, since you prize giving pleasure, to give me a little pleasure? For the play on χαρίζομαι (which itself playfully alludes to χάριτός τινος καὶ ἡδονῆς, just above), comp. 516, B. In this sentence, the present infinitive denotes the habit of Polus; χαρίσασθαι, that which Socrates wishes him to do in this instance.
 - E. τίνος λέγεις ταύτης; Comp. 449, E, note on ποίους τούτους. μη ἀγροικότερου ἢ, I fear that it may seem too rude. For δέδοικα omitted, comp. Soph. § 214, N. 4; Cr. § 602. 2. The comparative, which here denotes a lower degree of the quality than the positive, is usual in such apologies.
- 463 A. δοκεί τοίνυν μοι . . . ἀνθρώποις, it seems to me, then, to be a sort of study, that has not indeed the properties of an art, but which belongs to a mind dexterous in attaining its ends, and manly, and possessed of a natural talent to communicate with men.
 - B. ως δε ό έμος λόγος, etc., yet, as I maintain, is not an art but experience, or a routine and practice, i. e. has

nothing to do with absolute truth and reason, but proceeds from accidental discovery that a certain end is gained by certain means. — κομμωτική differt a κοσμητική quâ honestus ornatus quæritur. Stallb.

- C. τέτταρα . . . πράγμασιν, four divisions these (of flattery or the art of show) relating to four matters or subjects. These four subjects, according to Coray and Stallb., are words, food, the ornamenting of the body, and philosophical disquisitions. — ἀποκεκριμένος. Comp. 453, D, note. — πρὶν αν . . . ἀποκρίνωμαι. With πρὶν " subjunctivum non usurpant tragici, nisi in priore membro adsit negandi aut prohibendi significatio." Elmsley on Medea, 215. In which case αν accompanies πρίν, with some exceptions almost confined to poetry, and the subjunctive agrist has the sense of the exact future of Latin; e.g. here priusquam respondero. For the reason why the subjunctive follows πρίν, see Mt. § 522, C.; K. § 337. 9. b.
- D. ἀποκριναμένου, sc. μου. Comp. 461, D, note. πολιτικής μορίου είδωλον, a shadow or semblance of a division of the political art, "civilitatis particulæ simulacrum," as translated by Quintil. 2. 15. 25, where this passage is examined.
- E. Πώλος δὲ ὅδε. There is here, probably, an allusion to the meaning of the name colt, as Schleierm. remarks. For another pun on the name of Polus, comp. Aristot. Rhet. 2. 23: ως Κόνων Θρασύβουλον "θρασύβουλον" ἐκάλει, καὶ 'Ηρόδικος (of Selymbria, comp. 448, B, note) Θρασύμαχον, "alei θρασύμαχος εί," και Πώλον, "alei σὺ πώλος εί," και Δράκοντα τὸν νομοθέτην, ὅτι οὖκ ἀνθρώπου οἱ νόμοι ἀλλὰ δράκοντος. --- In τυγχάνει δυ τοῦτο, the predicate τοῦτο determines the gender of δν by attraction, instead of ρητορική, to which it refers. Comp. 460, E.

A. οδον τοιόνδε λέγω, for instance I mean as follows, lit-464 erally, "of which sort I mention such a thing as this, viz."

This, with or without the article before τοιόνδε, is a common formula in Plato, when examples are adduced. —— τὰ σώματα is the accus. of specification.

B. ἔχει δὲ οὐδὲν μᾶλλον, i. e. εὐ. The subject of ἔχει is supplied by the preceding accusatives, σώμα and ψυχήν. But, or, while yet they (soul and body) are none the more in a good condition. Another construction also is possible: ότι ποιεί (τινα) δοκείν μέν εδ έχειν (κατά) το σώμα . . . έχει δέ, while he is none the more in a good condition. — την δε έπλ σώματι, etc., but the art for the body I am not able to name to you off-hand by one name. σωμα and ψυχή freely take and lose the article (comp. 465, D). They lose it, perhaps, as approaching the nature of abstract nouns. In 463, E, they could not have it. µlav is the predicate-accusative. ούτω, thus, in these present circumstances, is often equivalent to illico. Comp. 509, A. — της δὲ πολιτικης, etc. Here λέγω is to be supplied in thought, and της πολιτικής depends on την νομοθετικήν, its part. The sense is, And of the political art I mention (one branch) the legislative as the counterpart to the gymnastic art, and (the other) justice as the counterpart to medicine. The political art, or the general art of securing the public good, has two divisions, first, that which consists in securing the moral welfare by law, which prescribes what is right, and according to which the public health will be preserved; and, secondly, that which restores this health when once impaired, or justice, the judge's art. (See Introduction.) Analogous to these arts for the soul are, for the body, gymnastics, or the art of preserving, and medicine, or that of restoring health. to elsewhere insists on the analogy between the healing art and justice, e. g. in Repub. 444, C, -a fine passage, where, however, justice is taken in the higher sense of that controlling virtue, which brings all the parts of the soul, like those of a well-regulated state, into their due place and order.

C. πρὸς τὸ βέλτιστον, with a view to the greatest good.
— οὐ γνοῦσα λέγω ἀλλὰ στοχασαμένη. As alσθομένη is a general word denoting mental perceptions, whencesoever derived, it is explained by this clause, not guided by knowledge, I would have you understand, but by guess. Comp. 463, A.— ὑποδῦσα ὑπὸ ἔκαστον, having slipped under, or by stealth put on the garb of each of the four divisions.

156

- D. Oppeveral the disclar, hunts for, seeks to captivate ignorance, or the unwary. —— $\tau \hat{\varphi} \dots \hat{d} \epsilon l$ holot φ , by that which is at the time the most agreeable.
- A. roûro γὰρ πρὸς σὰ λέγω alludes to 463, D, where Po-465 lus puts the question concerning the quality of rhetoric. Comp. 448, C-E. ὅτι οὐκ ἔχει λόγον οὐδένα ἀ προσφέρει, because it cannot explain what sort of things those are in their nature, which it makes use of. Here we see what Plato thought that a τέχνη must be. The MSS. give, almost unanimously, ῷ προσφέρει ὰ προσφέρει, and the editors, without MS. authority, ὧν προσφέρει. I have restored a part of the MS. reading, and have since observed that Stallb., in his second edition, has made the same change. ὑποσχεῖν λόγον, to submit the reason, or rationale, to explain and defend a position.
- B. ὑπόκειται, puts itself under, puts on the form or mask of, = ὑποδύνει above. ὡστε ποιεῖν . . . ἀμελεῖν, so as to make men attach to themselves adventitious beauty, and neglect their own, obtained through the gymnastic art.
- C. ὅπερ μέντοι λέγω. In the ensuing words, down to ὁψοποιικῶν, the thoughts seem to be only half expressed, as if Socrates, anxious to avoid a long speech, were hastening to an end. Some editors suppose that the text has sustained an injury, but, as I think, without reason. Such, says Socrates, are these arts in their nature; but in practice the Sophists' art and rhetoric are confounded together;

and the like would be true of cookery and medicine, if the body judged of them without a presiding mind. The thoughts are not essential to the argument, and are only thrown out en passant. ὅπερ . . . λέγω refers to what has just preceded; but as I say, or was just saying. --- &iστηκη. The subject of this verb, according to Buttmann in Heindorf's edition, is all the arts before mentioned, and όντων has the same subject, which is ταῦτα understood, referring to these arts. Stallb. restricts διέστηκε, with reason, I think, in his first edition, to σοφιστική και ρητορική. ὅντων may be used instead of συτες, referring to σοφισταί καὶ ρή-TOPES, next following. A participle is sometimes found in the genitive absolute, when its subject is the same as that of the verb; the cause of which seems to be a desire of the writer to express the thought contained in the participle more distinctly. The grammatical construction is caused by Plato's passing in thought from the arts to those who pursue them. —— are d' eyyès öprwp, but, inasmuch as they are conterminous arts, sophists and orators are (mixed together in the same place and about the same things, i. e. are) confounded together, and indiscriminately give themselves to the same pursuit, and they know not what to make of themselves, nor their fellow-men of them (i. e. neither they nor others have any exact idea of their so-called arts). For the thought, comp. 520, A: ταὐτόν, & μακάριε, ἐστὶ σοφιστής και ρήτωρ, ή έγγυς τι και παραπλήσιον, ώσπερ έγω έλεγον πρός Πῶλον.

D. τὸ τοῦ ᾿Αναξαγόρου ἀν πολὺ ἢν, what the well-known (τοῦ) Anaxagoras said (his tenet) would hold extensively (in regard to these arts). For the uses of the article, see Soph. § 176, and § 139, N. 1; Cr. §§ 477. a, 479. Anaxagoras taught that all things were in a chaos at first; then came MIND, and arranged them. In other words, he ascribed to an intelligent author, not creation, nor motion and

quality, but only arrangement; which was, however, a step beyond the earlier Ionic philosophers, who accounted for all phenomena by the physical properties of matter. — σὸ γὰρ τούτων ἔμπειρος. The Schol. of the Clarke MS. supposes these words to allude to the rhetorical figure called παρίσωσις, which takes place when similar words, as φίλε Πῶλε here, are brought together. Another Schol. explains them of the acquaintance of Polus with the philosophy of Anaxagoras, — to which sect, says he, Polus belonged, — a piece of information probably picked out of the text. Perhaps nothing more than ironical praise of Polus for great knowledge is intended. Comp. 462, A.

- E. &s ἐκεῖνο ἐν σώματι, sc. ἀντίστροφόν ἐστι τῆs ἡητορικῆs. The clause might be removed without injury to the sense. ἀντίστροφον here governs a genitive, but a dative, 464, B. Some other compounds of ἀντὶ vary in the same way as to their regimen. ἐκεῖνο, referring to ὀψοποιίαs, accommodates its gender to that of ἀντίστροφον.
- A. Init. If, therefore, I too, when you answer, shall not 466 know what to make (of it), do you likewise prolong your discourse; but if I shall, let me make use of it. The dative, which should follow $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\sigma\omega\mu a\iota$, is contained in $d\pi\kappa\rho\nu\nu$ - $\mu\dot{\nu}\nu\nu$.
- B. οὐδὲ νομίζεσθαι, not even to be thought of, i. e. to be held in no estimation at all. This verb, which just above has a predicate, φαῦλοι, is here used absolutely. Our verbs to regard, to consider, and others, are capable of the same twofold use.
- C. The colon, which most editors put after κύνα, ought, as it seems to me, to be erased. Comp. μὰ τὸν Δία...ἀλλ, 463, D, νὴ τοὺς θεοὺς ἀλλ, 481, C, where the formula of swearing unites in one clause with what follows. Socrates does not answer Polus by the phrase νὴ τὸν κύνα, but only begins his answer in the next chapter.

E. οὐδὰν γὰρ ποιεῖν, etc. Comp. Repub. 9. 577, E. "Is not the state that is enslaved and under a tyrant far from doing what it wishes? Very far. And the soul, accordingly, that is governed by a tyrant, will be very far from doing what it may wish (if we speak of the whole soul); and, drawn along forcibly by urgent lust, will be full of agitation and regret." For ὡς ἔπος εἰπεῖν, qualifying οὐδάν, see 450, B.——ἐγὰ οῦ φημι; egone nego?——μὰ τόν. The Schol. on Aristoph. Frogs, 1421, thinks that the name of the divinity is omitted out of reverence. But the omission seems intended rather for comic effect, as though the right divinity did not readily occur to the mind.—— καὶ τέχνην τὴν ῥητορικήν. Supply οὖσαν. Comp. 495, C.

467 A. ἐμὲ ἐξελέγξας. The aorist participle, which Heindorf declares to be used for the present, has its own force. Polus could come to his conclusion, when he had refuted, or by refuting. The argument may be considered as the preliminary to the conclusion, or as the means of reaching it. In the first case, the aorist is needed; the present, if used, would have the second sense. — οὐδὲν ἀγαθὸν τοῦτο κεκτήσονται. Βy τοῦτο, he means τὸ ποιεῖν ἀ δοκεῖ αὐτοῖς. — ἐξελεγχθŷ... ὅτι. The verb is here used in sensu pragnanti, unless Socrates be refuted, and it be shown that, thus answering nearly to our verb convince.

B. οδτος ἀνήρ. An instance of aposiopesis or reticentia, a figure often caused by excited feelings, which cannot find the language to express themselves. "In hac formula recte omittitur articulus, quoniam dicitur δεικτικῶς de eo qui præsens est." Stallb. οδτος contains a shade of contempt sometimes like iste. Comp. 489, B, 505, C. — καὶ γὰρ non est etenim, sed καὶ pertinet ad νῦν. Stallb.; i. e. καὶ is also. — ἵνα προσείπω σε κατὰ σέ, that I may address you in your own style. This refers to λῷστε Πῶλε, and the artificial juxtaposition of words of equal length, or of similar form or sound. Comp. 448, C, 465, D.

- C. πίνοντες παρά, i. e. πίνοντες τὰ φάρμακα, λαβόντες αὐτὰ παρὰ τῶν ἰατρῶν, a constructio prægnans.
- D. of πλέοντες, i. e. who make voyages for commercial purposes.—— ἀλλ' ἐκεῖνο...πλουτεῖν, when a demonstrative pronoun thus prepares the way for the infinitive, the latter often loses its article. Comp. τοῦτο... ἐξεῖναι, 469, C. But, just above, we have not only τοῦτο, πίνειν, but also ἐκεῖνο, τὸ ὑγιαίνειν.—— ἄλλο τι... οῦτω. Supply ἔχει. Is it not so, then, in all cases?
- E. πολλή ἀνάγκη. The preceding question of Socrates is equivalent to a negative proposition, which is here to be supplied; sc. that there is nothing which is not either good or bad, etc.
- A. πράττουσι, on fait, like λέγουσι, on dit. The same 468 indefinite subject appears just before in the first person plural, βαδίζομεν, etc.
- C. dmλωs ourses, thus in themselves considered, without respect to something further.
- E. ἐν τῆ πόλει ταύτη. Not in this city, Athens, nor does ταύτη denote in this way, but in this just-mentioned city, i. e. in the supposed city. If Athens had been intended, Socrates, living there, would have said, as Stallb. after Boeckh on Pindar (Not. Crit. in Olymp. 6. 102) observes, ἐν τῆδε τῆ πόλει. Comp. this formula so used, 469, D, fin.; Leges 932, A. ὅδε seems to be the strongest, and, so to speak, most objective of the demonstratives, and to point especially at that which has a close outward relation (as that of place) to the speaker. —— ἔστιν . . . δύνασθα. ἔστιν fieri potest. —— ὡς δή, as forsooth, just as though. Stallb. translates these words by quasi vero, Ast by nam revera.
- A. περὶ ὧν...τῶν ἀνθρώπων. Comp. Soph. § 151. 3; 469 Κ. § 332. 8; Cr. § 522.
 - B. και ελεεινόν γε πρός · præpositioni πρός absolute posi-

C. ἐξεῖναι. Supply των, to which αὐτῷ refers, in the next line.

D. τῷ λόγφ is added to explain the sense in which ἐπιλαβοῦ is used, attack in your discourse, refute by argument. δή seems to belong to the imperative, with the usual hortatory sense. —— ἐν ἀγορῷ πληθούση, in the agora, when it is crowded, which it was between early morning and midday. This phrase denotes place, - not time, as Stallb. says, to which έν is opposed. περὶ ἀγορὰν πλήθουσαν is a common phrase for time. ayopá, even when definitely used, is often without an article, like πόλις, πατήρ, and many other And this is particularly frequent after preposinouns. tions. Comp. 447, A. — τεθνήξει, he shall be dead, the meaning of τέθνηκα put into a future. This appears to be the received form in old Attic, and τεθνήξεται came into use afterwards. See Elmsl. on Aristoph. Acharn. 590. --- τινα . . . της κεφαλης αὐτων κατεαγέναι, that any of them shall have his head broken, like συντριβήναι της κεφαλής, Aristoph. Peace, 71. But the accusative may also follow this verb, as in 515, E. Yet the accusative of κεφαλή was disallowed by the Atticists, although used by Lysias and others of the best writers.

E. τριήρεις, desidero articulum. Stallb. al, which may have been absorbed by κal (KAI for KAIAI), is added by Coray. But the article is unnecessary, being implied or contained in τά, as Ast observes. One article often suffices, even for two substantives of different genders, or for two

words separated by disjunctive particles. Comp. Hermann on Eurip. Hec. 593 of his second edition.

- A. το μέγα δύνασθαι, etc. The construction is anaco-470 luthous; καὶ τοῦτο... ἐστὶ το μέγα δύνασθαι being, for the sake of greater emphasis, in the place of καὶ εἶναι μέγα δύνασθαι. The sense is, To have great power appears to you to be a good, if success follows a man while he acts as seems best to him; and this (i. e. the use of great power when accompanied with success), as it seems, is to have great power; but otherwise to have great power is a bad thing, and is to have little power. Socrates shows the absurdities into which Polus, on his own ground, falls.
- B. εἰπὲ τίνα δρον δρίζει. τίς is here used like δστις in indirect inquiry. See 447, C, 448, E, etc.
- C. χαλεπόν γέ σε έλέγξαι. These words are obviously spoken in irony.
- D. ἀλλ' ἀκούω γε. Routh and other editors since suppose that by this form of words Socrates conveys ridicule of δρậs, just above, which is used quite rhetorically. This may be so, but ἀκούω is often thus used for ἀκήκοα. Comp. 515, E; Cr. § 579, ζ; K. § 255. 1. R. οὐκ οἶδα. The noble passage down to ἀλλὰ μὲν δὴ is freely translated by Cicero, Tusc. Quæst. 5. 12. αὐτόθεν, ex ipsa re. Stallb., from the nature of the case itself.
- Ε. παιδείας όπως έχει. See 451, C. τον . . . άνδρα και γυναίκα. See 469, Ε, note.
- A. Archelaus began his reign by the foul means men-471 tioned in the text, in 413 B. C., and died by assassination in 399. He seems to have been an able prince, and he made, according to Thucyd. (2.100), more internal improvements in Macedonia than all his predecessors. His desire of the society of men of letters is well known; besides Euripides, the poet Agathon and others resorted to his court. (Ælian. Var. Hist. 2.21.) Socrates, also, is said to have been in-

vited, and to have replied, υβριν είναι μή δύνασθαι ἀμύνασθαι δμοίως εδ παθόντα δοπερ καὶ κακώς. (Aristot. Rhet. 2. 23.) He said, also, that Archelaus had spent 400 minæ in getting his palace painted by Zeuxis, but had spent nothing on himself. (Ælian. u. s. 14, 17.) Athenæus, in a bitter passage, filled with aspersions of Plato (Lib. 11. sub fin.), says that the philosopher was, according to the testimony of his nephew. Speusippus, on very good terms with the man whom he here speaks so ill of. But as Plato was scarcely thirty when Archelaus died, and until that time a man of little distinction, the story is probably distorted and exaggerated. — έδούλευεν αν. Some few MSS. give έδούλευσεν. Heindorf condemns Routh for adopting εδούλευεν, saying that the latter, with dv, is serviret; the former, servisset. But this is not so. The imperfect indicative with a, "plerumque refertur ad præsens. Sæpe vero etiam ad præteritum, ejusmodi quidem, quod diuturnitatem aliquam vel repetitionem facti continet." Hermann de partic. av, II. 10.

- B. θαυμασίως ὡς ἄθλιος. See 477, D, note. μεταπεμψάμενος, etc. "Insignis est hic locus eo, quod plurima participia cumulantur, copula non intercedente." Stallb. In this, the style of rhetoric seems to be imitated. The circumstances are compressed into one sentence, and vibrated, so to speak, one after another with rapidity, for the sake of the greater effect.
- C. ἐμβαλὼν εἰς φρέαρ, according to Ast and Stallb., denotes the manner in which the action of ἀποπνίξας took place, having drowned him by throwing him into a well. Perhaps it may suit the rhetorical style here better, if they are taken side by side, as if καὶ were in the text, having thrown him into the well (and so) drowned him. For ἀποπνίξας, comp. 512, A.—— ἀρξάμενος ἀπὸ σοῦ, tuque imprimis, seu interque eos tu primus. Heindorf, who has adduced a number of examples of the phrase.

D. τοῦ... ἡμεληκέται. This clause is brought, by a negligent freedom of style, under the influence of ὅτι, although Socrates of course did not praise Polus for ignorance of the art of conversation. δὲ has the force of quanquam; although you seem to have neglected the art of discussing.—— πόθεν. Comp. my note on Eurip. Alcest. 95.

A. δοκούντων εlval τι. Cr., § 450, regards τι as inde- 472 clinable, eorum qui videntur esse aliquid; not as predic. accus., eorum qui se putant esse aliquid. Aliquis and aliquid are both used by classical Roman writers in the same emphatic way. Cic. Tusc. Quæst. 5. 36. 104: an quidquam stultius, quam quos singulos contemnas, eos esse aliquid putare universos. And so ris, rivés, as in the noted line of Pindar, τί δέ τις; τί δ' οῦ τις; σκιᾶς ὅναρ ἄνθρωπος, Pyth. 8. 95; and in Demosth. c. Mid. § 213, πλούσιοι πολλοί . . . τδ δοκείν τινès είναι δι' εὐπορίαν προσειληφότες, which favors Crosby's view. —— δλίγου. Soph. § 220 (223. 2); Cr. § 623. — Nuclas. This well-known wealthy general perished in the Sicilian disaster, some seven years before the time when this dialogue is feigned to have been held. He is, however, without anachronism, selected as a witness, in the same way that the testimony of Homer might be aupealed to. The men here named were not selected on account of their unjust actions, - for they were, perhaps, all of them, among the best Athenian public men. - but probably on account of their wealth, illustrious connections, and ancestry. Socrates means to say, that the spirit of all the great and opulent families in the city led them to prefer prosperous injustice before depressed goodness. —— oi roimodes. A favorite kind of votive offering. The tripods here spoken of were set up on the top of small temples in the inclosure of the temple of Bacchus, in commemoration of victories in which the dedicators were the choragi of their tribes. — 'Αριστοκράτης, son of Scellias. This man,

one of the more moderate aristocrats, after helping, in 411 B. C., to overturn the Athenian constitution, soon again united with Theramenes to put down the more violent revolutionists. His dislike of democracy is punned upon in Aristoph. Birds, 125. We find probably the same person acting as general with Alcibiades, 407 B. C., and one of the commanders in the great sea-fight of Arginusæ, 406 B. C. With five colleagues, he perished, the victim of the popular frenzy which succeeded that event. It would not, then, seem very apposite to cite him the next year as a witness of the tenets of Polus, which his own experience so sadly belied. Either Plato forgot the date of this transaction, or with concealed irony selects the case of this man as really disproving what it is intended to prove. And he may have adduced the others with the same feeling.

B. ἐν Πυθίου, sc. ἱερῷ, in the temple of Apollo Pythius at Athens. So I have no hesitation in reading, with Coray, after one MS., for the common Hudoî, Delphi. For rouro points at a votive offering well known and familiar to Athenians, and this temple (i. e. its sacred precinct) was the place where those who conquered in the cyclic choruses at the Thargelia deposited their tripods. Comp. Boeckh's Inscript., no. 213, and Thucyd. 6. 54. - των ενθένδε is for των ενθάδε, by attraction or accommodation to εκλέξασθαι, which contains the notion of taking from. — ἐκβάλλειν ... ἀληθοῦς. In these words there is an elegant allusion to actions of ejectment. There is, also, according to Stallb., a play upon ovoía, which means not only substance, estate, but reality, truth. ἐκβάλλεω, also, may allude to the tyrants before mentioned; as though Socrates had said, "You mean to act the part of one of these tyrants, whom you admire so much, and expel me from my only substance, the truth, by getting a multitude of opinions in your favor."

- C. δν έγω αδ οίμαι. Supply τρόπον ελέγχου είναι, and comp. 473, C, 508, B.
- D. σὶ ἡγεί οἰόν τε εἰναι. οἰον is neuter, and εἰναι is to be taken with μακάριον ἄνδρα; another εἰναι being understood, unless we say that the one in the text by brachylogy performs a double part. Comp. Repub. 486, A: οἰόν τε οἰει τούτφ μέγα τι δοκεῖν εἰναι τὸν ἀνθρώπινον βίον. ἄλλο τι . . . διανοώμεθα; shall we not suppose that you think so? For the genitive absolute with ώs, after a verb of knowing, comp. Soph. § 192, N. 2; Mt. § 569. 5; K. § 312, R. 12; Cr. § 640. ἀρα interrogative is sometimes found out of its usual place at the beginning of the sentence, like other words of the same class. It is, however, before the most important clause. Comp. 476, A.
- A. $i\pi \delta$ $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$. $i\pi \delta$ is used because $r\nu \gamma \chi \acute{\alpha} \nu \eta$ $\delta i\kappa \eta s$ con-473 tains a passive idea $= \kappa \delta \lambda \acute{\alpha} (\eta \tau a)$.
- B. ἀληθη ... τοως. Hoc τοως cum irrisione dictum de re certa ut Lat. fortasse. Stallb. —— ἀλλ' ἔτι τοῦτ' ... χαλεπώτερον alludes to 470, C, χαλεπών γέ σε ἐλέγξαι, and is ironical.
- C. ἐκτέμνηται, exsecetur. Ast. τοὺς αὐτοῦ ἐπιδῶν παῖδας. Supply λωβηθέντας. ἐπιδεῖν (to look upon, to live to see), "ponitur semper in rebus gravioribus, et ἐπὶ συμφορᾶς ut ait Thomas Magister, p. 335." Wyttenbach on Plut. de sera num. vindict., p. 17, referred to by Heindorf and others. Not semper, but sæpe. We have, for instance, Eurip. Med. 1025, πρὶν σφῷν ὅνασθαι κἀπιδεῖν εὐδαίμονας; Plut. Pelopid. § 34, Διαγόραν ἐπιδόντα υἰοὺς στεφανουμένους Ολυμπίασιν, and so several times in Plutarch's lives. καταπιττωθῆ, pice oblitus cremetur. οὖτος εὐδαιμονέστερος ἔσται. The compar. here has far less authority in its favor than the superl.; and quite a number of MSS. have εὐδαίμων. Stallb. inclines to the superl., but no sure example has been adduced of this degree used for the compara-

tive and followed by η. — καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ξένων, and by strangers besides, or and by the rest of men, viz. strangers. This seemingly pleonastic use of ἄλλος is quite common. Comp. 480, D; Phædo, 110, E, γῆ καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις ζώοις; Leg. 7. 789, D, κάλλος καὶ τὴν ἄλλην ῥώμην; Xen. Cyrop. 7. 3, βοῦς καὶ ἵππους . . . καὶ ἄλλα πρόβατα πολλά.

D. μορμολύττει αι, etc., you are this time bringing up bugbears, and not attempting a refutation; and just now you were bringing up witnesses. Socrates is making game of the rhetorical substitutes for philosophical proof used by Polus. For ἄρτι δὲ ἐμαρτύρου, comp. 470, D, 472, A, to which places there is a reference.—— δυοῦν γὰρ ἀθλίου. Supply οὐδέτερος.

Ε. άλλο αδ τοῦτο είδος ελέγχου. This may allude to a rhetorical precept of Gorgias mentioned by Aristot. Rhet. 3. 18, that the "impression produced by the serious discourse of the adverse party must be destroyed by mirth; and that of his mirth by seriousness." —— καὶ πέρυσι βουλεύειν λαχών . . . οὐκ ἡπιστάμην ἐπιψηφίζειν. Socrates, in his ironical way, attributes to ignorance a proceeding which sprang from a conscientious regard to law, and crowned him with the highest honor. It is narrated by Xenophon, in his Hellenics, 1. 7, and mentioned by him (Memorab. 1. 1. 18, and 4. 4. 2) and Plato (Apol. Socr. 32, A), and in the dialogue Axiochus, § 12. Socrates happened to be the Epistates or president of the Prytanes, and as such the presiding officer in the assembly, on the day when the generals who had conquered at Arginusæ (comp. 472, A, note) were brought before the people on a charge of having neglected to pick up the bodies of the citizens that were floating in the water. It was proposed, contrary to the laws, to try them all at once by a summary process. Some of the Prytanes, who declared that they would not put the vote contrary to the laws, were frightened from their purpose by the rage of the people, " and all promised that they would put the vote, except Socrates, the son of Sophroniscus, who only said that he would do everything according to the laws." (Xen. Hellen. u. s.) Whether Socrates was overruled by his colleagues it does not appear. One is tempted to conjecture that they took the affair out of his hands, and pretended that his delay in allowing the assembly to vote proceeded from ignorance; and that to this he playfully alludes. His conduct, however, was viewed by all in its true light. There is some reason to believe, however, that for that day he stayed proceedings upon the proposition. "But on the next day, Theramenes and Callixenus, with their party, by suborning fraudulently chosen proedri, procured the condemnation of the generals without a trial." (Axiochus, u. s.) This passage from a work ascribed to a disciple of Socrates, but commonly regarded as spurious, is important, not only for this item of information, but also for the statement, which may have some historical basis, that the foul plot against the generals was consummated by means of the proedri non-contribules, as they are called, who were drawn according to a pretended lot, on the day of the assembly, by the Epistates for the day, who was the successor of Socrates.

A. ὅπερ νῦν δὴ ἐγὼ ἕλεγον is to be taken with ἐμοὶ . . . 474 παράδος, as I was saying just now, hand over the proof to me in my turn. He refers to 472, C.

B. roîs δὲ πολλοῖς οὐδὲ διαλέγομαι. These words with some bitterness silently contrast the philosopher with the orator, who aims to persuade the many. — διδόναι ἔλεγχον, to give an opportunity of refutation, to let (another) take up the argument. — πολλοῦ γε δεῖ. In this formula, καὶ is often added before δεῖ in the sense of even.

D. τί δὲ τόδε; intellige λέγεις. Stallb. —— εἰς οὐδὲν ἀποβλέπων, etc. Do you call beautiful things in general

(τὰ καλὰ πάττα) beautiful in each instance without having reference to anything further? i. e. do you consider beauty a fundamental quality, or resolve it into something else?

πρὸς ὁ ἄν. These words down to τοῦτο are epexegetical of the preceding clause.

- E. καὶ μὴν τά γε... ἀμφότερα. In this sentence, Ast wishes to write καλὰ without the article, thus making it a predicate. τὰ καλὰ is added by way of explanation. τὰ κατὰ... νόμους = οἱ νόμοι. The sense is, And, moreover, laws and studies those that are beautiful, that is are not removed from (are not without) these properties, viz. the useful or pleasant, or both.
- 475 Α. τὸ τῶν μαθημάτων κάλλος ὡσαύτως. Supply ἔχει. καλῶς . . . ὁρίζει. This conveys a bitter satire of Polus, who by pleasure and the good meant the same thing. οὐκοῦν τὸ αἰσχρὸν τῷ ἐναντίῳ, i. e. οὐκοῦν καλῶς ὁρίζομαι, τῷ ἐναντίῳ ὁριζόμενος τὸ αἰσχρόν.
 - B. οὐ καὶ τοῦτο ἀνάγκη; frequens apud Plat. dictio pro quâ quis expectet οὐ καὶ τοῦτο ἀναγκαῖον; infra, p. 499, B, οὐ ταῦτα ἀνάγκη. Stallb.
 - C. οὐκοῦν τῷ ἐτέρῳ λείπεται, SC. ὑπερβάλλειν αὐτό.
 - D. ἀντὶ τοῦ ἡττον, SC. κακοῦ καὶ αἰσχροῦ.
 - E. For παρέχων, see 456, B. ὁ ἔλεγχος... οὐδὲν ἔωκεν, my mode of proof when put by the side of your mode of proof is quite unlike it.
- 476 A. σκεψώμεθα, σκοπώμεθα. The present imperative, and the subjunctive used for it, seem sometimes to have a closer reference to the present time than the aorist; and therefore to be more urgent. Comp. let us be going, and let us go, in English. It has been remarked (first, I believe, by Elmsley), that in the present and imperfect the Attics say σκοπῶ, ἐσκόπουν, οτ σκοποῦμαι, ἐσκοπούμην, but not σκέπτομαι, ἐσκεπτόμην. There is only one instance of σκέπτομαι in Plato to very many of σκοπῶ. On the con-

trary, they never use σκοπῶ in the future, aorist, or perfect.

- B. διασκεψάμενος, after careful consideration. άρα τοῦτο πάσχον, sc. ἐστί, or better, ἀνάγκη τοῦτο πάσχον εἶναι (i. e. πάσχειν), τοῦτο being the object. The participle is used to continue the form of the preceding discourse.
- C. The Attic form κάω is justly preferred by all modern editors to καίω, having, as it does, the support of several MSS.
- D. τούτων δὴ ὁμολογουμένων. The participle is properly in the present, as the clause may be resolved into ἐπειδὴ ταῦθ' ὁμολογοῦμεν.
- A. δρα ήνπερ εγώ ὑπολαμβάνω τὴν ἀφελειαν; Supply 477 ἀφελείται, to be taken with its cognate noun, and for the place of ἀφελειαν in the sentence, comp. Soph. § 151, Rem. 7; K. § 332. 8; Cr. § 522.
- Β. ἐν χρημάτων κατασκευἢ ἀνθρώπου, in the condition of a man's property. So Schleierm. Comp. Repub. 544, Ε, αὶ τῶν ἱδιωτῶν κατασκευαὶ τῆς ψυχῆς, and 449, Α, περὶ ἰδιωτῶν ψυχῆς τρόπου κατασκευήν. Ast, in his translation, joins ἀνθρώπου with κακίαν.
- C. del τὸ αἴσχιστον, etc. In every case, that which is most ugly is most ugly, from what has been admitted before, either as occasioning pain in the greatest degree, or harm, or both. The student will have observed that καλός, aἰσχρός, preserve the same sense throughout the discussion, and there seems to be no fit word except ugly by which to translate the latter of the two. And yet ugly will not bear to be used in as wide an extent as αἰσχρός. ἀγαθός, κακός, denote the relation of anything to our well-being, especially to future and ultimate well-being as opposed to pleasure in the present time.
- D. οὐκοῦν ἡ ἀνιαρότατόν, etc. Therefore it is either most unpleasant, and the ugliest of them because it exceeds

(them) in unpleasantness, or (it is so because it exceeds them) in hurtfulness, or in both. τούτων refers to the two πονηρίαι of soul and body. — ὑπερφυεῖ τινι . . . ὡς μεγάλη βλάβη, by some extraordinarily great harm. This may be explained as a confusio duarum locutionum, ὑπερφυές ἐστιν ὡς μεγάλη βλάβη, it is astonishing by how great a harm, and ὑπερφυεῖ τινι βλάβη, by some astonishing harm. The common formula ὑπερφυῶς ὡς, θαυμαστῶς ὡς, with an adjective, can be explained in the same way, or by an attraction by which the adjectives ὑπερφυές, θαυμαστόν (ἐστιν) are changed in their form by the relative adverb.

- E. ἀπαλλάττει. This means no more than "has a tendency to free." Some are beyond the reach of cure by punishment (525, C). Nor does Socrates teach here that the ultimate object of punishment is to free the bad man from his badness, as that of medicine is to cure the sick. The comparison is not to be pressed in all respects.
- 478 A. εὶ μὴ οὖτως εὐπορεῖς, if on this view of the subject you are not prepared to answer.
 - C. ἀπαλλάττεται. The subject is to be found in of laτρευόμενοι, such as are under cure, which, being indefinite, readily gives place to a singular. —— laτρευόμενος is added to explain οὖτως. —— ἀρχήν, omnino, used chiefly with negatives. Comp. Soph. Antig. 92. —— τὴν ἀρχὴν μηδὲ κτῆσις, the not even possessing it at all.
 - D. laτρική γίγνεται πονηρίας ή δίκη. Hoc dictum multorum imitatione celebratum esse docuit Wyttenbach. ad Plutarch. de sera numinis vindicta, p. 23. Stallb.
 - E. obros d' $\eta\nu$, but this was, i. e. this is, as we proved, he who, etc.
- 479 A. διαπράξηται δοτε. This verb and ἐκπράσσω are often followed by δοτε before an infinitive. Comp. Soph. Antig. 303. Eurip. Alcest. 298. —— δοπερ ἀν εί τις. In phrases like this, an apodosis to which ἀν belongs is to be supplied:

here διαπράξαιτο is to be repeated. A little below διοπερανεί παῖς == διοπερ φοβοίτο αν εί παῖς είη.

- B. τὸ ἀλγεινὸν αὐτοῦ καθορῶν is added to explain τοιοῦτόν τι. αὐτοῦ refers to δίκην διδόναι, implied in δίκην, just above.— μὴ ὑγιοῦς σώματος stands, with a brevity which is not uncommon in comparisons, for τοῦ συνοικεῖν μὴ ὑγιεῖ σώματι, in order to prevent the repetition of συνοικεῖν. Comp. 455, E, note; Soph. Antig. 75; and Soph. § 186, N. 1; K. § 323, R. 6; Cr. § 461, R. 2.
- C. παρασκευαζόμενοι. This verb can be followed by an accusative, and by a clause beginning with ὅπως. The two constructions are here united. —— ὅπως ὡν ὁσιν, the means whereby they may be. —— συμβαίνει μέγιστον κακόν. This verb may be united with the participle or infinitive of εἰμί, or with a simple predicate, as here. Comp. Soph. Electr. 261, note in my ed.
- E. τὸν ἀδικοῦντα... διδόντα, the one who, though he commits the greatest wrongs, suffers no punishment for them. —— φαίνεται, 8C. ἀποδεδεῖχθαι.
- A. αὐτὸν ἐαυτὸν ... φυλάττειν, etc. For one needs to keep 480 guard especially over himself, lest he act unjustly, on the ground that (if he so act) he will be possessed of a serious evil. The subject of φυλάττειν is τινά, with which αὐτὸν is to be joined; and the same omission of the indefinite subject occurs a few lines below. —— ὅπως μὴ ἀδικήση. One MS. has ἀδικήσει, and one other ποιήσει, just below. The old doctrine of Dawes, that ὅπως μὴ cannot be followed by a first aorist subjunctive, but requires either a second aorist subjunctive or future indicative, is now exploded by all respectable scholars.
- B. \hbar πῶs λέγομεν. λέγωμεν, which Bekker prefers, would be equally good here. Comp. τί φῶμεν, just below. The difference is, that πῶs λέγομεν = what is our opinion? πῶs λέγωμεν, what shall we say? what ought to be our opinion? what have we good reason to believe?

C. $\epsilon l \mu \dot{\eta} \epsilon l$. "Sometimes a second ϵl follows $\epsilon l \mu \dot{\eta}$, as in Latin nisi si." Mt. § 617. d; K. § 340, R. 5; Cr. § 667. 2. Stallb., on Sympos. 205, E, says: "Alterum & rem magis etiam reddit incertam, ut quod exceptioni conditionem adjiciat." —— ἐπὶ τοὐναντίον. According to Stallb., χρήσιμον είναι is here to be supplied, and the clause κατηγορείν δείν, being explanatory of τουναντίον, follows without a copula. But this view of the construction overlooks δείν. It may explained by supplying οὐ χρήσιμος . . . ἡμῖν again in thought with εὶ μή τις, etc., or in other words, Plato, studious of brevity, proceeds as if he had forgotten all of the sentence preceding οὐ χρήσιμος. For making a defence, etc., ... rhetoric is of no use at all to us (nor is it of any use), unless one should suppose, on the contrary, that he ought to be an accuser first of all of himself, etc. --- os av άεί. Here άεὶ = at any time. — άλλὰ παρέχειν μύσαντα, etc., but to give himself up, with his eyes closed and manfully, as to a physician, etc. μύσαντα expresses endurance of calamity with determination, the impressions concerning pain derived from the sense of sight, and their effects in weakening resolution, being thus prevented by the will of him who shuts his eyes. Comp. Soph. Antig. 421.

E. σοι ὁμολογεῖται. Heindorf and Coray would exclude σοι from the text. If it be the pleonastic dative, as it is called, the sense is for you, i. e. I am willing to own to you that they agree with what was said before. —— τοὐναντίον, etc. If, on the other hand, says Socrates, one would do evil to another, he must save him by the use of rhetoric from punishment. Thus the rhetoricians, who place the value of their art in doing good to a friend, and harming an enemy, do just the contrary; they harm their friends by saving them from justice, and do good to their enemies by the opposite. All this proceeds upon the principles with regard to justice and injustice which Polus has been com-

pelled to admit. The parenthesis έαν μόνον . . . εὐλαβητέον, as understood by Buttmann, amounts to this: that this method of doing evil to an enemy is only applicable in case the enemy wrongs a third person; for when the enemy wrongs the orator himself, to do evil to him thus, namely, to save him from justice, would be but exposing the orator to fresh injuries from him. By rhetoric, then, he cannot gain the point he desires, namely, to do evil to his adversary without injuring himself. — μεταβαλόντα, mutata ratione, vicissim. Comp. μεταστρέψας, 456, Ε. It agrees with the subject of moisiv. The abundance of words to denote opposition is worthy of notice: τοὐναντίον, αὖ, and this participle. —— ¿àv dè āllov, etc. dè often resumes the subject after a parenthesis. The sense of the first part of this sentence is as follows: But on the other hand, again, if, reversing the case, one has need to do harm to any person, whether enemy or any one whomsoever, - provided only one be not himself wronged by his enemy; for that must be guarded against, - if, I say, an enemy wrong another, he (that other) must procure in every way, by deed and word, that he (the enemy) suffer not punishment nor come before the judge.

A. ἀναλίσκηται. This, according to Coray, is an iso-481 lated instance of the middle of ἀναλίσκω used as the active, and to be altered into ἀναλίσκη. This word and ἀποδιδῷ are in a different tense from the acrists preceding, as containing the signification of continuance, which, however, rather belongs to μη ἀποδιδῷ taken together than to the verb in itself.

C. νη τοὺς θεοὺς ἀλλά. Comp. 466, C, note. — πότερόν σε φῶμεν... σπουδάζοντα. For verbs meaning to speak construed with a participle, see Mt. § 555, Obs. 2. — εἰ μή τι ἢν, etc. If men had not the same state of mind, some of them some one, and others some other (i. e. if classes or portions of mankind did not agree in one or another state

of mind), but each of us had a peculiar state of mind different from what the rest of mankind had, it would not be easy to manifest your own state of mind to another.

D. Town f. This construction with f, which belongs to άλλος, εναντίος, and similar words, is adopted here by ίδιος, on account of the notion of difference implied in it. — $\pi\epsilon$ -Soph. § 137, N. 6; Cr. § 337. a; K. § 241, R. 8. Then follows ¿pôrre, because δύο renders the duality of the persons more striking. — 'Alkibidov. At the time when Plato would have us suppose this dialogue to be. spoken, Alcibiades, then a man of forty and upwards, had retired for the last time from Athens, and long before that the intimacy between him and Socrates had ceased. That intimacy was of the purest kind on the part of Socrates. He saw in the young Alcibiades high natural endowments, and hoped to win him over to the love of wisdom and virtue. But political ambition and his passions were more attractive. --- τοῦ Πυριλάμπους, the son of Pyrilampes, whose name was Demus. Pyrilampes was a wealthy Athenian, and a friend of Pericles. He reared peacocks (see Plutarch. Pericl. § 13; Athenæus, p. 397, C), as did his son Demus; and this, being then a new bird in Greece, attracted visitors from so far off as Sparta and Thessaly. On the first day of the month, and at no other time, this living picturegallery was open to all. Demus was as much admired for his beauty as one of his peacocks. Hence the parody in Aristoph. Wasps, 97 (acted seventeen years before the date of this dialogue): καὶ νη Δί' ην ΐδη γέ που γεγραμμένον | τὸν Πυριλάμπους εν θύρα Δημον καλόν, ίων παρέγραψε πλησίον, "Κημός καλός," i. e. where he finds written Δημος καλός, "Demus is beautiful," he writes close by it, "Κημός"—the toppiece of the judge's ballot-box—"is beautiful." Pyrilampes also was considered as handsome and as large a man as any in Asia, whither he went on embassies to the great king and

others. (Charmides, 158, A, if another person of the name be not meant.) We find Demus commanding a galley at Cyprus, before the battle of Cnidus, which happened in 394 B. C. (Lysias de Bonis Aristoph. § 25, Bekker.) The object of Socrates here is to teach Callicles, in a playful way, that he feels constrained to follow his object of attachment, philosophy, wherever it leads him; just as Callicles obeys the whims of the people. I know not why Alcibiades is brought in, unless it be for the reason which Ast has given: that, though aside from his main object, it serves to put Socrates in contrast with the politicians and orators. "I love beauty of mind," he says, "in Alcibiades, truth and justice in philosophy; but you love external beauty in Demus, and an ignorant, unjust Athenian people." --- ὅτι . . . οὐ δυναμένου. There is here a confusion of two expressions, ὅτι . . . δύνασαι, and δυναμένου, without ὅτι, construed with oov. on thus becomes idle.

A. τῶν ἐτέρων παιδικῶν. The latter word could have an 482 honest sense. — ἔμπληκτος, fickle. It has this sense in Lysis, 214, C (where it is joined to ἀστάθμητος), Soph. Ajax, 1358, and elsewhere.

B. ἐκείνην ἐξέλεγξον... ως, refute her (philosophy) and show that. See 467, A.

C. & Σώκρατες. The sense is, O Socrates, you seem to take airs upon yourself in your discourses, being in very truth nothing but an haranguer; and in the present instance you make this harangue because the same thing has befallen Polus, which, he said, befell Gorgias in respect to you. The first clause relates to the general habit of Socrates, which, as Callicles, judging him falsely, says, was that of bringing a man into perplexity by sophistical arguments, and then of crowing over him, as from a loftier moral ground. The second clause asserts this to be true in the instance of Polus. δημηγόρος (comp. δημηγορικά, 482, E)

means one who, like a popular speaker, gains his ends by sophistry and pretence of honesty. —— ταὐτὸν παθόντος furnishes the ground or else the occasion why Socrates indulged in this spirit.

- D. ὅτι ἀγανακτοῖεν ἄν, εἶ τις μὴ φαίη, because they would be displeased if any one were to refuse. Without åν the sense would be, they were displeased. With ἄν, the verb, if put into oratio recta, would be in the optative; without ἄν, in the indicative. Comp. 461, A.
- E. σὺ γὰρ τῷ ὅττι, ὁ Σώκρατες, etc. For, O Socrates, while you profess to be in pursuit of the truth, you in reality turn (the conversation) to such vulgar and popular things as these, which are not beautiful by nature, but by law. For φορτικά, comp. Mitchell on Aristoph. Wasps, 66. It may be translated, also, disagreeable, disgusting. Schol. φορτικά ἐστι τὰ βάρος ἐμποιοῦντα, and so Ast, Stallb. It is joined with δικανικά, in the style of pleadings, in Plat. Apol. Socr. 32, A. δημηγορικά, Schol. τὰ πρὸς τὴν τῶν πολλῶν βλέποντα δόξαν, i. e. in the style of a δημηγόρος. ὡς τὰ πολλὰ δέ. δὲ is rarely found after the third word of the clause. Here, however, ὡς τ. π. are in a manner one word. See Poppo's note on ἐν τοῖς πρῶτοι δέ, Thucyd. 1. 6.
- 483 A. τοῦτο τὸ σοφὸν is in apposition with its relative, being added to explain it. κακουργεῖς ἐν τοῖς λόγοις, you deal unfairly in your discussions. ὑπερωτῶν, asking slyly. He says, that, if a person speaks of anything as according to law, Socrates changes the ground cunningly, and asks about it according to nature, and the contrary. Πώλου τὸ κατὰ νόμον αἶσχιον, etc., literally, when Polus spoke of that which was more ugly according to law, you followed up the law according to nature, i. e. in your argument you followed out law, as if it were nature. Ast, with some reason, wishes to erase τὸ κατὰ νόμον and κατὰ φύσιν, which last words are wanting in some books. The sense would then

be, When Polus spoke of that which was more ugly, you urged the law, i. e. you spoke of that which was by law more ugly. For the phrase, διωκ. κατὰ νόμον, comp. Repub. 5. 454, B, κατὰ τὸ δνομα διώκειν, to pursue an inquiry according to the letter, and not the idea. For ἐδιώκαθες (which Elmsley on Medea, 186, regards as an aorist, but which here seems to be an imperfect, and its infinitive, Euthyphron 15, D, a present). I beg leave to refer to my note on Antigone, 1096, second edition. — τὸ ἀδικεῦσθαι. οἶον, though in no MS., is added before τὸ by several editors, and assists the sense; though without it ἀδικεῦσθαι (a part) may be regarded as added in apposition, to explain πᾶν (the general idea). Being pronounced by the scribes like the ending ιον of κάκιον, οἷον might easily be absorbed by that word.

- Β. οἱ τιθέμενοι τοὺς νόμους. See 488, D.
- C. $\epsilon \kappa \phi_0 \beta_0 \hat{\nu}_{res}$. After this word, $\tau \epsilon$ stands in a few MSS. It seems to have been added to do away with the asyndeton; which, however, is allowable here, as what follows is an illustration of the foregoing. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma a \pi \hat{\omega} \sigma_i \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, etc. For they are contented if they are put on an equality when they are inferior. $\dot{\eta} \dots \dot{\phi} \dot{\omega} \sigma_{is}$. Stallb. observes, that olumn is sometimes interposed between a noun and its article or preposition.
- D. δηλοί. Not φύσις δηλοί, but ταῦτα δηλοί, these things show that they are so. But Stallb. and Ast give the verb an intransitive sense here. These things are evident that they are so, i. e. it is evident.
- E. κατὰ φύσιν τὴν τοῦ δικαίου. The three last words, though in all the MSS., are looked on by several editors as interpolated. Ast retains them, translating φύσιν δικαίου, not the nature of justice, but natural justice. He remarks, that a noun governing a genitive may sometimes be resolved into an adjective qualifying that genitive; and cites,

in his support, Aristoph. Plut. 268, & χρυσον ἀγγείλας ἐπῶν, i. e. golden words; Phædrus, 275, A, σοφίας . . . ἀλήθειαν πορίζεις, true wisdom. — δν ἡμεῖς τιθέμεθα πλάττοντες, etc. The primary idea, as Heindorf observes, is expressed by the participle. The sense is, Not however, perhaps, according to that law which we enact, (thereby) moulding those among us who have the best gifts and most strength; — taking them in their youth, by our incantations and juggleries, we tame them as we would lions, etc. The asyndeton at ἐκ νέων is like a number already noticed: the clause is epexegetical of the foregoing. See 450, B. τοως is used sarcastically, the thing being regarded as certain by Callicles.

A. arnip, according to Stallb. and Ast, is here used un-484 emphatically as a man, a person. If contempt were expressed, ἄνθρωπος would have been chosen, as in 518, C. - - ἀποσεισάμενος contains a figure drawn from a horse throwing his rider. γράμματα, written ordinances. έπαναστάς, etc. He rises upon us and turns out our master. - this slave that was, i. e. this one whose spirit we had curbed by laws against nature. The agrists ἀνεφάνη, ἐξέλαμψε, denote an action wholly indefinite in regard to time, and thus answer to car yental in the protasis, instead of presents or futures. The aorist, in such cases, represents a general truth as a matter of experience; the present, as something oft occurring in the existing state of things; the future, as something sure to happen, as the effect of existing causes.

B. νόμος δ πάντων βασιλεύς. This fragment of an uncertain poem of Pindar's is often referred to, especially by Plato. It is treated of at large by Boeckh, Pind. Vol. III. 640. Boeckh makes it probable that the words κατὰ φύσιν, or something equivalent, belong to the passage; φησίν, having the same sound, and almost the same letters, as φύσιν,

may have caused that word to be omitted. obros de dh are interposed by Callicles. Something like this followed in Pindar: ἐπεὶ Γηρυόνα βόας Κυκλωπίων ἐπὶ προθύρων Εὐρυσθέος αναιτήτας (?) τε και απριάτας ήλασεν. Coray supposes that Callicles perverted the sense of Pindar, but the same turn is given to the words in Leges, 10. 890, A, and Aristides (2. 69, Dindorf) knows no other. The sense of ayes δικαιών τὸ βιαιότατον, according to Boeckh, is affert vim maximam, justam eam efficiens; i.e. law (the law of nature) makes use of might, and calls it right. Tyes and discussive have the same object. Socrates interprets ayer, below (488, B), in the sense of carrying off, plundering, which is suited to the action of Hercules. Hence Ast derives his translation, lex abigit s. rapit, ex suo jure agens, violentissime; where τὸ β. is treated as an adverbial phrase. But Aristides, by using the opposite phrase, αγει τὰ δίκαια πρεσβεύων, shows that to Biaiorarov is at least the object of disain. - τούτου refers forward to καὶ βοῦς . . . είναι.

- C. The changes of number here are worthy of notice:
 τις... άψηται, ... τῶν ἀνθρώπων, ... εὐφυὴς ἢ, ... γίγνονται.
 ἡλικία de ætate juvenili intelligendum. Itaque πόρρω
 τῆς ἡλικίας est ultra juventutem. Stallb. πόρρω can take a
 genitive in two relations. 1. That of the thing from which
 one is far off; as, Phædrus, 238, D, οὐκέτι πόρρω διθυράμβων φθέγγομαι, my words are not far from the style of
 dithyrambs. 2. That in respect of which one is far advanced; as Symposium, 217, D, διελεγόμην πόρρω τῶν νυκτῶν, I conversed to a late hour of the night; infra 486, A,
 πόρρω ἀεὶ τῆς φιλοσοφίας ἐλαύνοντας, always pushing forwards
 in philosophy; and in the text the sense can be, to too late
 a period of his youth.
- D. καλὸς κἀγαθὸς ἀνήρ, in the mouth of Callicles, means quite another thing from what the same words would intend if used by Socrates. In earlier times the optimates were

181

so called, i. e. the name was given to men of a certain birth. By the standard of Callicles, it would belong to a man of the highest rank in public estimation. The moral character of the individual gave him a right to this title, in the estimation of Socrates. Comp. Welcker, Præf. to Theognis, p. 25.—— ἐν τοῦς συμβολαίοις, in stipulations, or business transactions in general. The word denotes both private and public contracts, and treaties of commerce.—— ἡθῶν, characters.

E. τὸ τοῦ E. See 465, D. The following lines are from the Antiope, and, as the Schol. says, from a speech of Zethus to Amphion. Valckenaer, in his Diatribe on the frag. of Eurip. (the seventh and eighth chapters of which are devoted to this play), gives these words to Amphion. - w auros auros, etc., where, i. e. in whatsoever, he happens to do his best, whereinsoever he most excels. One MS. only has τυγχάνει, a reading which the editors before Stallb. generally preferred. But it is now admitted on all hands, that in the poets relative words occasionally are joined to the subjunctive without av. Cousin translates this line, "afin de se surpasser lui-même." It is strange that he did not see that in the very next line Plato interprets the ancient and poetical iva, where (which the Attic prosewriters did not entirely give up), by onou av. - For avros αὐτοῦ, see Soph. § 144, N. 4, and § 232; and for βέλτιστος aὐτοῦ, § 177, N. 5; Cr. § 464. The comparative with the reflexive pronoun in the genitive denotes the having gone beyond a previous or usual state; the superlative with the same, the possession of the quality in the highest degree to which the person spoken of attains.

485 A. εὐνοία τῆ ἐαντοῦ, out of regard to himself, through self-love. The genitive is objective, as is the possessive pronoun, 486, A, εὐνοία τῆ σῆ. — ὅσον παιδείας χάριν, just for the sake of education. — ὁμοιστατον πάσχω, I feel very

much the same thing. Το δμοιος, in the second clause, δσπερ succeeds, instead of δπερ or οἶον. Comp. Xen. Symposium, 4. 37, δμοιά μοι δοκοῦσι πάσχειν δσπερ εἶ τις πολλὰ ἐσθίων
μηδέποτε ἐμπίπλαιτο, and 518, B.

D. ἔφη ὁ ποιητής, in Iliad, 9. 441.

182

- E. ikarór, satisfactory, answerable to his powers, or to the expectations formed of him. —— μηδέποτε. Heusdius μηδέν excidisse suspicabatur. Sed vere monuit Boeckhius (in Plat. Minoem et Leges Comment. p. 112) sæpius ita τι et μηδέν omitti. Stallb. —— ἐπιεικῶς ἔχω φιλικῶς, am quite friendly.
- A. In the Antiope of Euripides, a dialogue between the 486 brothers Zethus and Amphion was contained, in which the former, who was a shepherd, exhorts the other to give up the art of music, to which he had devoted himself. dialogue, as the remains show, involved a brilliant comparison between the life of the practical man and of one devoted to the arts. See Appendix, No. 3. --- μειρακιώδει ... μορφώματι, you strive to ornament a soul so nobly en. ... dowed by a puerile form, or outside. μορφώματι denotes external decoration; here, the musical and poetical pursuits of Amphion. — οῦτ' ἀν δίκης, etc., nor in the counsels of justice couldst thou put forth thy words, nor take hold of anything probable and persuasive; i.e. Zethus denies to his brother the power to defend himself in suits at law, and to use the arts of persuasion. —— ἀπαγάγοι. The word points at the anayouth of Attic law, a summary process by which the accused could be dragged before the proper magistrate, and locked in prison, without previous citation. One of the crimes to which this process was applicable was ασέβεια, the offence for which Socrates, though by another process, was actually tried. There is an allusion below, no doubt, to what actually happened; to the seeming helplessness and unskilfulness of Socrates at his trial; to his

accusers, who were men of little influence or repute; and especially to the leading one, Meletus, a bad poet and a bad man; as well as to the penalty of death, which they attached to their indictment. — adureir, says Stallb., accipiendum pro ηδικηκέναι. Heindorf on Protag. p. 310, D, makes the same remark, and brings a number of examples in proof of it. One is from Lysias, p. 678, Reiske: of &' πδικηκότες έκπριάμενοι τους κατηγόρους ουδέν έδοξαν άδικείν. According to Ast, the present includes the past, = to have done and to be still doing wrong. This is often true, but it will not explain such a case as this from Lysias, p. 136, Reiske, cited by Heindorf: ἀξιώ δέ, & βουλή, εἰ μὲν ἀδικώ, μηδεμιᾶς συγγνώμης τυγχάνειν, where one crime some time before committed is spoken of. The true explanation is, perhaps, that ἀδικῶ properly means, I am a wrongdoer, as well as I am doing wrong. But he is a wrongdoer who has done wrong.

Β. κατηγόρου . . . μοχθηροῦ, a very common and paltry sort of accuser; referring to the accuser's standing in general. — θανάτου . . . τιμᾶσθαι, to lay his damages against you at death, which happily is an expression we do not use, because our law, unlike that of Athens, never places human life in the power of a vile or revengeful accuser, and of a throng of unrestricted judges. τιμᾶσθαι, to make his own estimate, set his price, is the usual word for the plaintiff's claim of satisfaction, whether pecuniary or penal. The court were said repair. The defendant was said dutiτιμᾶσθαι, to estimate in his turn what ought to be the verdict, or ὑποτιμᾶσθαι, to give his reduced estimate, in case he had been voted guilty by the judges. And all this was allowed only in certain suits called αγώνες τιμητοί, or those in which the laws had not settled the penalty, but left it to the judges. One of these was doesea, for which Socrates was tried. On being found guilty, his ὑποτίμημα was called

for; and instead of naming some small mulct which might have saved his life, he named support in the Prytaneum. This led the judges, who usually chose between the accuser's estimate and that of the accused, to sentence him to death. — περισυλᾶσθαι, ζην. These infinitives, as Stallb. remarks, depend on ἔθηκε, which is followed at first by a participle, δυνάμενον, added as a paraphrase of the poet's χείρονα, and then by an infinitive. — ἀτεχνῶς. See 491. A.

- C. εἶ τι καὶ ἀγροικότερον. See 509, A, note.—— ἐπὶ κόρὑης τύπτειν, to strike a person upon the side of the head or temples, is spoken only of blows with the flat hand, and was the highest insult at Athens. See 527, D.——πραγμάτων . . . εὐμουσίαν. This expression is from Eurip., and alludes to Amphion's pursuit. His brother says, No longer practise music, but musicalness of conduct, i. e. that which is in harmony with your nature and powers.
- D. ἀγαθά. A. Gellius (10. 22) has quoted the passage from φιλοσοφία γάρ, 484, C, to this place, but misapprehends its import. την ἀρίστην is in opposition to τινα τῶν λίθων. Just above we should expect αἶς βασανίζουσι for η̅, referring to τούτων τῶν λίθων; but η̅ comes from Plato's having τινα λίθων in his mind. προσαγαγών, admovens, is used with allusion to gold, which was brought to the touchstone to be rubbed upon it, that a judgment might be formed by the color. The order here is, πρὸς ἡν προσαγαγών αὐτην, ἔμελλον εὖ εἴσεσθαι εἰ. Comp. Herodot. 7. 10: "Pure gold we do not distinguish by itself, but when we rub it (viz. on the touchstone) by the side of other gold, then we distinguish the better."
- E. a = a
- A. $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \pi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \ldots \mu \hat{\eta}$, as to the soul's living rightly and 487 the opposite.

- B. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau o \hat{o} \delta \hat{c} o \nu \tau o s$. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ is often thus used with a comparative instead of a positive; and in the same way $\pi \hat{\epsilon} \rho a$, just below, 487, D.
- C. Andron is mentioned in Protag. 315, C. He was probably the father of Androtion, an orator and disciple of Isocrates, against whom an oration of Demosthenes was written. ἐπήκουσα. So Bekker, Ast, and others, with most MSS. Stallb. prefers ὑπήκουσα, I overheard. (This he retracts in his second edition.)
- D. εὐλαβεῖσθαι . . . διαφθαρέντες. Strikingly like Ecclesiastes vii. 16: "Neither make thyself overwise. Why shouldst thou destroy thyself?"
- E. δηλον ὅτι are often interposed in the middle of a sentence, without having an effect on the construction. And so οἶοθ' ὅτι. τέλος τῆς ἀληθείας, de veritate perfecta et consummata accipio. Heindorf. τὸν ἄνδρα, i. e. mankind. The article is used because ἀνηρ has its widest generic sense, and thus forms a definite whole.
- 488 A. εδ Ισθι τοῦτο ότι. τοῦτο traxerim ad εξαμαρτάνω. Nam Demostheni familiaris formula εδ Ισθι τοῦθ' ότι, Platoni, quod sciam, non item. Heindorf.
 - B. äyew. See 484, B, note. If äyew is there correctly explained by Boeckh, we must suppose that Socrates plays upon the word, without essentially injuring the sense of the passage from Pindar.
 - C. ἀκροᾶσθαι, obedire, like ἀκούειν. τότε, i. e. antea, quum de his rebus disputares. Sic τότε passim ponitur. Stallb. τί ποτε λέγεις. The present embraces the whole time of the present discussion. Hence it is here for ἔλεγες.
 - D. of $\delta \dot{\eta}$ rai, etc. Since, indeed, they even make laws for the one, i. e. to control the one. The relative, as often elsewhere, renders a reason; i. e. = the demonstrative with $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$. $\tau i \theta \epsilon \mu a \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \sigma \nu$ is used of a people, or one empowered by them, making laws, the maker being one of the party

to be governed; τίθημι νόμον, of a sovereign or a divine lawgiver.

- A. ὅπως μὴ ἀλώσει. See Soph. § 214, N. 3; Cr. § 602. 489 3; K. § 330, R. 4. —— αἰσχυνόμενος. Socrates refers with admirable irony to 482, C, D. —— ἴνα . . . βεβαιώσωμα, etc., that I may get confirmation (for it) from you, seeing that a man who is competent to decide has admitted it.
- B. κινδυνεύεις οὐκ ἀληθῆ λέγειν, it seems that you were not speaking the truth; for λέγειν is the infinitive of the imperfect. Δ... κακουργῶ. He quotes what Callicles said, 483, A. ὀνόματα θηρεύων, verba aucupans. Comp. 490, A. The same metaphor is seen in our word captious. ῥήματι ἀμαρτεῦν, to use a wrong expression.
- C. ἢ οἷει με λέγειν, etc. Or do you think my opinion to be, that if a rabble should be collected of slaves and of all sorts of men, of no account except by reason of their bodily strength, and these persons should say anything, that these very things which they say ought to have the force of law. Join τῷ ἰσχυρίσασθαι together. This verb can mean pollere, contendere, fidere. For the first meaning, which is less common, but seems to belong to it here, comp. Dio Cass. 44, p. 406, Reimar: χαλεπὸν ἰσχυρίζομενόν τι τῷ σώματι φρονιμώτατον ἐκβῆναι, difficile est corpore esse valido, et animo prudenti. φῶσιν must be taken absolutely, without an object, in the sense of decreeing, determining, but with contemptuous disparagement; unless we read, with Heindorf, ἄττα for αὐτά. And αὐτὰ ταῦτα refers to the edicts implied in φῶσιν.
- D. τοὺς δύο . . . τοῦ ἐτός. As the numerals are opposed to one another, they have a certain definiteness. Hence the article. So τὰ δύο μέρη, sc. out of three, two thirds. Comp. Cr. § 483. τί ποτε λέγεις τοὺς βελτίους is as legitimate an expression as τίνας ποτε, which Routh wished to put into its place.

- E. προδίδασκε. Schol. περιττεύει ἡ πρόθεσις 'Αττικῶς. I believe that in προδιδάσκειν, προμανθάνειν (Aristoph. Clouds, 476, 966), πρὸ means forwards, and that it is prefixed without adding much to the meaning of the verbs, because the idea of advance is involved in learning and teaching. This word alludes to a school, as is shown by ἀποφοιτήσω. οὐ μὰ τὸν Ζῆθον. Comp. 485, E. Most MSS. want οὐ, which, however, is necessary here, for although μὰ is almost confined to oaths expressly or impliedly negative, it is in itself merely affirmative, being connected, perhaps, in origin with μήν, μέν. οἱ σὺ χρώμενος, etc., whose words you used of me just now with much raillery. ὀνόματα λέγεις, you utter mere words.
- 490 B. πολλοὶ ἀθρόοι, multi simul. Sæpenumero sic junguntur. Stallb.
 - C. ἢ οὖν τούτων τῶν σιτίων, etc. Must he then have more of these provisions than we, because he is better; or ought he, in virtue of his authority, to distribute them all, etc.

 εὶ μὴ μέλλει ζημιοῦσθαι, unless he intends to pay the penalty (by making himself ill). πάντων ἐλάχιστον τῷ βελτίστῳ. Supply ἐκτέον.
 - D. ποίων ἱματίων; sc. δεῖ αὐτὸν πλέον ἔχειν. ποῖος is often used in questions conveying wonder, indignation, or contempt. Aristoph. Clouds, 366, 'O Zεὐς...οὐ θεός ἐστιν; | ποῖος Ζεύς; οὐ μὴ ληρήσεις; οὐδ' ἔστι Ζεύς. Just below we have ποῖα ὑποδήματα... ἔχων; what shoes are you talking nonsense about? ἔχων here takes an accusative, unless we write ποῖα ὑποδήματα; φλυαρεῖς ἔχων. Of this last phrase explanations, not quite satisfactory, are given by Hermann on Viger, note 228; Mt. § 567; Cr. § 632; K. § 668, R. 1 (largest Gr.). Comp. 497, A.
 - Ε. ἀλλὰ καὶ περὶ τῶν αὐτῶν. Comp. Xen. Mem. 4. 4. 6, δ δέ γε ταύτου δεινότερον, ἔφη, δ Ἱππία, οὐ μόνον ἀεὶ τὰ αὐτὰ λέγω, ἀλλὰ καὶ περὶ τῶν αὐτῶν · σὰ δ' ἴσως, διὰ τὸ πολυμαθής εἶναι, περὶ τῶν αὐτῶν οὐδέποτε τὰ αὐτὰ λέγεις.

- A. drexvos, absolutely, positively. You never stop talk-491 ing always about cobblers and fullers and cooks, and positively nothing else. In the sense without art, the penult has the acute accent. —— del . . . λέγων . . . οὐδεν παύει. del is joined thus redundantly with o'der matomas again, 517, C, and in Leges, 2. 662, E. Socrates was often thus reproached or derided for drawing his illustrations from homely sources. He was led to it by love of simplicity, contempt for pretension, the desire to find a general truth by means of familiar instances, and frequent conversations with artisans. See a fine passage in Sympos. 221, Ε. — περὶ τίνων . . . πλέον έχων. Heind. remarks that περί τι, not περί τινος, is the usual formula with πλέον έχειν. - τούς κρείττους οι είσιν, etc., by the better, namely, by who they are I do not mean, etc. of elow dictum est cum abundantia quadam qualem Callicles in hac oratione sectatur passim. Poterat enim omitti. Stallb.
- B. For κατηγορείν with the genitive of the person, see Soph. § 183. 2 (§ 194, N. 3).
- D. τί δέ; ... ἀρχομένους; The reading and pointing here are quite uncertain. The passage in brackets is omitted by Bekker, after one MS. It has the look of an explanation of τί δέ. Nor does Socrates afterwards do anything with ἀρχομένους, while ἄρχοντας is easily supplied with αὐτῶν. The sense without this passage is, But what? Does justice consist in this, that those who rule themselves should have more than others. Stallbaum's reading in his second ed. is αὐτῶν . . . τί [ἡ τί] ἄρχοντας ἡ ἀρχομένους; where τί is quatenus, qua in parte. But how can ἀρχομένους be the subject of πλέον ἔχειν? or how could he say quatenus sibimet ipsis imperantes unless he had already spoken of governing one's self. ἡ τοῦτο μὲν οὐδὲν δεῖ. δεῖ sometimes takes an accusative of the thing, when that is a pronoun.

- E. &s ήδὺς εἶ answers nearly to the French comme vous êtes plaisant! how ridiculous or foolish you are! γλυκὺς is used in the same way. τοὺς ἢλιθίους λέγεις τοὺς σώφρονας, you mean those fools the temperate. The one accusative is in apposition with the other; unless, with Stallb., we make τοὺς ἢλιθίους the predicate-accusative; in which case the sense is, by the temperate you mean the silly. The sophists struck a disastrous blow against morality by giving it this title. Comp. Repub. 348, D. "What!" says Socrates to the sophist Thrasymachus; "do you call justice (κακίαν) badness?" "No," said he, "but (πάνν γενναίαν εὐήθειαν) very noble folly." πάνν γε σφόδρα, sc. τοῦτο λέγεις.
- 492 В. οίς . . . υπηρξεν . . . υίέσιν είναι ή αυτούς . . . ίκανούς. elva belongs to both clauses. For the transition from the dat. dependent on the verb to the accus. construed with the infinitive, comp. 510, E, fin., and Soph. Electra, 962. τί . . . κάκιον είη, for τί αν . . . κάκιον είη. Comp. τίς . . . κατάσχοι, Soph. Antig. 605; Mt. § 515, Obs. aν may have dropped out here, as ri itself is wanting in ten MSS., both being absorbed, so to speak, by the last two syllables of δυναστείαν. — οἰς εξόν, etc. A contracted expression for οί, έξον αὐτοῖς . . . αὐτοὶ ἐπαγάγοιντο, which is much the same as el, estor aurois, etc., if, when they had it in their power, ... they were themselves to introduce. Comp. Repub. 465, fin.: "Do you remember that some one reproved us, because we, in his opinion, made (ποιοίμεν) our guards not happy, οίς έξον πάντα έχειν τὰ τῶν πολιτῶν οὐδὲν έχοιεν, who, when they could possess everything belonging to the citizens, were to have nothing."

- E. &s γε σὺ λέγεις... ὁ βίος, such a life as you mention.

 The lines here quoted are probably from the Polyidus of Eurip., and very similar to another fragment from his Phrixus. The second trimeter is completed by κάτω νομίζεται. The passage is parodied by Aristoph. in the Frogs, 1477.
- A. The singular passage next following is introduced 493 by the way, and perhaps half in sport. At the beginning of an argument concerning the good and the pleasant, Socrates takes breath a moment, and changes reasoning for playful illustration. He first mentions an opinion concerning the true life, which was expressed by the Orphic and Pythagorean theologists; - that the body is the tomb of the soul, release from which will admit it into real existence. To this dogma Plato alludes in Cratylus, 400, C. He says (ironically throughout), upon the derivation of σῶμα, that it may come from σημα, because some call the body the σημα of the soul, as being that in which in this present it is buried; or because the soul on pairer by means of the body its thoughts and wishes. But he thinks that the name is due to the followers of Orpheus especially, who taught that the soul was inclosed and kept (σώζειν) in it to atone for its crimes in an earlier state. This derivation, he says, would require no change of letter. The Pythagorean Philolaus (Boeckh's Philolaus 181, Clem. Alex. Strom. 3. 3, p. 518, Potter) says, that "the old theologers and diviners testify, that the soul is joined to the body to suffer a certain penalty, and is buried in it καθάπερ ἐν σάματι." To this, and to the

comparison of the body to a prison, there is frequent allusion. Socrates now passes on to an allegorical explanation of the fable of the Danaides, which illustrates the unsatisfactory nature of devotion to animal desire. It was the doctrine of some Mysteries (the Orphic or Bacchic especially), that the initiated fared better in the world below than the uninitiated, and use was made of this fable to show the difference in their condition. The fable was afterwards spiritualized, as we see in the text, and applied to the soul and its parts. It may be doubted who is the author of this punning allegory. Boeckh contends that it was Philolaus, who was a native of Croton or Tarentum. But there is no evidence that this allegory, and the dogma first spoken of, are to be attributed to the same person. The Schol. refers it to Empedocles, and Olympiod. (apud Stallb.) does the same. But their assertions may be mere guesses. Ast regards it as Plato's own invention, playfully ascribed to an Italian or Sicilian, for the purpose of laughing at the countrymen of Polus and Gorgias. — της δε ψυχης, etc. And that that part of the soul in which the desires lodge is capable of being persuaded, and of changing from one side to the other. There may be a side-thrust at rhetoric, the object of which is $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon i \nu$, when it is said that the part of the soul which contains the desires is moved this way and that by persuasion. — μυθολογῶν, expressing in the form of a fable. The ensuing words, as Buttmann, in Heindorf's ed., observes, seem to be taken from a song of Timocreon of Rhodes, a lyric poet contemporary with the Persian war; of which song a few words in Ionic a minore dimeters preserved by Hephæstion (p. 71, Gaisford) are as follows: Σικελός κομψός ἀνήρ ποτί τὰν ματέρ' ἔφα. - κομψός. this word, Ruhnken (Timæus s. v.) says: κομψον dicitur quicquid scitum et venustum est. Plerisque autem locis, apud Platonem vox habet aliquid ironiæ Socraticæ, ut non tam de vera et naturali, quam de nimia et adscititia venustate capienda videtur. Gorg. 521, E. Pro splendidis nugis sumendum est Gorg. 486, C. Neque tamen desunt loci ubi simpliciter et sine ironia ad laudem referatur: which he considers to be the case here. It answers to nice, fine, refined, and witty. I cannot help thinking that it here contains something of irony. —— παράγων τῷ δνόματι, making a change in the word, altering its sound a little.

τῶν δ' ἀμυήτων, etc., and that that part of the soul of the uninitiated, where the desires reside, — its incontinent and irretentive part, - he said that this was a cask with holes in it; making the comparison on account of its ἀπληστία. ἀμυήτους, besides its similarity to ἀνοήτους, seems to have a double sense, uninitiated (à, μυεῖν) and not closing, unable to contain, as if from à, μύειν. The construction is completed by supplying έφη, suggested by ωνόμασε. αὐτοῦ seems to refer to ψυχης, although no reason appears why the neuter should here be chosen. Heindorf wished to read διὰ τὸ ἀκόλαστον, in which case αὐτοῦ would refer to τοῦτο τῆς ψυχης. — τουναντίον and σοι are to be joined, the opposite of what you have expressed. - + to deides on heyor, meaning of course the invisible, i. e. the intellectual, as opposed to the material. Comp. Phædo 80, D, ή δὲ ψυχὴ ἄρα, τὸ ἀειδές, τὸ εἰς τοιοῦτον ἔτερον τόπον οἰχόμενον. --- οῦτοι . . . κοσκίνφ, that these uninitiated persons are, as it would seem (elev av), the most wretched, and carry water into the cask with holes in a sieve likewise perforated. The early mysteries seem to have consisted of purifications, the effect of which was to remove guilt. Hence the initiated escaped the punishment in the future world which was to fall on others. This was denoted by making use of certain fables of the poets, which exhibited the popular view of the punishment of great offenders, and applying them to the uninitiated.

- C. ἄτε οὐ δυναμένην, etc., on account, namely, of its inability to retain, through unbelief and forgetfulness. ἀπιστίαν alludes to πίθον. ἐπιεικῶs. Non explicuerim cum Heindorfio satis, admodum, sed habet vim affirmandi atque concedendi, ut Latinorum utique, sane quidem. Stallb. Ut candide loquar. Routh. ὑπό τι, aliquatenus, quodammodo. Stallb. δηλοῖ μὴν, etc. Yet they make that clear, by the exhibition of which I wish to persuade you if in any wise I can to change your mind. μεταθέσθαι, sc. γνώμην οτ ψῆφον. The next words explain μεταθέσθαι, and therefore have no need of καί, which is in some editions. Just below, μετατίθεσαι is used in what is called the constructio prægnans like ἐξελέγχω, p. 482, B. The sense is, And do you change your opinion, and say that, etc.
- D. ἐκ τοῦ αὐτοῦ γυμνασίου τῷ νῦν, from the same school with that just now, from some allegorizing sophist. For ὁ αὐτὸς followed by the dative, in brief phrases, see Soph. § 195, N. 3. —— After οἶον supply λέγοις ἄν.
- E. νάματα . . . ἐκάστου τούτων, liquors belonging to, or put into, each of these tubs.
- 494 B. χαραδριοῦ. A bird, so called from the ravines and beds of torrents where it lives, of a yellowish color, and very voracious. ὅμα τῷ ἐσθιειν ἐκκρίνει, says the Schol. The Schol. on this place, and many others, mention that these birds were reputed to cure the jaundice by being looked at; whence those who brought them into town for sale kept them covered, lest they should effect a cure for nothing. More about the bird will be found in Schneider on Aristot. Hist. Animal., Vol. IV. 80, seq. He thinks it to be the Charadrius ædicnemus or C. hiaticula of Linnæus.

 τὸ τοιόνδε λέγεις, οἷον, do you allow that there is such a thing as.
 - C. λέγω, καλ, etc. λέγω, to be repeated after καλ in the

494, C.

sense of speaking of, takes a personal object with which the participles agree. The sense is, Yes, and I speak of (allow that there is such a thing as) a man having all the other desires, and able to live happily by taking pleasure in feeding them. — όπως μή, i. e. σκόπει όπως μή, as usual. ἀπαισχυνεῖ is used with allusion to what Callicles has said of the modesty of Gorgias and Polus, as in 489, A. — κνώμενον διατελοῦντα τὸν βίον explains the preceding clause. Hence the asyndeton. — δημηγόρος, coarse, vulgar.

- D. ἀνδρεῖος γὰρ εῖ. This alludes ironically to his definition of the βέλτιστοι as being the ἀνδρεῖοι (491, C).
- E. τὸ... κεφάλαιον, id quod rerum hujus generis (i. e. turpissimarum) caput est. Ast. κεφάλαιον is in apposition with δ βίος.—— ἀνέδην, freely or openly.
- A. ἀνομολογούμενος, inconsistent, disagreeing; from όμο-495 λογούμενος, used as an adjective, and ἀ priv. —— διαφθείρεις ... λόγους, you make what we have said before good for nothing.
- Β. καὶ γὰρ σύ. Well, what of that? For you do too,
 i. e. παρὰ τὰ δοκοῦντα σαυτῷ λέγεις.
- C. διελοῦ τάδε, etc. Explain the following. You mean something probably by ἐπιστήμη (certain knowledge), do you not? ἄλλο τι οὖν, etc. Did you not accordingly, on the ground that knowledge was a different thing from manliness, speak of these as two? The allusion in this and the prior question is to 491, A, B. With τὴν ἀνδρείαν, οὖσαν is to be supplied. The accus. absol. is often found without the participle of εἰμὶ expressed. Bekker, without MS. authority, adds δν after ἔτερον, which is a very probable conjecture, as δν may easily have been swallowed up by ἔτερον. The participle δν would be attracted in gender to the predicate.
- D. δ'Αχαρνεύs. Socrates playfully but severely imitates the solemn style of covenants, in which the demus of the

parties was mentioned. Some of the *demi*, as that to which Socrates belonged, had no corresponding adjective forms. The want was in most cases supplied by an adverb in $\theta \in \nu$ taken with the article.

- 496 A. περὶ ὅτου . . . ἀπολαβών, look at this with regard to any part of the body you please, taking it by itself, or separately. —— φ, which disease, refers to νοσεῦν implied in νοσεῦ.
 - C. ὑπερφυῶς ὡς. Comp. 447, D.
 - D. οὐκοῦν τούτου οὐ λέγεις, etc. In that of which you are speaking, the one part, viz. διψῶντα, being thirsty, is then feeling pain, is it not? He was speaking of διψῶντα πίνειν.
 - E. κατὰ τὸ πίνειν χαίρειν λέγεις; do you speak of taking pleasure so far forth as the act of drinking is concerned? i. e. does the pleasure go with the drinking? λυπούμενον; at the same time that the person feels pain? εἴιτε ψυχῆς εἴιτε σώματος. Stallb. would read ψυχῆς πέρι. But there can be no objection to taking τόπον with the genitives; and χρόνον is excused by being in its company.
- 497 A. γίγνεται. See 525, E, note. ἀκκίζει. Olymp. apud Stallb. προσποιή μωρίαν καὶ τὸ μὴ εἰδέναι. Μœris defines ἀκκισμὸς as the Attic expression for προσποίησις. From examples of the use of the word, its meaning evidently is, to pretend that you do not, particularly to decline taking a thing (as food at table) when you want it. Comp. Coray on Heliodor. 2. 64. θρύπτομαι has sometimes much the same sense, e. g. in Plut. Anton. § 12, where it is used of the feigned reluctance of Cæsar to wear the crown. ὅτι ἔχων ληρεῖς. Comp. 490, E, note. Stallb. (first ed.) and Ast suppose this to be a gloss. Stallb. (second ed.), after Winckelmann on Euthydem. 295, C (quite a parallel passage, οὐκ ἀποκρίνει, ἔφη, πρὸς ἃ ἀν ὑπολαμβάνης, ὅτι ἔχων φλυαρεῖς καὶ ἀρχαιότερος εἶ τοῦ δέοντος), gives καὶ πρόῦθί γε...

νουθετείε to Callicles, and οὐχ άμα . . . πίνειν to Socrates. On this passage we may remark, - 1. That on examples, a choice Attic expression, has not the look of a gloss, and it is not easy to say what it is a gloss upon. 2. The phrase is not in dramatic keeping with the politeness of the Platonic Socrates. But then, 3. As Callicles wishes to break off the discourse, πρόϊθι είς τουμπροσθεν is not what he would say. 4. poutereis can only point at the advice given by Callicles to Socrates, on pp. 484-486, and not to anything said by Socrates. Comp. 488, A, women hope roubeτεῖν με, referring to Callicles. 5. There is no mark of a change of person at ουχ άμα, and little at και πρόϊθι. These last considerations induce me to reject Winckelmann's view, and to regard it even more probable that ore exer ληρείς are words spoken by Socrates. But I can arrive at no sure affirmative conclusion respecting the passage.

- B. οὐ σὴ αὕτη ἡ τιμή, this damage or cost is not yours, i. e. this does you no harm.
- C. ὅτι τὰ μεγάλα μεμύησαι. There is an elegant allusion to the mysteries of Ceres; which were divided into the small, held in the city, and the great, held chiefly at Eleusis. The latter could not be witnessed until a year or more after initiation into the other. The sentiment is something like that in Artegall's words to the Giant:—
 - "For how canst thou those greater secrets know, That dost not know the least thing of them all? Ill can he rule the great, that cannot reach the small."
- —— δθεν ἀπέλιπες ἀποκρίνου, answer beginning where you left off. The usual construction of ἄρχω with an adverb of motion is here adopted by ἀποκρίνομαι. —— πεινῶν is a participle; παύεται here adopts two constructions.
- D. δμολογεῖσθαι seems to mean to agree with itself; σοι being the ethical dative, and τὸν λόγον or ταῦτα understood the subject. But ὁμολ. σοι may also denote to be consistent with your previous admissions. Comp. 487, D.

- E. τοὺς οἶς ἐν κάλλος παρŷ. Supply καλοὺς καλεῖς. The article is here used as a demonstrative, a usage not uncommon before a relative sentence introduced by ôς, ὄσος, or οἶος.
- 498 A. ἀμφότεροι ἔμοιγε μᾶλλον, i. e. χαίρειν δοκοῦσι. This is said in contempt, as if Socrates were not deserving of a sensible answer.
 - C. ἡ καὶ ἔτι μᾶλλον, etc. If cowards, who, according to Callicles, are the bad, feel more pleasure and pain than brave men when enemies retire and advance, and if pleasure and pain are the same as good and evil, then the bad are both bad and good in a higher degree than the good, which is absurd. After μᾶλλον ἀγαθοί, the MSS. have οἱ ἀγαθοί, which Routh and succeeding editors have justly left out, as wholly perverting the sense.
 - E. δὶς γάρ τοι, etc. A proverb, imputed by the Schol. to Empedocles, a part of one of whose hexameters v. 164 in Sturz's Emped.) is καὶ δὶς γὰρ δ δεῖ καλόν ἐστιν ἐνισπεῖν. τοι, you know, is often used in making familiar remarks or citing well-known passages. A little below, in 499, B, πάλαι τοι, it has, according to Stallb., "vim confirmandi cum quadam admiratione vel indignatione," = really, or don't you know.
- 499 B. ωs δή, see 468, E.——loù sometimes expresses grief, sometimes joy, or, as here, wonder. It is oxytoned by all the editors of Plato. Others would write loù, either always, or when it does not denote grief.
 - C. at, again, refers to 491, C. He is again inconsistent with himself. —— ixtires eira, if you could help it, if you had your way about it. See Soph. § 221, N. 3; Cr. § 623, N.; K. § 306, R. 8. According to Hermann (Append. to Viger, de pleonasmo), it is not simply sponte, but quantum quis sponte quid faciat, and is used "de eo potissimum quod quis facere detrectat." Dr. Arnold (on Thu-

- cyd. 2. 89), after Hermann, says that exer eival "is used generally in negative sentences where the speaker wishes to qualify his denial or refusal, by saying that he will not do it if he can help it, but that very possibly he may not be able to help it." He adds, that in Prometheus, 266 (ἐκὼν έκων ήμαρτον), έκων είναι would make nonsense. — τὸ παρὸν εὐ ποιεῦν, to do well what is in one's power, to make the best of what you have. This proverb again occurs in Leges, 12. 959, C. — ήδοναί τινές . . . ai μεν . . . ai δέ. The constant use of $\delta \mu \acute{e}\nu$, $\delta \delta \acute{e}$, in antitheses, seems to be the reason why (by a kind of apposition, perhaps) they follow rures here. Instead of τινες μέν . . . ἄλλαι δέ, some, . . . others, we have, as if the contrast needed to be made stronger, some, these I say . . . those. Comp. Eurip. Hec. 1185, πολλαὶ γὰρ ἡμῶν, αἱ μὲν εἴσ' ἐπίφθονοι | αἱ δ', etc. In Æschin. c. Ctes. (§ 11, Bekk.), oi ner follows rives, and the second oi μὲν is suppressed, as is often the case with δ μέν, δ δὲ alone. The formula often occurs as in Plat. Repub. 8. 560, A.
- D. εὶ ἄρα τούτων. Græci frequenter relativam orationis structuram permutant cum conditionali. Stallb. The relative structure would be αὶ μὲν ἄρα τούτων, etc.
- E. τέλος, etc. Comp. Cic. de Fin. 2. 2. 5: Hunc ipsum sive finem sive extremum sive ultimum definiebas, id esse, quo omnia, quæ recte fierent, referrentur, neque id ipsum usquam referretur.
- A. ἐκ τρίτων, and ἐκ τρίτου, in the third place, third. 500 Eurip. Orest. 1173, σωτηρίαν σοι, τῷδέ τ', ἐκ τρίτου τ' ἐμοί. Sympos. 213, Β. ὑπολύετε 'Αλκιβιάδην, ἴνα ἐκ τρίτων κατακέηται.
 —— Cap. 55. ὧν αὐ for αὐ ὧν. αὖ is out of its clause for the sake of rhythm.
- B. See 464, B, seq. παρασκευαί, the Schol. observes, is a middle term, standing for τέχναι and ἐμπειρίαι both, like ἐπιτηδεύσεις. μέχρι ἡδονῆς, terminating in pleasure. This is explained by the next words, where

- C. οὖ τί ἀν μᾶλλον... ἡ τοῦτο. The last words are added to recall οὖ to mind, and τοῦτο takes the construction of τί rather than of περὶ τούτου οδ. It often happens that ἡ and quam are so inserted after a genitive depending on a comparative. —— ἐπὶ ὅν, i. e. τοῦτον ἐφ᾽ ὅν. —— τὰ τοῦ ἀν- δρὸς δὴ ταῦτα πράττοντα, whether I ought to spend my life in doing those deeds of the real man, for sooth, that you spoke of. The reference is to 485, A D. δὴ is ironical. —— ἡ ἐπὶ τόνδε τὸν βίον. We should expect τόνδε τὸν βίον, sc. ζῆν; but Plato forms this clause as though he had written πότερον ἐμὲ παρακαλεῖς ἐπὶ τοῦτον, etc.
- D. εἰ ἔστι . . . τὼ βίω. A rare instance of a dual agreeing with a singular verb. As is usual in similar examples in Attic writers, where plurals not neuter are joined with a singular verb, the verb is ἐστί, and it precedes the noun.
- E. Socrates breaks off in the middle of the sentence, to know whether Callicles is so far of the same opinion.
- 501 A. ή δ' ἰατρική, i. e. δοκεί μοι τέχνη εἶναι. Just below, ή ἰατρική is added to explain ή μέν. Comp. δ μὲν... δ κολαζόμενος, 476, Ε. ή δ' ἐτέρα τῆς ήδονῆς... ἐπ' αὐτὴν ἔρχεται. There is a striking change of construction here. The sentence begins with ήδονῆς, as if Plato had in his mind the form of the preceding sentence, and were going

mere practice and experience.

- to say, της ήδονης οθτε την φύσιν ἔσκεπται οδτε την αἰτίαν. But this thought, which afterwards appears in the participial form, is postponed, and the intervening clause, πρὸς ην ή θεραπεία . . . ἄπασα, determined him to say, ἐπ' αὐτην ἔρχεται, accommodated to πρὸς ην, and to leave ἡδονης in the lurch, so to speak. It may be asked, why, when he read it over, he did not dismiss ἡδονης from its irregular position. The answer is, that the Greeks were governed in their style by nature, a higher rule than grammar, and did not object to such irregularities of structure as arise from the nature of the mind, and are heard in good conversation. ἀτέχνως, and not ἀτεχνῶς. Comp. 491, A. ἀλόγως τε παντάπασιν, in a manner altogether irrational, making, I may say (i. e. almost), no estimates (or discriminations), a
- B. & δη και πορίζεται. & refers to τῷ μνημην σώζεσθαι τοῦ εἰωθότος γίγνεσθαι. και εἶναι τινες, i. e. και εἶ δοκοῦσί σοι εἶναι τινες. It might have been said equally well, εἶναι τινας, etc. & σπερ ἐκεῖ, i. e. as in the case of the body. οὅτε μίλον αὐταῖς, etc., nor having any concern about aught else but gratification merely, no matter whether it be for the better or the worse. Here the structure changes to the impersonal participle, and the subject of the prior clause becomes αὐταῖς.
- C. έμοι ... δοκοῦσι εἶναι, it seems to me that there are such, or they seem to me to exist. The words refer to εἶναί τινες πραγματεῖαι, etc. It is strange that Stallb. and Ast, overlooking this plain sense, understand κολακεία as the predicate. —— συγκατατίθεσαι. The word means, properly, to drop one's vote in the same vessel with another person, as a judge in the court. ἡμῶν is ironical, as he had compelled Gorgias and Polus to agree with him.
- D. οὖκ, ἀλλὰ καί. οὖκ denies the previous sentence taken as a whole. —— χαρίζεσθαι ἔστι, i. e. ἔξεστι.

E. τοιαύτη τις . . . διώκειν. The infinitive explains the demonstrative, and depends on done repeated. — allo ovδεν φροντίζειν. This verb, in the sense of caring about, usually takes a genitive, or a genitive with mepi, but sometimes a neuter accusative. Soph. § 182, N. 1. — ή κιθαριστική ή ἐν τοῖς ἀγῶσι. Plato condemned all music on the flute, as tending to render the young unmanly and fond of pleasure. He was, however, for retaining the lyre and harp in education, but disapproved of some of the occasions where they were used, such as the public contests of choruses, dramatic or dithyrambic, thinking that pleasure and not good was their object, and that they tended to agitate and not to calm the soul. Comp. Repub. 3. 398-403. --- ή των χορων διδασκαλία, the exhibition of choruses, so called because the instruction of the chorus was the principal preparative. The chorus in dithyrambic poetry is especially intended. - Kungias of Thebes, so called, it is said, because έν τοις χοροις έχρητο πολλή κινήσει. He was much laughed at for his poetry by the comedians (Aristoph. Birds, 1377, and Schol.), and attacked by the orators on account of his character (Lysias in Athenæus, 551, 552).

502 A. τί δὲ... Μέλης; i. e. ἐδόκει σοι, as above τί ἡ διδασκαλία καὶ ποίησις; i. e. καταφαίνεταί σοι. A little below, τί δὲ δὴ ἡ σεμνὴ, etc., the construction is different, namely, τί δὲ δἡ ἐστι τοῦτο ἐφ᾽ ῷ ἐσπούδακε ἡ σεμνὴ, etc. What is said here is a mere passing fling at Meles on account of the badness of his odes.

Β. ἡ . . . θαυμαστὴ ἡ τῆς τραγφδίας ποίησις. The ordinary collocation, as Stallb. remarks, would be ἡ θαυμαστὴ ποίησις, ἡ τῆς τραγφδίας. He cites Herodot. 7. 196, ὁ ναυτικὸς ὁ τῶν βαρβάρων στρατός; Repub. 565, D, τὸ ἐν ᾿Αρκαδία τὸ τοῦ Διὸς τοῦ Λυκαίου ἱερόν, and other examples. —— διαμάχεσθαι . . . ὅπως μὴ ἐρεῖ. Is its aim . . . to insist upon it

- ... that it will not say, etc. εὶ δέ τι τυγχάνει ἀηδές. For δν omitted, comp. Soph. Electr. 313. ποτέρως ... παρεσκευάσθαι, utro modo tibi videtur comparata esse. For Plato's view of tragedy, comp. Repub. 8. 568, C, 2. 378, seq., and a noble passage, Leges, 7. 817. Another admirable passage treats of the corruption of tragedy by popular influence. Leges, 2. 659.
- C. εἴ τις περιέλοιτο. Aristides, in opposing this passage, and the Schol., have περιέλοι, which Coray and Stallb. prefer. Ast, in defending the text, says that εἰ περιέλοι denotes if one were to strip off, εἰ περιέλοιτο, if one were to strip off for himself, i. e. in his own mind to conceive of it as stripped off. μέλος, musical accompaniment; ρυθμόν, definite succession of arses and theses; μέτρον, definite succession of long and short syllables. ἄλλο τι ἡ λόγοι γίγνονται. The verb is attracted in number to the predicate λόγοι.
- D. οὐκοῦν... ἀν εῖη, It (tragedy) would be then a rhetorical species of popular speaking. δῆμον τοιοῦτον, οἶον παίδων, i. e. to a people (or audience) composed of boys, etc. The grammatical construction, which would be οἶος ἐστι (δῆμος) παίδων, is forsaken through a singular kind of attraction, by which οἶος, ὅσος, ἡλίκος, with the noun or adjective they accompany, adopt the case of the antecedent. It has been inferred from this, and a few other passages of Plato, that women attended the theatre at Athens, at least in tragic exhibitions. Comp. Leges, 2. 658, D, 7. 817, C, and Becker's Charicles, excursus to Scene 10.
- A. οὐχ ὁπλοῦν, etc. = τοῦτο δ ἐρωτῷς οὐκέτι ἐστιν ἀπλοῦν, 503 i. e. does not admit of a simple answer. —— διαμάχεσθαι λέγοντα, to persist in saying, or steadily to say.
- B. τί οὐχὶ... αὐτὸν ἔφρασας. Mt. § 503, c, says: "After τί οὐ an aorist often follows, where we should have looked for a present." A degree of urgency is contained

in this mode of speaking. It may be explained by the practice of expressing a wish by means of an interrogative sentence. "Why did you not tell me?" = "I wish you had already told me," and by implication, "Tell me at once." —— alriav *xovow, have it ascribed to them, is here used in a good sense.

- C. οὐκ ἀκούεις. Præsens hujus verbi de durante fama. - perpetuo ponitur. Stallb.; i. e. it is used of something which is said and may be heard until now. Comp. the editor's note on Prometh. 683 (ed. sec.). -- νεωστί, i. e. about twenty-three years before. — οῦ καὶ ἀκήκοας. E. For Plato's opinion of Pericles, see the Introduction. ---- εὶ ἔστι γε . . . ἡν σὰ ἔλεγες ἀρετήν, ἀληθής. Comp. for άρετήν, Soph. § 151, Rem. 6. The apodosis of this sentence, which might be "they are good men," is omitted. "When a proposition with εἰ μέν, or ἡν μέν, has another with & opposed to it, the apodosis is often suppressed in one of the two." Mt. § 617. In the ensuing clause, the predicate, ἐστὶν ἀληθές, is left out, and ὅτι before the infinitive is redundant. Examples are given by Heindorf, on Phædo, 63, C. Comp. 453, B, above. 574 was used as though δει ἀποτελείν was to follow; but when Plato came to that part of the sentence, he accommodated ἀποτελεῖν to εἰ δὲ μὴ τοῦτο: " if this, viz. ἀποπιμπλάναι, is not virtue, but this, viz. ἀποτελεῖν, etc., is so."
- D. τοῦτο δὲ τέχνη τις εἶναι. The nominative here is used by anacoluthon, as if, instead of ἦναγκάσθημεν δμολογεῖν, Plato had written ὡμολογήθη. οὐτωσὶν ἀτρέμα, quite calmly, or pretty calmly. οὕτως, like sic in Latin, throws into the adverb before which it stands a certain modification of its meaning, which cannot be easily expressed. Comp. Eurip. Alcest. 680, for an analogous use of οὕτως with verbs.
 - Ε. ωσπερ καὶ οἱ άλλοι, etc. άλλοι is used here as in 473,

- C. The verb προσφέρει leaves the number required by δημιουργοί, through the influence of ἔκαστος, added in apposition to that noun. Comp. Mt. § 302, Obs. —— οἶον εἰ βοῦλει ἰδεῖν. In this sentence the apodosis is omitted. One can supply in thought ῥαδίως τοῦτο ἄψει. But the true account of the sentence is, that the apodosis should have begun at ὡς εἰς τάξιν (" if you wish to look at painters, etc., you will see that, etc."); but by a change of style the clause ὡς εἰς τάξιν is made to depend on ἰδεῖν, and the apodosis loses its proper form under the impression that an imperative, ἴδε εἰ βοῦλει, instead of εἰ βοῦλει ἰδεῖν, had commenced the sentence.
- Β. και μὴν και τὰ σώματά φαμεν, i. e. τάξεως τυχόντα χρη- 504 στὰ είναι.
- C. εἰπεῖν ὥσπερ ἐκείνω τὸ ὅνομα, to mention the name for it, as you did for that. In the MSS., ἐκεῖνο stands, which, if genuine, is put briefly for ἐκείνου τὸ ὅνομα.
- E. $\hat{\eta}$ $\delta\lambda\lambda'$ $\delta\tau\iota\sigma\tilde{\nu}\nu$, etc., or anything else which sometimes will not be of more use to it (the body) than the contrary (i. e. abstinence from such gratifications will be) according to a right view of the case; nay, even of less. So this clause must be rendered as it stands. But I am persuaded, notwithstanding what Stallb. says, that $\hat{\eta}$ ought to be inserted before $\kappa a \tau \acute{a}$, as Heindorf proposes, or $\gamma \epsilon$ turned into $\delta \acute{\epsilon}$. For since $\gamma \epsilon$ shows that the clause $\kappa a \tau \acute{a} \ldots \lambda \acute{o} \gamma \sigma \nu$ relates to the foregoing, $\kappa a \wr \delta \lambda a \tau \tau \sigma \nu$ stands quite by itself; and the asyndeton ($\kappa a \wr \delta \iota$ being etiam) is intolerable.
- Β. οὐκοῦν . . . κολάζειν; ἀφ' ὧν ἐπιθυμεῖ belongs to εἴρ- 505 γειν. ὧσπερ . . . ῷου relates to ἀκολασία. See Chap. 46, 48.
- C. οὖτος ἀνήρ. See 467, B. κολαζόμενος is obviously in apposition with πάσχων; but we might have also had κολάζεσθαι in apposition with τοῦτο. According to Aristotle on Rhet. 1. 10. 17, cited by Stallb., κολάζεων (to chastise,

correct, lit. to cut off, prune) differs from τιμωρεῖσθαι (to take satisfaction from, punish) in this; that the former takes place for the sake of the sufferer, the latter for that of the doer. — μεταξὺ τὸν λόγον καταλύομεν; are we ending the discourse in the middle? Some inferior MSS have καταλύσομεν, which (or rather καταλύσωμεν, as the action is momentary) would be shall we end. The present denotes that they are doing that which is equivalent to stopping, that they are beginning to stop. —— αὐτὸς γνώσει, you yourself must judge, i. e. I wish to stop, but leave it to you.

D. θέμις. This word, being here an accusative, must be indeclinable. Of this use few will doubt, after reading what Elmsley and Hermann (Soph. Œd. Col. 1191), and Buttmann (largest Gram. 1. § 58, and 2. p. 405) have written. The other examples occur in Œd. Col. u. s., Xen. Œcon. 11. 11, and Æsch. Suppl. 331. In Æsch. Choeph. 632, it is a neuter nominative. No phrases are found besides θέμις ἐστί, θέμις εὐται. This is a strange, but not a solitary anomaly. Comp. χρέων, δεῦνα sometimes indeclinable, κρᾶτα in Sophocles nominative and accusative. — περιίη, sc. δ μῦθος. The style changes from the plural to the singular. Comp. for the expression, Leges, 6. 752, A, οδκουν . . . ἀν μῦθον ἀκέφαλον καταλίποιμι, πλανώμενος γὰρ ἀν ἀπάντη τοιοῦτος &ν ἄμορφος φαίνοιτο.

E. τὸ τοῦ Ἐπιχάρμου. Athenœus (7. 308, C, and 8. 362, D) gives his words in a trochaic tetrameter, τὰ πρὸ τοῦ δύ ἄνδρες ἔλεγον εἶς ἐγὼν ἀποχρέω. — ἀναγκαιότατον εἶναι οὕτως. Supplent ποιεῖν ellipsi inaudita. Equidem οὕτως interpretor: in hoc rerum statu, quum tu nolis amplius mecum colloqui. Stallb. οὕτως seems to me to be loosely used for τοῦτο. Comp. Soph. Antig. 706, ὡς φἢς σὺ — τοῦτ' ὀρθῶς ἔχειν, for ὁ φὴς σύ.

506 A. οὐδὲ γὰρ . . . εἰδώς λέγω. Socrates often places him-

self in the attitude of a searcher after truth, unable of himself to find it, and hoping that others know where it is.

- B. έως . . . Zήθου, till I had given him back the speech of Amphion for that of Zethus, i. e. until I had defended philosophy from his attack. See 485, E. with an imperfect or agrist indicative accompanies another clause containing the same tenses with av, when a res non facta is spoken of.
- C. ἀχθεσθήσομαι. This form is condemned by Mæris as un-Attic, but is found several times in Attic writers, where, however, it may have come from the scribes. αχθέσομαι is the approved form. — εὐεργέτης . . . ἀναγεγράψει. An allusion to the honorary votes recorded on marbles, in favor of foreigners who had rendered Athens a service. - λέγε αὐτός. αὐτὸς is by yourself, without another speaker, and so in σοῦ αὐτοῦ διιόντος above.
- D. où vô elegant and certain emendation of Stallb. (ed. sec.) for οὐχ οὕτως εἰκŷ. — κάλλιστα παραγίγρεται. One would expect καλλίστη. Coray wishes to strike out the word; Heindorf, to read μάλιστα. The sense is, attends upon it, or is present most beautifully, i. e. is present in its greatest beauty, or highest perfection.
- A. ην δε αύτη, i. e. now this we found to be. ην points 507 to the time when such a soul was (subjectively to them. i. e. appeared to be) ἄφρων, etc. Comp. 478, E, note.
- B. d δεί . . . φεύγειν και διώκειν. With the definition of the σώφρων ἀνηρ here given, Routh compares Aristotle's in the Eth. Nicom. 3, sub fin. : ἐπιθυμεῖ ὁ σώφρων ὧν δεῖ καὶ ὡς δεῖ καὶ ὅτε.
- C. τον δ' εδ πράττοντα . . . εδδαίμονα είναι. Plato passes in this same way from εδ πράττειν to εὐδαίμονα είναι in Repub. 1. 353, E, Charmides 172, A, Alcibiad. 1. 116, B. As ev moarreur has the two senses of acting well, and being prosperous, Plato may seem to have unfairly used this am-

biguity in his argument. So Heindorf and Stallb. view the passage. Routh, on the contrary, says: "Vult philosophus consequens esse necessario ex antecedentibus eum qui recte agit felicem esse. Vix enim potest credi ut Plato duplici sensu verborum eð πράττεω ad argumentum probandum abuti vellet." Finally, Ast, after Schleierm., correctly, as I think, observes, that Plato "in his conclusionem non ducit ex ambiguo, — sed usum loquendi cogitandus est in rem suam convertere, eumque quodammodo corrigere voluisse, ex ea enim quam posuit ratione, — nisi bonum quod est, nihil est prosperum ac beatum." With this Stallb., in his second edition, agrees.

D. βουλόμενον εὐδαίμονα εἶναι . . . διωκτέον. The subject of the action of a verbal may be in the accusative or in the dative. — ὡς ἔχει ποδῶν = ὡς ἔχει τάχους, Thucyd. 2. 92, = ὡς τάχιστα. Comp. Soph. § 188, N.; Cr. § 363, B. — παρασκευαστέον is the verbal of the middle voice here, = δεῖ παρασκευάσασθαι. Mt. § 447. 2. — ἰδιώτης (when opposed to the state), an individual. — In the next sentence, εἰς τοῦτο refers to ὅπως . . . ἔσεσθαι, and οὕτω πράττειν to συντείνοντα and what follows it.

E. ἀνήνυτον κακόν, an endless or cureless evil, is in apposition with the participial clause preceding it, and in the accusative. Soph. § 167, N. 4; Cr. § 334. 8; K. § 266, R. 2. — φασὶ δ' οἱ σοφοί, etc. The allusion is more particularly to Empedocles, who made φιλία and νείκος fundamental causes in his world of phenomena; the former, or the attracting principle, the cause of union among things unlike, of organization and of motion when one is made out of many, and the latter, or the dissolving principle, the cause of separation. He is the Agrigentine who taught in verse, that "quæ in rerum natura constarent, quæque moverentur, ea contrahere amicitiam, dissipare discordiam." Cic. de Amicit. 7. His causes for the phenomena of the world

were physical; and Socrates here gives playfully a moral turn to his doctrine.

A. τὸ όλον τοῦτο . . . κόσμον καλοῦσιν. The universe owed 508 the name κόσμος, order, system, to Pythagoras. Comp. Xen. Mem. 1. 1. 11, δ καλούμενος ύπο των σοφιστών κόσμος, which shows that even then the appellation had not become very current. — ή Ισότης ή γεωμετρική. Geometrical equality is that of ratios, arithmetical, of numbers. It exists figuratively in morals and politics, when the receipts of one are to his claims as those of another to his, i. e. when justice prevails and assigns to each according to his due, and not according to his power of receiving. But πλεονεξία or selfishness disturbs and destroys this kind of equality. In the state, this equality takes power from the bad, i. e. from the unjust and ignorant, and gives it to the wise and virtuous, because it is right that only they should govern who can govern well. There is a noble passage on the two equalities in the Leges, 6. 757, B, cited by Routh.

- D. εἰμὶ δὲ ἐπὶ τῷ βουλομένῳ, etc. And that I am in the power of any one who has the will, as those punished by civil infamy are in any one's hand who wishes it (lit. belong to any one). There were three kinds of civil infamy at Athens, and they are particularly described by Andòcides (p. 35, Reiske). The lowest consisted in a deprivation of

certain particular rights, as that of bringing an action as a public accuser. The next involved the taking away of all civil rights; and to this the highest added confiscation. As, in the two latter kinds, the person affected with ἀτιμία could not appear in court as a prosecutor or a witness, or complain of his wrongs before the people, he was plainly in the power of his enemies. — νεανικόν denotes high-spirited, or rather overbearing. The clause is in apposition with τύπτειν ἐπὶ κόρρης. Comp. 507, E. The same is true of τὸ ἔσχατον, as it respects ἀποκτείναι. Socrates refers to 486, A – C.

E. τέμνεσθαι, when taken with σῶμα, is in frusta dissecari.

509 A. καὶ εἰ ἀγροικότερόν . . . ἐστι. These words are used to excuse the confidence and want of deference to others which Socrates here displays. Comp. 462, E, 486, C. In the latter passage, we have εἰ καὶ ἀ., and here καὶ εἰ ἀ. According to Herm. (on Viger, note 307), referred to by Stallb., καὶ εἰ, etiam si, is used concerning that which we only assume as true; εἰ καὶ, quamquam, concerning that which we declare to be true. Socrates, then, does not here admit that his expression is impolite; but in 486, C, Callicles acknowledges by εἰ καὶ his trespass against the rules of good-breeding. — οὐτωσὶν ut Latinorum sic est primo aspectu. Ast.

B. τίνα ἃν βοήθειαν, etc. By his inability to afford what kind of aid to himself would a man be in truth ridiculous? This alludes to 486, B, μήτε αὐτὸν αὐτῷ δυνάμενον βοηθεῖν. — ταύτην εἶναι τὴν αἰσχίστην βοήθειαν, μὴ δύνασθαι βοηθεῖν, that it is most disgraceful not to be able to render this assistance, etc. (viz. this assistance which will avert the greatest evil). With this very strange instance of attraction, if it be such, we may compare the expression in

our own language which Routh adduces, this is the most shameful thing to be without, for it is most shameful to be without this thing.

- C. την τοῦ δευτέρου κακοῦ, sc. βοήθειαν, and that the aid given to prevent the evil next in magnitude is second (second in shame if inadequate, and in honor if adequate; for this latter is implied). βοήθεια κακῶν is like ἀλκὴ κακῶν in Euripides.—— καὶ τἄλλα οὕτως, sc. ἔχειν, not ἔχει, which Stallb. supplies.
- D. ἀδικήσεται. See Soph. § 207, N. 6; Cr. § 554, a; K. § 251, R. 1.—τί δὲ δὴ τοῦ ἀδικεῖν; well, but what about doing wrong? This genitive without a preposition may be compared with that which accompanies verbs of speaking. Comp. Soph. Electr. 317.
- E. τί οὐκ . . . ἀπεκρίνω. See 503, B, note. The imperfect ἀπεκρίνου is in most MSS.; but in this formula that tense is not used. —— μηδένα βουλόμενου ἀδικεῖυ. See 468, C, and the Introduction, p. xxiv.
 - A. δπως μη άδικήσωμεν. See 480, A, note.

510

- B. φίλος μοι δοκεί, etc. Here δνπερ refers forward to δ δμοιος, and ώς οδόν τε μάλιστα is taken with φίλος. οδ παλαιοί τε καὶ σοφοὶ alludes especially to Hom. Odys. 17. 218, ώς αλεὶ τὸν δμοιον ἄγει θεὸς ὡς τὸν ὅμοιον. The thought is found also in Sympos. 195, B, ὁ γὰρ παλαιὸς λόγος εδ ἔχει, ὡς ὅμοιος ὁμοίφ ἀεὶ πελάζει, and in Lysis, 214, where it is said that the bad, being unlike themselves, that is, variable and unstable, cannot be friends. Comp. also Leges, 716, C, τῷ ὁμοίφ τὸ ὅμοιον ὅντι μετρίφ φίλον ἀν εῖη, τὰ δ' ἄμετρα οὐτ' ἀλλήλοις οὕτε τοῖς ἐμμέτροις.
- C. δύναιτο φίλος γενέσθαι. Repentina subjecti mutatio, says Stallb., i. e. τούτφ refers to δ τύραννος, and the subject of δύναιτο is δ τοῦ τύραννου βελτίων. This appears most probable, as Plato might easily return in his mind to the earlier subject of the clause, εῖ τις . . . εἶη; and as οὐδ ἀν

oòros shows that the same subject was still in his mind. It is not, however, necessary, because either this person or the tyrant might be called a friend to the other.— ἐν ταύτη τῆ πόλει. See 468, E, note.

- D. χαίρων, impune, the opposite of κλαίων, passim apud dramaticos. And so gaudens is used in Latin. —— αὖτη, ... όδός ἐστιν. αὖτη, the subject of ἐστι, refers to ἐθίζειν, but is attracted, as often happens, in gender, to the predicate ὁδός.
- E. ἔσται ἐπὶ τὸ οῖφ τε εἶναι, will be in favor of, or will tend to his being able. Here the construction of the dative with the infinitive is followed by that of the accusative. See 492, B.
- 511 B. οὐκοῦν . . . ἀγανακτητόν; Well, then, is not this just the thing to rouse indignation?
 - D. προσεσταλμένη, simple, properly spoken of garments, drawn close to the body, in contrast to a garment which spreads out with numerous folds and plaits. άλλὰ ταὐτὰ διαπραξαμένη, etc. But when it has effected the same things with the forensic art, it charges, I presume, but two obols if it has brought a man safe from Ægina hither; and if from Ægypt or the Pontus, at the highest rate (ἐὰν πάμπολυ), when it has conveyed in safety what I just now spoke of, the man himself, and his children, and property, and women; having landed them in the port, it demands but two drachms. Ast and Coray wish to change the order in this sentence. I see not why; for it is not more broken than often happens in earnest conversation. ἐπράξατο is the aorist of indefinite time. See 484, A. With ἐὰν πάμπολυ, supply πράττηται.
- 512 A. λογίζεται οὖν ὅτι οὖκ, etc. Here οὖκ belongs to βιωτέον ἐστὶ καὶ ὀνήσειεν, which is the primary clause. But the sentence assumes an antithetical structure, the clauses εἰ μέν τις, . . . εἰ δέ τις, and οὖτος μέν, τούτφ δὲ being paired off

against one another. On this form of sentences, Mt. § 622. 4, remarks, that "clauses are put in contrast with one another by means of we'r and de, of which only the second clause suits the connection, while the first in other languages would be treated as a parenthesis." Preserving the Greek order and form nearly, we may translate, "He reflects that it cannot be (our), if a man afflicted with great and incurable diseases, whom he has saved from drowning, is mis-. erable because he lost not his life, that he on the other hand ought to live, who has many incurable maladies in that which is more precious than the body, the soul, and that he (the person so reflecting) will do him good if he deliver him from the dangers of the sea, or the tribunal, or any other Nay, he knows," etc. The use of the optative δυήσειεν is to me at least perplexing. Stallb. renders it, with its attendant words, neque a se ullo modo juvari posse, and then in defence of it refers to Mt. § 529, on the oratio obliqua. But if I am not deceived, such a form as λογίζεται (being a present not equivalent to a historical tense, and not reducible to the form of oratio obliqua, as cases like λέγεται δις τινά τις δέξαιτο are) ότι οὐκ ὀνήσειεν would not be Greek, and if it were, must mean, not can benefit, but probably benefits. Heindorf conjectured δνήσειεν αν, can (not) do him good, which in some degree removes the difficulty. I beg leave to offer an opposite conjecture, δνήσει, on the supposition that the final syllable ev may owe its birth to dv wrongly repeated.

B. οὐ νόμος ἐστί, it is not the custom. — μὴ ὅτι κυβερνήτου, i. e. μὴ εἴπης ὅτι, not to say, or to pass by the pilot, who is not mentioned in order to select a stronger case, that of the general. Comp. οὐχ ὅτι, 450, Ε, note. — ἐλάττω σώζειν = ἐλάττονα σωτηρίαν πορίζειν, οτ ἀπεργάζεσθαι. — μή σοι δοκεῖ κατὰ τὸν δικανικὸν εἶναι; does he seem to you to be on a level with (and not rather above) the forensic man?

Comp. Repub. 466, B, μή πη κατὰ τὸν τῶν σκυτοτόμων φαίνεται βίον; does it seem to you to rank with the shoemaker's kind of life?

- C. λέγων καὶ παρακαλῶν ἐπὶ τὸ δεῖν. Briefly for λέγων δεῖν, καὶ παρακαλῶν ἐπὶ τὸ δεῖν. ὡς σὐδὲν τᾶλλά ἐστιν, on the ground that everything else is of no value (in comparison with engineering). ἀποκαλέσαις. This compound of καλέω, as Stallb. remarks, is often used when a name is given in anger or contempt, to call by a nickname, to call contemptuously, or with a scornful air. Examples may be found in Æschin. c. Ctes., and in Reiske's Index to Demosth. ἐξ δν τὰ σαυτοῦ ἐπαινεῖς = ἐκ τῶν ἐπαίνων οῦς τὴν σαυτοῦ τέχνην ἐπαινεῖς.
- D. μη γάρ τοῦτο ... ἐατέον ἐστί. μη denoting suspicion that something is true, or mild expression of opinion, may be joined with an indicative; and the like may be said of όρα μη also. Comp. Soph. Electr. 581, 584 (where τίθης, and not ribins, is supported by the MSS.); Alcibiad. 2. 139, D, άλλ' δρα μή ούχ ούτω ταῦτα ἔχει. μή may here be translated by perhaps, or I suspect. The sense is, I suspect that a man deserving the name ought to throw away the idea of living as long as ever he can, and not love his life too well; and yielding the disposal of all such things to the Deity, as well as believing what the women say, that no one, whosoever he be, can escape his destiny, that he ought to consider thereupon how he can best live during the life which he is probably about to live, etc. A fine parallel passage occurs in Leges, 2. 661, C. ἐπιτρέπεω, in the sense of committing or referring to, and of giving up to, takes a dative of a person, often with a genitive with περί. Æschin. c. Ctes. § 83, εὶ ἐπιτρέπειν εθέλοι πόλει τινί ίση και όμοία περί των εγκλημάτων, if he wished to refer the grounds of complaint to some impartial and disinterested state. Alcibiad. 1. 117, D, τφ κυβερνήτη ἐπιτρέψας αν ήσυχίαν έχοις; would you let the pilot have his

own way, and be quiet? For οὐδ' εἶs, more emphatic than οὐδείs, comp. Eurip. Alcest. 671 (note in my ed.).

Α. καὶ νῦν δὲ ἄρα δεῖ depends on σκεπτέον άρα. - τὰς 513 την σελήνην καθαιρούσας. The Thessalian sorceresses, who drew down the moon by their incantations, drew down mischief also upon themselves. They lost, it was thought, their eyes or their children, to which last τοις φιλτάτοις alludes. Even an astrologer, in predicting an eclipse of the moon, which was akin, in the minds of the vulgar, to magical arts, was supposed to incur calamity. Hence in oavτῷ σελήνην καθαιρείς, or καθέλκεις, is used proverbially of those who draw down calamities upon themselves by their conduct. The next words, σὺν τοῖς φιλτάτοις, must mean with the loss of what we hold most dear, i. e., as Socrates estimates things, of virtue and truth. où here properly denotes the means, and it is only by inference from the connection that the phrase can imply the loss of. The preposition, as Stallb. observes, seems to be chosen with allusion to Iliad, 4. 161, σύν τε μεγάλω ἀπέτισαν | σύν σφησιν κεφαλήσι γυναιξί τε καὶ τεκέεσσι.

B. ἐν τῆ πόλει τῆδε, i. e. in Athens. See 469, D, and 468, E, note. — ἀνόμοιον ... χεῦρον, so long as you are unlike the political institutions either on the better side or on the worse, i. e. so long as you are not assimilated exactly to the democracy of Athens, but are either like the true philosopher, in favor of better institutions, under which knowledge and virtue, and not the popular will, shall govern, and resemble such institutions in your character; or, on the other hand, have the selfish spirit in the extreme, like the tyrant who first corrupts, and then destroys, popular liberty. Thus, I suppose, the politics of Plato, as set forth in the Republic and Laws, require us to understand these words. — τι γνήσιον ἀπεργάζεσθαι, etc., to effect any genuine or real result in regard to obtaining the friendship

of the Athenian people, i. e. to be on terms of true friendship with Athens. δήμφ depends on φιλίαν. For τῷ Πυριλάμπους, see 481, D. — ὡς ἐπιθυμεῖς πολιτικὸς εἶναι. Ast, after one MS., omits πολιτικός, but Stallb. justly says of it, iteratur non sine vi et gravitate. There is, as it seems to me, even something of scorn in the emphatical repetition of the word. ὡς is since, seeing that; not as, i. e. according to (your wishes).

D. πρὸς ἡδονὴν όμιλεῖν, i. e. τό τινα πρὸς ἡδ. σώματι καὶ ψυχῆ όμιλεῖν. With the indefinite subject of the infinitive agree the two subsequent participles.

E. ή δέ γε έτέρα, ὅπως. Supply όμιλεῖ, as όμιλοῦσα is understood just above with ἡ πρὸς ἡδονήν. —— ἐπιχειρητέον ... θεραπεύειν. The infinitive is added epexegetically, and the datives depend on the verbal. Comp. Soph. Electr. 543, 1277. For the construction of ποιοῦντας, see 492, B, note. It is without a copula as explaining οῦτως, and ὡς is taken with βελτίστους only.

514 A. εὐρίσκομεν. The Atticists and MSS. vary in regard to the augment of verbs beginning with ευ. The earlier practice seems to have been, to leave the diphthong unchanged. Mt. § 167. 6. Below, 514, E, two of the best MSS. give ηὐρίσκομεν, and ηὐδοκίμει, 515, E. — ἐὰν μὴ ... ἡντινοῦν explains and defines ἄνεν τούτου. Comp. a similar apposition of a clause beginning with ἐὰν μὴ in Soph. Antig. 87. — δημοσία πράξαντες τῶν πολιτικῶν πραγμάτων, after we had engaged in a public capacity in any transactions of the state. The genitive is taken partitively. The words πολιτικῶν πραγμάτων denote any employment in the state's service, as that of an ἐργολάβος, or contractor, like Phidias, and of an ἀρχιτέκτων, like Ictinus, the builder of the Parthenon.

B. εὶ ἐπιστάμεθα, and a little below, εἰ φκοδομήκαμεν. After would it be incumbent on us to examine, we should add

in English, whether we knew, et murriquesa, relative to the in, and not et incriquesa, which is absolute: and so whether we had builded (in the pluperfect), not whether we have builded. But the Greeks, in many kinds of dependent clauses, preferred the absolute to the relative form, as here. The cause of this lay in that liveliness of mind which made the past present and the possible real, and often led them to the use of oratio recta for oratio obliqua.

- C. ldiq... ἡμῶν. According to Ast, ἡμῶν depends on ldiq, which would alone express the idea, were not ἡμῶν wanted for the contrast with μετὰ τῶν διδασκάλων. Those who would reject such an expression as ldiq ἡμῶν, which is destitute of the support of parallel examples, must read with Stallb., after one MS., ldiq ὑφ' ἡμῶν. —— οὖτω μὲν διακειμένων, etc. It would be the part of prudent men, if so situated, to engage in public works. Here ἢν has ἄν, but just below is without it. I think, with Ast, that although ἢν is often used without ἄν, (see Mt. § 508, Obs. 2,) yet here the influence of ἀν can extend to the second ἢν.
- D. A very similar passage may be found in Laches, 186, B, C. In the first sentence, & belongs to ἐπεσκεψάμεθα, which verb is taken with πάντα ... διλα, as well as with εἰ παρεκαλοῦμεν. The aorist, with & following the imperfect, here denotes transitory action referable to present time (if we were urging ... we would examine: see Hermann de partic. ἄν, 1. 10), or possibly (since we have ἐσκόπουν just below) there may be an inaccuracy of style, like that of using our potential pluperfect for the imperfect, would have for would. Comp. 447, D, for the opposite use of the imperfect (εἰ ἐτύγχανεν &ν ... ἀπεκρίνατο), in speaking of something continuing in past time. Some would read ἐπισκεπτόμεθα here, but it is scarcely Attic. See 476, A, note.
 - E. ἀνθρώπους ... ἐτύχομεν. The style passes freely from

the first person plural, as a representative of a general truth, to the third, and then back again. There is no reason why we should suspect ἀνθρώπους, or read ἔτυχον. Το τε goes with ἐπιχειρεῖν. Το ὅπως ἐτύχομεν, = in an ordinary way, as we could, utcunque. Το λεγόμενον δη τοῦτο. See 447, A. δη is just or even. ἐν τῷ πίθῳ, etc., to try to learn the potter's art by beginning with the jar,—the largest vessel, and therefore the hardest to make. This proverb occurs again in Laches, 187, B, in company with its opposite, ἐν τῷ Καρὶ ἡμῶν ὁ κίνδυνος, i. e. to risk what you value least, attempt what you can best afford to fail in; which refers to the Carian mercenaries in war, whose blood was less precious than their employer's.

- 515 B. σὸν ἰδιωτεύοντος. Soph. § 174; Cr. § 454; K. § 266. 2.
 - D. For what is here said of "the four," see the Introduction.—— ἀγαθοὶ πολίται here is much the same as ἀγαθοὶ τὰ πολιτικά, which is used as an equivalent, 516, C, 517, A.
 - E. ἀκούω. See 503, C.— εἰς μισθοφορίαν ... καταστήσαντα, by being the first to bring them into the practice of taking pay for performing their political duties. Pericles introduced the practice of paying the judges. The pay was one obolus per diem at first, and then three. After the death of Pericles, the people also drew pay for attending in the assembly. All this is explained at large in that admirable book, Boeckh's Civil Economy of Athens, Book II. §§ 14, 15.— τῶν τὰ ἔτα κατεαγότων. See 469, D. This phrase is explained fully by Protag. 342, B. "The Lacedæmonians," Socrates there says, "conceal their philosophy, and thus deceive those in other states who affect Spartan manners, and who, in imitation of them, have their ears bruised by blows received in boxing, (ἔτα κατάγνυνται μιμούμενοι αὐτούς,) and hind the cæstus round their

hands and study gymnastics, and wear short tunics, just as though the Lacedemonians surpassed the rest of Greece by such means." The phrase, then, denotes the partisans of Sparta, those who admire Spartan institutions, and are ill-affected towards Athens.

- A. γεγόνεσαν. Comp. Soph. § 79 (91. 6, N. 4); Cr. 516 § 194. 1; K. § 120, R. 2. κλοπὴν αὐτοῦ κατεψηφίσαντο. Thucyd. merely says (2. 65) χρήμασιν ἐζημίωσαν. Plutarch mentions an accusation and a fine (Vit. Pericl. § 35), as does Diodorus also (12. 45); but the charge was no doubt false: he was χρημάτων διαφανῶς ἀδωρότατος by the testimony of the most impartial of historians. See Appendix, No. II. θανάτου ἐτίμησαν. See 486, B, note: δῆλον ὅτι, 487, E, note. ὅνων ἐπιμελητής. Comp. Xen. Memorab. 1. 2. 32, for a similar passage. ἄπέδειξε . . . ποιοῦντας, if he had caused them to do. This verb and ἀποφαίνω are often used in the sense of causing something to appear, of effecting, rendering, and, like φαίνω, δείκνυμι, take their complement in the form of a participle.
- Β. καὶ τόδε . . . χάρισαι. There is a similar play upon χαρίζομαι in Repub. 1. 351, C, σοὶ γάρ, ἔφη, χαρίζομαι. Εὖ γε σὺ ποιῶν ἀλλὰ δὴ καὶ τόδε μοι χάρισαι καὶ λέγε.
- C. ὡς ἔφη "Ομηρος. Nusquam disertis verbis hoc dictum in eo quem hodie habemus Homero, nisi quis huc trahere velit quod Routhius fecit Odyss. 6. 120, 9. 175, ἢ ρ οῖγ ὑβρισταί τε καὶ ἄγριοι, οὐδὲ δίκαιοι. Heindorf. Plato puts the ἀγαθοὶ and ἡμεροι together, in Repub. 5. 470, E, and makes τὸ ἡμερον a part of the philosophic nature in Repub. 3. 410, E. δν ἡκιστ' ἀν ἐβούλετο, i. e. εἰς ὄν.
- D. What is here said of Cimon and Themistocles is well known. What is said of Miltiades rests on the authority of Plato, and of the Scholiast on Aristides 3. 677, Dindorf, whom Valck. on Herodot. 6. 136 first cited from a MS. The Scholiast says ὅτε ἐκρίνετο ἐπὶ τῷ Πάρφ (i. e.

on account of his fruitless attack upon the island of Paros soon after the battle of Marathon), ηθέλησαν αὐτὸν κατακρημνήσαι, δ δε πρύτανις είσελθων εξητήσατο αυτόν. Plato perhaps exaggerates a little in saying εψηφίσαντο. The Prytanis, being president of the assembly of the people which tried the case, was probably one of those friends of Miltiades of whose advocacy Herodot. speaks, and by his intercessions led the judges to lower the penalty from death to a heavy fine. But for that, death, by being thrown into the pit, would have been his portion. See Grote's Greece, Vol. IV. p. 491. — τον έν Μαραθώνι, him who was at Marathon, the general there. is used because the action was "in Marathonio agro." See Soph. Electr. 1. Thucyd. 2. 85, της εν Στράτω μάχης, at, near Stratus. — το βάραθρον is defined by Timæus (Lex. Platon. s. v.), "a place like a well, where the condemned were thrown," and in Bekker's Anecdot. 1. 219, is said to be "an excavation in Keiriadæ, a demus of the Œneid tribe, where they threw down the capitally condemned, as the Lacedæmonians did into Kæadas." Herodot. 7. 133, says that the heralds of Darius were thrown by the Athenians into this place. Comp. Aristoph. Clouds, 1450, and the Schol. on Aristoph. Plut. 431.

E. εὶ μὴ διά, but for. This not unfrequent formula has always the same sense as if some part of κωλύω were understood. The origin of the phrase is not clear. Ast accounts for it as a confusion or union of two forms of speaking; e. g., in this case, εἰ μὴ ὁ Πρύτανις ἦν, if the Prytanis had not existed, . . . ἐνέπεσεν ἄν, and διὰ τὸν Π. . . . οὐκ ἐνέπεσεν. — οῦκουν οῖ γε ἀγαθοὶ ἡνίοχοι, etc. This sentence is formed like that explained in the note on 512, A. The sense is, It is not true that good drivers are not at first thrown from their chariots, but when they have improved their horses by care, and have become better drivers themselves, that they are then thrown out. Zεῦγος is often used of the vehicle, as well as of the yoke or pair of animals drawing it.

A. τῶν μέντοι ἔμπροσθεν. Here, by a kind of zeugma, ἔλε- 517 yes, readily suggested by wundoyers, is to be supplied, together with rurás, which is contained in oidéra. Comp. Soph. Antig. 29. — où yàp àv èfénerov. The metaphor is borrowed from charioteers, and is the more natural, as persons who lost their rank or authority were said ἐκπεσεῖν. Comp. Soph. Antig. 679. — obre the rodanien. If they had used the true art of rhetoric, that is, had been good politicians, they would have made the people better, and not have had to rue its ingratitude: if the flattering art of rhetoric, they would have escaped from dangers, because that art, according to the Sophists, σώζει έκ τῶν μεγίστων κινδύνων μάλιστα μὲν ἐαυτόν. Aristides triumphs in a supposed inconsistency of Plato, who had before called "the four" κόλακας, and now says that they did not use τη κολακική όητορική. But he does not see into the meaning. The words contain a sneer at the rhetoricians. They were kolakes, inasmuch as they studied to gratify, not to benefit; and carrying such a motive into their public addresses, they imbued all their words with it. But if the false art of rhetoric can rescue from dangers, and makes that its first aim, they fell short of it. In other words, the art cannot gain its own dearest ends. They had the principles of the false rhetoric, but could not gain that for which the art was esteemed.

B. πολλοῦ γε δεῖ . . . μὴ . . . ἐργάσηται. πολλοῦ δεῖ is usually followed by an infinitive, and Stallb. says that he knows of no example like this. The reason for the construction seems to be, that πολλοῦ δεῖ, being in sense a negative, adopts the construction appropriate to οὖ. οὖ μὴ ἐργάσηται would be a familiar formula. — δε βοῦλει, a singular expression for (τις) δυ βοῦλει, to be referred to the rule of attraction of the relative. Comp. Cr. § 526. γ. The verb must be regarded as coalescing with δε to form one notion, like quivis in Latin. — δε γε διακόνους εἶναι πόλεως, as to

their being servants of the state, or considering them merely as (δς γε) being servants of the state. Stallb. thinks that the phrase arises, by a confusio duarum locutionum, out of δς . . . διακόνους, and διακόνους είναι. But the infinitive with δς can be used in this relation to the main verb, as well as in others. — καὶ μὴ ἐπιτρέπειν, and in regard to not letting them have their own way. The infinitives limit διέφερον. — τούτων, politicians of the present day.

- C. οὐδὲν παυόμεθα . . . ἀεί. Comp. 491, A. ἀγνοοῦντες ἀλλήλων ὅ τι λέγομεν. ἀγνοέω takes a genitive, like μανθάνω and other verbs of learning or understanding. Soph. § 182; Cr. § 375. β; K. § 273. 5, f.
- 518 A. διὸ δὴ καὶ ταύτας... εἶναι. The construction changes from ὅτι and a finite verb, εἰδότι ὅτι ἔστι τις, etc., to an infinitive with its subject accus. ταὐτὰ οὖν ταῦτα, etc. Now at one time you seem to be aware that I say that the selfsame thing holds good of the soul also, and you agree to it, as if understanding what I mean. τοτὲ μὲν answers to δλίγον δὲ ὕστερον, and δὲ is, in a sense, out of its place.
 - B. προτεινέσθαι, to hold forward as a sample of what

one has got, hence to take, or select as a specimen. --δμοιοτάτους . . . Δσπερ. See 485, A. — Θεαρίων must have been the fashionable baker at Athens. He is thus spoken of in a fragment of the Gerytades of Aristophanes (Athenæus, 3. 112, E): ήκω Θεαρίωνος άρτοπώλιον | λιπών, ω' έστὶ κριβάνων εδάδια, — which is a parody of the beginning of the Hecuba. And a longer fragment from the Omphale of Antiphanes, preserved in the same place, asks, (by way of parody on Soph. Electr. 257, perhaps,) how a man of noble birth could ever go out of the house where he saw the white loaves of bread . . . obs dynoraes | Ocaplar Ederfer. dοτοκόπος. In a number of MSS., dρτοποιός appears. another word for the same thing is dorononos, which has most commendation from the Atticists, and which has probably been without reason thrust out of its place by the other words in a number of instances. See Lobeck on Phrynichus, 222. - Mibanos, etc. Mithæcus, who wrote the treatise called "La Cuisine Sicilienne." The Sicilians were in the gastronomic art to the Greeks what the French are now to the world, and Mithæcus was a Syracusan cook. Repub. 3. 404, D, Συρακοσίαν τράπεζαν και Σικελικήν ποικιλίαν όψων, ώς ἔοικας, οὐκ αἰνεῖς. Athenæus, 12. 518, C, διαβόητοί είσιν επὶ τρυφή καὶ αὶ Σικελών τράπεζαι. Comp. also Cicero de Fin. 2. 28. According to Maximus Tyrius (23. 1), cited by Routh, Mithæcus went to Sparta, but they, thinking that too many cooks would spoil their broth, drove him away. — To Sarambus (or Sarabus, as Meineke, Com. Greec. frag. 4. 525, would write the name) many later writers allude, but they seem to have derived their knowledge of him from this passage. See the commentators on Suidas, sub voce.

C. παρασκευαστὰς ἀνθρώπους. ἄνθρωπος is often added in contempt, having something of the force of our fellow. ἀνὴρ is used, on the contrary, with an honorable sense. This

is shown at large in Valckenaer's Opusc. 2. 243, ed. Lips.

— οῖ, ἀν οὕτω τύχωσω, etc. Who, it may be, after they have filled and fattened the bodies of the men, and while they are praised by them, will cause the loss (not only of this increase of flesh, but) of their old flesh besides.

- D. ὅταν δὴ αὐτοῖε ῆκη, when now their former repletion shall have brought on disease a good while afterwards. ῆκω φέρων is often nearly the same as φέρω, and can only be figuratively explained here of the repletion acting as a cause bringing in its train disease as the effect.
- E. Nothing is truer than these remarks. The seeds of present national evil are sown in the past, and yet we blame the men of the present for what we suffer, and praise the men of the past, who are the true source of our calamities. It is thus that some, who look with alarm on the turn our affairs are taking, worship Jefferson as a political saint.——

 sai past...airoùs is for sai oùs past, by a change of style from the relative to the demonstrative.
- 519 A. καταβολή. περιοδική λήψιε πυρετοῦ, interprete Timeso Lex. p. 154 ubi v. Ruhnken. Heindorf.—— 'Αλκιβιάδου. As he had some time before left Athens for the last time, Plato is here forgetful of dates. See 481, D, note, and Appendix, No. I.
 - B. ἀγανακτούντων, sc. αὐτῶν, these politicians.
 - C. Comp. 460, C, and Xen. Memorab. 1. 2. 7. ——κωδυνεύει ταὐτὸν είναι, (τούτοις,) δσοι, the same thing seems to hold good of those who, etc. But Mt. § 632, has a different explanation of the form of the sentence.
 - D. καὶ τούτου τοῦ λόγου. For καί, Heindorf, without authority, writes καίτοι. But καί, in the beginning of imperative and interrogative sentences, marks liveliness of transition (Mt. § 620), like our and in animated questions, particularly in those where objections are refuted. —— δημηγορεῖν με ἡνάγκασας. See 482, C, 494, D.

- E. συχνούς τείνω τῶν λόγων. The construction seems to be τινας τῶν λόγων συχνούς τείνω, i. e. ὅστε συχνούς εἶναι, some things which I have to say I dwell largely upon. But if λόγων depends on συχνούς, as it well can (comp. Soph. § 177. 1), the sense must be, I think, a good many of my discourses I extend in length.——πρὸς φιλίου. See 500, B.
- A. δταν τύχωσιν. Comp. 514, E, 518, C. What is 520 said here refers to Protagoras, Prodicus, and other professed teachers of virtue, as Heindorf remarks; and it is amusing to see the contempt felt by the friend of Gorgias, a mere teacher of words (λέγειν οἶεται δεῖν ποιεῖν δεινούς, Meno, 95, C), for the Sophists, whose pretensions were as much higher as wisdom is higher than eloquence.
- B. ἡ . . . ἄμα καὶ ἐαυτῶν κατηγορεῖν. Here supply δεῖν or ἀνάγκην εἶναι, which is readily suggested by ἐγχωρεῖν. Comp. 517, A.
- C. προέσθαι, to bestow freely, and in the first instance, without knowing what return the party receiving the favor will make. Comp. Thucyd. 2. 43, κάλλιστον έρανον αὐτη̂ προϊέμενοι, bestowing upon their country a most precious contribution. So προεμένους εὐερχεσίαν, Xen. Anab. 7. 7. 47, where Schneider adverts to this sense. — ἄνευ μισθοῦ. Protagoras, who first openly called himself a Sophist and took pay (Protag. 349, A), says (328, B) that he had a price, which his student might give if he pleased; but if not, that the student went to a temple, and paid so much as he (the student) pronounced on oath the instructions to be worth. For the opinions of Socrates on this point, see, among the rest, Xen. Memorab. 1. 6. 13. — ἐνεχώρει. The absolute form without as is here used for the hypothetical with it. See Mt. § 508, Obs. 2; K. § 260, R. 3. — την χάριν, the favor due, the compensation, as a mark of a grateful mind. --- καὶ μὴ συνθέμενος . . . τό ἀργύριον, and should not take the money in consequence of a bargain made

with him (i. e. should not take it as the payment which was stipulated) at the very time when he was imparting to him the power of swiftness. δτι μάλιστα is joined with δμα to increase its preciseness.

225

- E. ἐἀν μή τις αὐτῷ διδῷ. αὐτῷ refers to the indefinite subject of φάναι. ἀντ εὐ ποιεῦν. There can be little doubt that Stallb. is right in separating these words, in opposition to Buttmann (2. 361, largest Gr.), who writes in one word ἀντευποιεῦν. εὖ and δυς arè united only to derivative forms, except in the instance of the strange word δυσ-δυήσκων, used by Euripides. εἰ εὖ ποιήσας. Heindorf writes ὁ εὖ ποιήσας, "sed fallitur, loco qui repudiat articulum non recte explicato." Hermann on Eurip. Hecuba, 485, 2d ed. The indefinite subject is understood, and εὖ ποιήσας denotes after conferring a favor.
- 521 A. ως διακονήσοντα καὶ δμιλήσοντα. Instead of writing την τοῦ διακονεῦν καὶ δμιλεῦν, Plato deserts the construction of the nearest words, through the influence of ως λατρόν, and accommodates the participles to παρακαλεῖς. See Mt. § 555, Obs. 2. Just below, Heindorf, Coray, and Stallb. think that ως has fallen out before κολακεύσοντα.
 - B. et σοι Μυσόν γε ήδιον καλείν. The sense of this vexed passage seems to be that which Stallb. and Olympiod., whom he cites from the MSS., give to it, if you like better to call (such a man) a Mysian, call him so; i. e. "You may give the political man the most contemptible name that you can find. Do as you like about that, since if you will not act so as to gratify the Athenians (el μ)... ποιήσεις) you will ——." The apodosis to el... καλείν is omitted, being readily suggested by the sense of the passage. It is οὖτως κάλει, or οὐδὲν κωλύει, or something equivalent. The apodosis which el μ)... ποιήσεις would have had, if Socrates had not cut the sentence short, is to be found in his words. With καλεῦν must be understood τοῦ-

row, this political man, whom in fact, though not in so many words, Socrates calls a κόλακα. But the connection with the foregoing must be owned to be rather loose. The Mysians stood low among the people of Asia Minor. Μυσῶν ἔσχατος (Theætet. 209, B) is a proverb for the vilest of the vile. Cicero, in his Or. pro Flacco, § 27, says: "Quid porro in Græco sermone, tam tritum atque celebratum est, quam, si quis despicatui ducitur, ut Mysorum ultimus esse dicatur?"

- C. οὐχ ἔξει ὅ τι χρήσεται αὐτοῖς. αὐτοῖς is his property, before spoken of in ἐάν τι ἔχω. In 465, C, the indicative, but in many places the subjunctive, follows ἔχω in this formula. The distinction seems to be, that with the future the action is viewed as simply future; with the subjunctive, as connected with and dependent on some contemplated cause and ground of action. Thus, in οὐκ ἔχει ὅ τι χρήσεται, the subject is in doubt about his future action; in οὐκ ἔ. ὅ. χρήσηται, about his rational future action. —— ὡς μοι δοκεῖς. ὡς is exclamatory. —— ὡς οἰκῶν . . . καὶ οὐκ ᾶν εἰσαχθείς, as though you were living aloof, and could not be brought (on trial) into court. For εἰσαχθείς ᾶν comp. 458, A, note.
- D. ὅτι τύχοι. Mireris Heindorfium ὅτι ἄν τύχοι corrigentem. Nam optativus post relativa in obliqua oratione haudquaquam infrequens est. Addito ἄν hoc loco scribendum erat τύχη. Stallb. The dissatisfaction with the political institutions of Athens, which is here clearly implied, was felt by Socrates to some degree, but far more by Plato, whose ideal turn of mind was not fitted to find satisfaction in the present under any system, particularly under one where demagogues reigned, and philosophers had to drink poison. πονηρός. An allusion, no doubt, to the actual accusers. οὐδέν γε ἄτοπον, sc. εἴη.
- E. τὰ κομψὰ ταῦτα. An allusion to what Callicles says, 486, C.—— ὅδε εἴργασται ἀνήρ. ὅδε, like οδτος, 489, B,

used of a person who is present and pointed at, has no article. — καὶ αὐτούς, καὶ τοὺς νεωτάτους. αὐτοὺς is taken with ὑμᾶς εἴργασται, and serves to contrast the whole ὑμᾶς with the part νεωτάτους. καὶ = and especially. — νεωτάτους διαφθείρει. An allusion to the actual charge against Socrates. Comp. 522, B.

- 522 A. For εἰωχεῖν governing two accusatives, see Mt. § 421, Obs. 1; Cr. § 430. This construction (which γείω also sometimes takes) seems to be owing to this; that the verb means substantially to make to eat, and needs an object for each of these notions. —— The sentiment conveyed by this comparison of the cook and the physician is expressed in another way by Crates of Thebes, a Cynic philosopher who flourished at Athens in Alexander's time (Diog. Laert. 6.86): τίθει μαγείρω μνᾶς δέκ', λατρῷ δραχμήν | κόλακι τάλαντα πέντε, συμβούλω καπνόν | πόρνη τάλαντον, φιλοσόφω τριώβολον.
 - Β. οὔτε γὰρ ἡδονάς. Το οὔτε, ἐάν τε answers. An affirmative and a negative proposition are often thus bound together by οὖτε and τε; but οὖτε must come first. αὐτοῖς the judges implied in δικαστήριον. — οὖτε οἶς πορίζεται, i. e. οὖτε τούτους, οἶς ταῦτα (referring to ἡδοναί) πορίζεται. - ἀπορεῖν ποιοῦντα. This was a frequent charge against Socrates. The doubt he threw upon their former opinions, and the unsettled state of mind which he produced, may have been unwelcome to a few, and regarded as dangerous by a few more; but probably nothing made him more unpopular than his provoking way of bringing men who argued with him to a stand, so that they did not know what to say. Meno says (79, D, cited by Heindorf), "O Socrates, I used to hear it said of you, before I became acquainted with you, that you do nothing else except avrós re anoρείς, καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους ποιείς ἀπορείν."
 - C. πράττω τὸ ὑμέτερον δὴ τοῦτο, and herein İ am doing

- just (δή) what is for your interest. Ast takes πάντα ταῦτα with πράττω, as well as with λέγω, and ὑμέτερον . . . τοῦτο as added in apposition. —— οὕτως διακείμενος (not οὕτω). Videtur οὕτως, etiam sequente consona litera, usurpatum esse ubi vi et pondere suo pollet plurimum. Stallb. —— ἐν αὐτῷ ὑπάρχοι. Heindorf wishes to erase ἐν, or write ἔν, with some reason, as ὑπάρχω takes with it a simple dative.
- D. βοήθεια ἐαυτῷ. This noun with the dative denotes help afforded to; with the genitive, against (comp. βοήθεια κακοῦ, 509, C, βαρβάρων, Plat. Epist. 7. 332, E), or to any one. —— There is an allusion here to 486, B.
- E. αὐτὸ τὸ ἀποθτήσκειν. Comp. for the sentiment Plato's Apol. 28, B, et seq. —— φοβεῖται, sc. πᾶς τις, by brachylogy supplied from οὐδείς, just above. See my note on Soph. Antig. 29. —— ὡς τοῦτο οὕτως ἔχει depends on λόγον λέξαι, as if it were λόγφ δεῖξαι ὡς . . . ἔχει.
- A. φασί, i. e. as story-tellers say, when they begin a 523 story. λόγον opposed to μῦθον is a historical narrative, a true story, as opposed to a fictitious narrative. ΤΟμη-ρος λέγει. Iliad. 15. 187. καὶ ἀεὶ καὶ νῦν ἔτι, et semper et nunc etiam. Ast. ἔστιν includes a past tense.
- B. rewori, in modern times, used relatively to the days of Saturn. —— ol iκ . . . · νήσων. The preposition is accommodated to lóντες (see Soph. Electr. 137), because the officers set over the blessed islands came from thence. Comp. Cr. § 659; K. § 300. 4; and 472, B, note.
- · C. ἐκατέρωσε, in each direction, to the blessed islands, and to the prison of punishment.
- D. προκεκαλυμμένοι = ἔχοντες προκεκαλυμμένον. See Esch. Prometh. 362; Soph. Electr. 54. The sense is, having eyes and ears, and the whole body, spread as a veil before the soul. αὐτοῖς . . . ἐπίπροσθεν γίγνεται, are in their way. τοῦτ' αὐτῶν, this property of theirs, this in them.

E. εξαίφνης ἀποθανόντος — εὐθύς ἀποθανόντος, the moment he is dead. Soph. § 222, N. 4. — By a construction ad sensum, ἔρημον . . . καταλιπόντα are in the masculine, because τὴν ψυχὴν ἐκάστου is the same as each person. — δύο ἐκ τῆς ᾿Ασίας. Routh explains this by their being born of Europa, a Phænician, and adds, that Minos was regarded by some as a stranger in Crete. "Sed Cretam insulam Asiæ assignasse videntur veteres sicut Libyam modo Europæ modo Asiæ contribuerunt; ut duas orbis terrarum partes posuerint, Asiam et Europam." Ast. But no proof has been found elsewhere, that Crete was assigned to Asia.

524 A. ἐν τῷ λειμῶνι, etc. Virgil, Æneid, 6. 540:-

"Hic locus est, partes ubi se via findit in ambas: Dextera, quæ Ditis magni sub mænia tendit, Hac iter Elysium nobis: at læva malorum Exercet pænas, et ad impia Tartara mittit."

- B. οὐ πολύ ἡττον . . . ἡνπερ καὶ ὅτε ἔζη. A free and rather irregular construction, instead of οὐ πολύ ἡττον . . . ἡ ὅτε ἔζη. ἡνπερ is brought in through the influence of τὴν ἔξιν τὴν αὐτοῦ, and alters the ensuing words to suit itself.
- D. οἶος εἶναι παρεσκεύαστο, etc., such as he had prepared himself to be while living in regard to his body, i. e. such as were his ways of using his body. Just below, ἔνδηλα ταῦτα refer to the qualities of body implied in οἶος εἶναι παρεσκεύαστο, as if οἶα ἔσχεν ἐν τῷ σώματι had been written.
- E. κατέιδεν ... ψυχῆς. The aorist marks indefinite time (484, A, note): ψυχῆς depends on οὐδέν; nothing belonging to, or in, the soul. Comp. Repub. 376, A, δ καὶ ἄξιον θαύμασαι τοῦ θηρίου.—— ἀλλὰ διαμεμαστιγωμένην, etc. Tacit. Annal. 6. 6, "Neque frustra præstantissimus sapientiæ firmare solitus est, si recludantur tyrannorum mentes, posse adspici laniatus et ictus; quando, ut corpora verberibus, ita sævitia, libidine, malis consultis animus dilaceretur."

- B. of δφελούμετοι . . . και διδόντες, i. e. those who, while 525 they suffer, are made better . . . are such as, etc.
 - C. roîs del. See 464, D; Prometh. 937.
- D. τοὺς πολλοὺς... παραδειγμάτων. By a constructio ad sensum, παραδειγμάτων used of the persons who serve as examples, is joined to πολλούς, as if it were masculine.—— "Ομηρος. Odys. 11. 575, seq.
- E. οὐ γὰρ ἐξῆν αὐτῷ, sc. συνέχεσθαι. —— ἐκ τῶν . . . ἄνθρωποι, the men who prove to be even very wicked are of the
 class of the powerful. Here, 497, A, 526, B, and elsewhere, γίγνεσθαι means to become subjectively, i. e. to become in the view of the mind, to be found out to be.
- C. ἐπισημηνάμενος, ἐάν τε, etc., putting his mark upon 526 him to show whether he thinks him curable or not. Comp. Repub. 10. 614, which I will give in English. therefore, his soul went out of his body, he began a journey, with a number of others, and they came to a wonderful place, where there were two openings in the ground close by one another, and others opposite to them above in the sky. Between these judges sat, who, whenever they finished judging, bade the just take the road on the right and upwards through the sky, having first attached certificates (σημεία) to those who had been judged, in front; and ordered the unjust to take the road to the left and leading downward, with marks behind indicating all their conduct." --- οὐ πολυπραγμονήσαντος, who has not been "a busybody in other men's matters," who has not gone out of his own sphere of duties, to perform a part belonging to another. In Repub. 4. 433, A, it is made a definition of justice, that a man τὰ αύτοῦ πράττει καὶ οὐ πολυπραγμονεί. Here the words especially point at taking an active part in politics, which, for a man who is not yet qualified for political life, is undertaking another's duty to the neglect of his own.


- C, D. inárepos ... vénurouv. This passage is considered to be spurious by Heindorf and Ast, for reasons which, as far as I can see, are altogether insufficient. The passage from Homer is in Odys. 11. 568.
- E. ἀντιπαρακαλῶ. ἀντὶ denotes in my turn, in reply to the exhortations which you gave me to engage in politics. Just below, ἀντὶ . . . ἀγώνων denotes worth all the trials here, i. e. to be set against or equal in importance to all the trials before human tribunals, where rhetoric, as its advocates alleged, would save a man from condemnation. ἐνθάδε, ἐκεῖ, and ἐκεῖσε are often used of this life, and of death or a future state; the context of course suggesting the explanation. Comp. Soph. Antig. 76; Electr. 356; Eurip. Alcest. 363.
- 527 A. τὸν τῆς Alyings viớu. Æacus (son of Jupiter and Ægina), as being the judge for all from Europe. Olympiod. apud Stallb. says, "He adds Ægina because Callicles was from Ægina." But as that Platonic Scholiast can have known nothing about Callicles, I suspect that the last word, Alyings, is an error in transcribing for Εὐρώπης, occasioned by the similar word preceding it. χασμήσει, etc. This noble passage alludes to 486, B. τυπτήσει. The Attic form of the future of τύπτω, according to Thomas Magister and Mæris sub voce. Comp. Aristoph. Clouds, 1379, 1443. The later writers used all the forms from τυπτέω, unless it be the present and imperfect.
 - B. ἐκεῖσε... συμφέρων, advantageous there. See 526, E. The adverb of motion is used, because the journey from this world is thought of. It is the same as when we go thither. Comp. the opposite in Æschin. c. Ctes. § 97, Bekker: "He said that he wished to report to you τὴν ἐκ Πελοποννήσου πρεσβείαν ἢν ἐπρέσβευσε, his embassy into Peloponnesus, strictly the embassy into P. from which he had returned. ἡρεμεῖ, remains quiet, unskaken, i. e. unre-

futed. — οὐ τὸ δοκεῖν εἶναι ἀγαθόν, ἀλλὰ τὸ εἶναι. Comp. the noble words in Repub. 2. 361, Λ, ἐσχάτη ἀδικία δοκεῖν δίκαιον εἶναι, μὴ ὅντα. Æschylus was the source of the expression, Sept. c. Theb. 574, οὐ γὰρ δοκεῖν ἄριστος ἀλλ' εἶναι θέλει, upon which words all the theatre turned and looked at Aristides, according to Plutarch in his life.

- C. οὖτω χρηστέον. οὖτω is immediately explained by ἐπὶ τὸ δίκαιον ἀεί. ἐνταῦθα, to that kind of life. ἐνταῦθα came perhaps to be used with a verb of motion, because with the motion its end, rest in the place, is often thought of. ὡς ὁ λόγος σημαίνει, as the discourse shows. Stallb. gives ὡς ὁ σὸς λόγος, with the best of the MSS., to which Ast very justly objects. The same false reading appears 511, B, 460, C, and Socrates could not call the argument, so far as it proved this point, the argument of Callicles, to whom he here speaks.
- D. maráfas. The interpreters are divided between maτάξαι, with which ξασόν τωα and σε, from σου, just above, are to be supplied, and márafa sine te verberari. Buttmann even denies that the middle can have this sense, and, I incline to think, with reason. It may denote strike yourself, or get yourself struck (i. e. do something which shall cause the action of striking to come back upon yourself), but not allow yourself to be struck, i. e., in this place, "bear such an infliction without thinking it the greatest evil in the world." Stallb., in defence of the middle so used, cites from Aristoph. Clouds, 494, φέρ' ίδω τι δράς, ήν τίς σε τύπτη; where Strepsiades replies, τύπτομα: this word Stallb. takes in the sense of ¿ω εμαυτών τύπτεσθαι. I apprehend that τύπτομαι is in the passive. "What do you do," says Socrates, "if a person beats you?" "I am beaten," is the reply; i.e. I do nothing but suffer, I get beaten. We need not be troubled by σύ γε θαρρών; for σύ is occasionally repeated with ye in the second clause, with a certain increase of

force in the exhortation. Comp. Herodot. 7. 10, "I shall hear of you as being torn to pieces by dogs and birds, η κου ἐν γῆ τῆ ᾿Αθηναίων ἡ σέ γε ἐν τῆ Λακεδαιμονίων;" Soph. Œd. Tyr. 1101, τίς σε... ἔτικτε... Πανὸς... προσπελασθεῖσ, ἡ σέ γε... Λοξίου; The sense is true of ὅ γε, and tu in Latin. Nor do I see how θαρρῶν opposes this construction any more than θαρρούντως would. The sense, then, is, Yes, indeed, and do you calmly let him give you this dishonorable blow.——Stallb., I find, has given up his defence of πάταξαι, imperative middle, in his second edition.

E. οἶs οὐδέποτε ταὐτὰ δοκεῖ. Comp. 491, B. He includes himself in the censure, to give it a milder form.



APPENDIX.

No. L

Where and when does Plato represent this Dialogue to have taken place?

1. Where? In the house of Callicles, say all with whose opinion I am acquainted, except Schleiermacher. cides in favor of some public place, such as the Lyceum, where other conversations of Socrates were held. His reasons, given in a note on his translation of Gorgias (Vol. III. 473, of his Plato), are principally these. 1. Socrates (447, B) seems to be going into the place where Gorgias is. He meets Callicles without, who says, "Whenever you wish to come to my house, Gorgias will exhibit to you, for he lodges with me." (See the note on that place.) The words, whenever you wish to come, must relate to some future time. What does Callicles do, then, if they are at his house, (Schl. leaves to be implied,) but shut the door in his visitors' faces. To tell a stranger just entering your house to call at any time, without asking him in, is to turn him away. 2. Schl. finds it strange, and not consistent with Athenian politeness, that Callicles should have deserted his guests, and be going away from his own house. To these reasons of Schleiermacher's may be added two others. rates and his friend were at the door of Callicles's house to hear Gorgias display his rhetorical powers, and if Chærephon knew Gorgias well, why should they need the information that Gorgias lodged there? 4. Perhaps it may be regarded as a slight argument, that Socrates says (506, A), döper xalpeur kal deloper: to which Gorgias replies, "It does not seem to me that we ought yet delipeur." For delepeur must have the same subject as the preceding deloper. Here, then, Socrates expects that the other parties to the conversation will go away from the place when the discourse is broken off; and Gorgias repeats what Socrates had said, including himself among those who would leave the place. But this could not be, if they were where Gorgias was staying.

No one within my knowledge has examined what Schleiermacher says on this point, or given reasons for choosing the house of Callicles as the scene of the Dialogue, except Cousin. His reasons, I must think, have little weight. 1. There would have been some allusion, direct or indirect, to the place, if a public one. The same might be said, with equal reason, I think, on the other side. 2. It was mainly in private houses, as Plato affirms in Hippias Major, that Gorgias spoke. Plato's expression is ίδία ἐπιδείξεις ποιούμενος, and ίδία, contrasted with έν τῷ δήμφ, just preceding, means nothing more than in other places besides the assembly. 3. Of Callicles leaving his guests, and going out to talk with Socrates, he says, "Nothing is more natural than to go to meet persons who are visiting you, and whom you are to receive, at the entrance of your house." If I mistake not, the porter would have admitted the strangers, and the master of the house have been in a distant part of the building. 4. To Schleiermacher's main remark, he replies, that, as Gorgias was fatigued, Callicles could not ask him to repeat his exhibition, and therefore begged the visitors to call at another time. But need they be turned away? Might they not be invited in, without the necessity of a new exhibition on the part of Gorgias?

2. When? The passage 473, E, which is treated of at

large in the note, has been usually supposed to determine the time. But several scholars, as Boeckh (which I learn from C. F. Hermann's work, I. 634) and Foss, have ascribed an earlier date to the Dialogue, and one so early even as the first visit of Gorgias to Athens. The arguments, so far as I know them, with a single exception, are of little importance. They are, - 1. Pericles is spoken of as vewort reτελευτηκώς, 503, C. But νεωστί may be widely used. Comp. 523, B. "Nuper, id est paucis ante sæculis." Cic. de Nat. Deor. 2. 50. It was twenty-four years before 405 And Pericles in this passage is contrasted tacitly with Themistocles, Cimon, and Miltiades, whose deaths were considerably earlier. 2. Archelaus is said to have committed the crimes by which he gained the throne "yes-. terday and the day before." But this is very plainly a rhetorical contrast with the παλαιοῖς πράγμασιν, just before spoken of. See 470, D. 3. Demus, son of Pyrilampes, was a youth when the Wasps was written, seventeen years before 405 B. C. See 481, D. Suppose him thirty-two in 405, Plato, I imagine, if he had been aware, at the time of writing, of his exact age, would not have scrupled to say what he does. 4. The passage, 473, E, where Socrates speaks of his ignorance of the way to put the question when he was a presiding officer, is inconsistent with Apology 32, B, which refers to the famous occasion in 406 B. C. Socrates, therefore, must allude to something else. I can scarcely conceive how any one, used to the style of the Platonic Socrates, can take what he says 473, E, as sober earnest. 5. In 481, D, and 519, A, Alcibiades is spoken of as beloved by Socrates after their intimacy must long have ceased, and as likely to be punished by the Athenians, after his last departure from Athens, and a little before his death. This appears to me the most serious objection to the year 405. But I apprehend that this is by

no means the only instance in which Plato assigns the relations of one time to another, changing the more immaterial circumstances, as the tragic poets did those of the fables, to suit his design.

No. II.

On what is said of Pericles, 516, A, and on the Character given to him in this Dialogue.

An eminent historian (Thirlwall, Hist. of Greece, Vol. III., chap. 18, and Appendix, 2) has examined the passage above quoted, and thinks that Plato's charge of peculation at this time arose out of a confusion of dates and circumstances. This may be so, but there are two things which ought to be said on behalf of Plato, before we fully condemn him for injustice towards his great countryman. The first is, that he expresses no opinion as to the justice of the charge. If it is admitted to be unjust, his argument is so much the stronger, for it turns upon the ingratitude of a people towards its public servants. Indeed, taken in connection with the charges against Themistocles, Cimon, and Miltiades, it wears the appearance of an unfounded accusation. The other is, that the fact may have been as Plato represents it: the people, in a sudden outburst of displeasure, may have at this time fined him, upon a charge of peculation so frivolous, that Thucydides does not think it worthy of mention. The circumstances were these. cles was deposed from his office of general (Plut. Pericl. § 35, Diodor. 12. 45), — it may be at one of the epicheirotonia, or in consequence of a special process, an eisangelia. A suit was brought against him, — probably a γραφή, though Plutarch calls it a dien. Cleon, Simmias, or Lacratidas

was his accuser, and he was fined in a sum variously estimated at fifteen, fifty (Plut.), and eighty (Diodor.) talents. The nature of the suit is not stated, but it certainly may have been κλοπή δημοσίων πραγμάτων, based upon some trifling circumstance, occurring at a time when moneys would be under his control, as commander of the forces. The general Timotheus, with no more reason, perhaps, was accused of treason, and then, at the rendering of his accounts (euthynæ), charged with bribery, and fined one hundred talents. To this it may be added, that Aristides, in his vindication of Pericles, nowhere, so far as I have observed, taxes Plato with inaccuracy, but follows his statement, as if he thought it true. And this he does in a work where he accuses Plato of anachronisms and misquotations. (Aristid. 2. 319, 327, de Quatuorv. ed. Din-In the latter place the Sophist says: - " If one should ask Plato whether, supposing he had been one of Pericles's judges when he was tried for peculation, he would have been one to condemn him, and would have given more weight to the words of Cleon than to those of Pericles, or," etc.)

Plato, then, in this very serious and not at all ironical passage (see Thirlwall, III. 91), may have given no credit to the charge against Pericles, and, notwithstanding the silence of historians as to the nature of the suit, may be right in calling it one for peculation.

Upon another point, — Plato's consistency in the character which he gives to Pericles, — I will say but a word. There are three passages which concern us here; Gorg. 515, C-517; Meno, 99, B-100, B, compared with 94, B; and Phædrus, 269, A-270, B. In Meno, & Oofia, or correct opinion, is ascribed to Pericles, without wisdom, and in Phædrus he has the credit of possessing consummate eloquence, derived from the discipline of Anaxagoras. In

Gorgias, he is denied to be a true orator, but in Phædrus is declared to be πάντων τελεώτατος εἰς τὴν ἡητορικήν. The seeming inconsistency can be explained by taking into consideration, that Plato judges of the orator in Gorgias by a moral standard, and in Phædrus looks at him as capable of producing a work of art; and perhaps by this consideration also, that while he would grant to Pericles all that knowledge of the mind which the physical instructions of Anaxagoras could furnish, he might still refuse to him the attributes of a truly philosophical artist.

No. III.

See 486, A, note.

THE verses yet extant of this dialogue between the brothers have been collected and emended by Valckenaer, Matthiæ, and others. We here add the passage adjacent to the lines cited or alluded to by Plato, according to Hartung's arrangement in his Euripides Restitutus (Hamburg, 1843). Zethus invites his brother Amphion to go a hunting, and, on his refusal, reproaches him with effeminacy of mind, as giving himself up to the musical art and to indolence, while he neglects useful pursuits. To this scene Horace alludes (Epist. 1. 18. 39):—

"Nec tua laudabis studia, aut aliena reprêndes, Nec, cum venari volet ille, poemata panges. Gratia sic fratrum geminorum, Amphionis atque Zethi, dissiluit, donec suspecta severo Conticuit lyra; fraternis cessisse putatur Moribus Amphion."

Zethus first speaks:—

"Αμφιον, άμελείς ων επιμελείσθαι σε δεί · ψυχής δε . . . ωδε γενναίαν φύσιν γυναικομίμφ διαπρέπεις μορφώματι ·
οὔτ' ἐν δίκης βουλαίσιν ὀρθῶς ἃν λόγον
προθεῖο πιθανόν, οὔτ' ἃν ἀσπίδος κύτει
. . . . ὁμιλήσειας, οὔτ' ἄλλων ὕπερ
νεανικὸν βούλευμα βουλεύσαιο. . . .
κακῶν κατάρχεις τῆνδε Μοῦσαν εἰσάγων
ἀσύμφοοόν τιν' ἄτοπον
ἀργὸν φίλοινον χρημάτων ἀτημελῆ.

πολλοί δε θνητών τούτο πάσχουσιν κακόν.
γνώμη φρονούντες οὐ θέλουσ' ὑπηρετείν
ψυχή, τὰ πολλὰ πρὸς φίλων νικώμενοι.

ἀνὴρ γὰρ ὅστις εὖ βίον κεκτημένος
τὰ μὲν κατ' οἴκους ἀμελία παρεὶς έᾳ,
μολπαῖσι δ' ἡσθεὶς τοῦτ' ἀεὶ θηρεύεται,
ἀργὸς μὲν οἴκοις καὶ πόλει γενήσεται,
φίλοισι δ' οὐδείς · ἡ φύσις γὰρ οἴχεται
ὅταν γλυκείας ἡδονῆς ἦσσων τις ἢ ·
καὶ πῶς σοφὸν τοῦτ' ἐστίν, εἴ τις εὐφυῆ
λαβοῦσα τέχνη φῶτ' ἔθηκε χείρονα
μήτ' αὐτὸν αὐτῷ δυνάμενον προσάρκεσαι;


ΑΜΦΙΩΝ.


έκ παυτός αν τις πράγματος δισσών λόγων 21 άγθοα θεῖτ' ἄν, εὶ λέγειν εἶη σοφός

λαμπρός δ' έκαστος κάπει τοῦτ' ἐπείγετας, νέμων τὸ πλείστον ἡμέρας τούτφ μέρος ω' αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ τυγχάνη κράτιστος ὧν.

α. τ. λ.

THE END.





CATALOGUE

OF

Books for Schools and Colleges,

PUBLISHED BY

JAMES MUNROE & CO.,

No. 134 Washington Street, Boston,

AND

Lyceum Building, Harvard Square, Cambridge.

WHATELY'S ELEMENTS OF LOGIC.

ELEMENTS OF LOGIC, comprising the Substance of the Article in the Encyclopædia Metropolitana, with Additions, &c. By Richard Whately, D.D., Archbishop of Dublin. New revised Edition, with the Author's last Additions. Large 12mo. pp. 484. Cloth stamped. \$1.00.

"This work (Elements of Logic) has long been our text-book here. The style in which you have published this new edition of so valuable a work leaves nothing to be desired in regard of elegance and convenience." — PROFESSOR DUNN, Brown University.

"Its merits are now too widely known to require an enumeration of them. The present American edition of it is conformed to the ninth English edition, which was revised by the author, and which contains several improvements on the former issues." — North American Review.

"This elementary treatise holds a very high rank among the educational works of the day, having been introduced into most of the best managed and popular seminaries of learning, both in England and the United States. It is got up in correct and beautiful style." — Merchants' Magazine.

"From stereotype plates, and the new ninth edition revised by its author, have just been published, in a fairer and handsomer style than the English copy, Archbishop Whately's Elements of Logic, which, like the 'Rhetoric' by the same prelate, has taken its place as a standard work, and is too generally known and used to need special notice." — Christian Inquirer.

WHATELY'S ELEMENTS OF RHETORIC.

ELEMENTS OF RHETORIC: comprising an Analysis of the Laws of Moral Evidence and of Persuasion, with Rules for Argumentative Composition and Elocution. By Richard Whately, D.D. New Edition, revised by the Author. Large 12mo. pp. 546. \$1.00.

"The Elements of Rhetoric has become so much a standard work, that it might seem superfluous to speak of it. In short, we should not dream of teaching a college class from any other book on Rhetoric. Communion with Whately's mind would improve any mind on earth."—Presbyterian Quarterly Review.

WHATELY'S ENGLISH SYNONYMS.

A SELECTION OF ENGLISH SYNONYMS. First American, from the Second London Edition. Revised and enlarged. 12mo. pp. 180. Price, 63 cents.

- "For a clear and full understanding of the force and meaning of these, the reader will find here great assistance." Merchants' Magazine.
- "It will be welcome to the lovers of nice philological distinctions. As a whole, they are marked by good sense, as well as by critical acumen; and rich as they are in suggestions, even to the most accomplished word-fancier, they cannot be studied without advantage." Harper's Magazine.
- "Nothing, certainly, can be more important than the cultivation of correctness and precision in our expressions. To attain this end, the present work will be found a safe guide, furnishing, as it does, abundant useful hints and suggestions, in good taste and of excellent character. We deem this work to be very much the best that has ever appeared on the subject."—The American Union.
- "As a guide to those who may be desirous of attaining correctness of style and language, the most important synonyms have been selected, and their difference of signification is pointed out with all the critical acumen which the author has displayed to such advantage in his Rhetoric and Logic." Illustrated Family Friend.
- "It is marked by that strong common-sense and accurate learning which have rendered the author's educational treatises so indispensable to all professional teachers. We know of ne work on synonyms that is equal in value to this."—New York Recorder.

WHATELY'S LESSONS ON REASONING.

EASY LESSONS ON REASONING. By Richard Whately, D.D. Fourth Edition, from the Fifth London Edition. 12mo. pp. 180. 63 cents.

"It is an admirably clear and simple introduction to Dr. Whately's 'Elements of Logic,' being designed, apparently, to facilitate the use of that work in academies and high schools."—North American Review.

"It is marked on every page by that same strong good-sense and solid learning, which have rendered his works on Logic and Rhetoric so universally valuable as text-books for students." — Bosten Daily Advertiser.

"The work before us is an attempt to simplify the study of logic, and to set young persons at the good task of thinking, — thinking correctly, and speaking correctly. The attempt is admirable, and the volume deserves general patronage." — United States Gazette.

QUESTIONS TO WHATELY'S LOGIC. 1

QUESTIONS ADAPTED TO WHATELY'S ELE-MENTS OF LOGIC, for the Use of Schools and Colleges; prepared by a Teacher. 12mo. 15 cents.

QUESTIONS TO WHATELY'S RHETORIC.

QUESTIONS ADAPTED TO WHATELY'S ELE-MENTS OF RHETORIC, for the Use of Schools and Colleges; prepared by a Teacher. 12mo. 15 cents.

PROFESSOR GRAY'S BOTANY.

A MANUAL of the Botany of the Northern United States, from New England to Wisconsin and South to Ohio and Pennsylvania inclusive, arranged according to the Natural System; with an Introduction, containing a Reduction of the Genera to the Linnæan Artificial Classes and Orders, Outlines of the Elements of Botany, a Glossary, &c. By Asa Gray, M.D., Professor in Harvard University. 12mo. pp. 784.

JOURPROY'S INTRODUCTION TO ETHICS.

INTRODUCTION TO ETHICS, including a Critical Survey of Moral Systems. Translated from the French of Jouffroy. By William H. Channing. Two volumes. 12mo. pp. 362 each. Fifth Edition. \$2.00.

STEWART'S PHILOSOPHY.

ELEMENTS OF THE PHILOSOPHY OF THE HUMAN MIND. In two Parts. Revised Edition, with Questions, for the Use of Colleges and High Schools, by a Teacher. Large 12mo. (In Press.)

GEOMETRY AND SCIENCE OF FORM.

AN INTRODUCTION TO GEOMETRY AND THE SCIENCE OF FORM. Prepared from the most approved Prussian Text-Books. 12mo. pp. 180. 160 Figures. 83 cts.

"I have carefully examined the manuscript of 'An Introduction to Geometry,' and think it admirably adapted to supply an important want in education. It is not a mere geometrical logic, but a natural and simple introduction to the Science of Form."

BENJAMIN PEIRCE,
Perkins Professor of Astronomy and Mathematics
in Harvard University.

PEIRCE'S GEOMETRY.

AN ELEMENTARY TREATISE ON PLANE AND SOLID GEOMETRY. By Benjamin Peirce, Professor of Astronomy and Mathematics in Harvard University. New Edition. 12mo. Plates. 83 cents.

PEIRCE'S ALGEBRA.

AN ELEMENTARY TREATISE ON ALGEBRA. To which are added Exponential Equations and Logarithms. By Benjamin Peirce, A.M., Perkins Professor of Astronomy and Mathematics in Harvard University. 12mo. Sixth Edition. 83 cents.

PEIRCE'S TRIGONOMETRY.

AN ELEMENTARY TREATISE ON PLANE AND SPHERICAL TRIGONOMETRY, with their Applications to Navigation, Surveying, Heights, and Distances, and Spherical Astronomy, and particularly adapted to explaining the Construction of Bowditch's Navigator, and the Nautical Almanac. By Benjamin Peirce, A.M. New Edition, revised, with Additions. 8vo. Plates. \$1.75.

PEIRCE'S CURVES AND FUNCTIONS.

AN ELEMENTARY TREATISE ON CURVES, FUNCTIONS, AND FORCES. Volume First, containing Analytic Geometry and the Differential Calculus. Volume Second, containing Calculus of Imaginary Quantities, Residual Calculus, and Integral Calculus. By Benjamin Peirce, A.M., Perkins Professor of Astronomy and Mathematics in Harvard University. Second Edition. 2 vols. 12mo. Plates. \$2.50.

RUSSELL'S UNIVERSITY SPEAKER.

THE UNIVERSITY SPEAKER: a Collection of Pieces designed for College Exercises in Declamation and Recitation, with Suggestions on the Appropriate Elecution of Particular Passages. By William Russell, Author of the Elecutionary Reader, &c. 12mo. New Edition. pp. 528. \$ 1.25.

RUSSELL'S ELOCUTIONARY READER.

THE ELOCUTIONARY READER; containing a Selection of Reading Lessons, by Anna U. Russell. With Introductory Rules and Exercises in Elocution, by W. Russell, Author of the University Speaker. 12mo. pp. 480. 83 cts.

RUSSELL'S INTRODUCTION TO ELOCUTIONARY READER.

INTRODUCTION TO THE ELOCUTIONARY READER: containing a Selection of Reading Lessons; together with the Rudiments of Elocution. By William and Anna U. Russell. New Edition. 12mo. pp. 252. 63 cents.

PICTORIAL NATURAL HISTORY.

A PICTORIAL HISTORY: embracing a View of the Mineral, Vegetable, and Animal Kingdoms. For the Use of Schools. By S. G. Goodrich, Author of Peter Parley's Tales. New Edition. 12mo. Four Hundred Cuts. \$1.00.

BROOKS'S ELEMENTS OF ORNITHOLOGY.

AN ELEMENTARY COURSE OF NATURAL HISTORY, being an Introduction to Zoölogy. Intended for the College and the Parlor. With Elements of Ornithology. By Rev. Charles Brooks. 12mo. pp. 374. Four Hundred Cuts. \$ 1.00.

GREEK CLASSIC POETS.

INTRODUCTIONS TO THE STUDY OF THE GREEK CLASSIC POETS. Designed principally for the Use of Young Persons at School and College. By Henry Nelson Coleridge, Esq., M.A., late Fellow of King's College, Cambridge. Part I. Containing, — I. General Introduction. II. Homer. 12mo. pp. 242. 75 cents.

GREEK READER AND LEXICON.

THE GREEK READER by Frederic Jacobs. From the Twelfth German Edition. With an enlarged and complete Lexicon. Edited by Francis Gardiner, Teacher of the Boston Latin School. 12mo. pp. 526. \$1.00.

ARNOLD'S GREEK PROSE COMPOSITION.

A PRACTICAL INTRODUCTION TO GREEK PROSE COMPOSITION. By Thomas Kerchever Arnold, A.M. New revised Edition. 12mo,

DEMOSTHENES'S SELECT POPULAR ORATIONS.

SELECT POPULAR ORATIONS OF DEMOSTHENES, with Notes and a Chronological Table. By J. T. Champlin, Professor of Greek and Latin in Waterville College. 12mo. pp. 228. \$1.00.

DEMOSTMENES ON THE CROWN.

THE ORATION OF DEMOSTHENES ON THE CROWN, with Notes. By J. T. Champlin, Professor of Greek and Latin in Waterville College. New edition, revised. 12mo. pp. 270. \$1.00.

DEMOSTHENES'S PHILIPPICS.

THE I. II. III. PHILIPPICS OF DEMOSTHENES, with Historical Introductions, and Critical and Explanatory Notes. By M. J. Smead, Ph. D., Professor in William and Mary College, Virginia. 12mo. pp. 256. \$ 1.00.

SEPTEM CONTRA THEBAS OF ÆSCHYLUS.

SEPTEM CONTRA THEBAS, a Tragedy of Æschylus. Edited, with English Notes, for the Use of Colleges and Schools, by Augustus Sachtleben, Principal of a Classical School in Charleston, S. C. 12mo. pp. 166. 67 cts.

HOMER'S ILIAD.

THE ILIAD OF HOMER, from the Text of Wolf. With English Notes, by C. C. Felton, Eliot Professor of Greek in Harvard University. New and revised Edition. Large 12mo. pp. 598. \$1.50.

The same, octavo size, with Flaxman's Illustrations. pp. 678. \$3.00.

FELTON'S AGAMEMNON.

THE AGAMEMNON OF ÆSCHYLUS, with Notes. By C. C. Felton, A.M., Eliot Professor of Greek Literature in the University at Cambridge. 12mo. pp. 216. \$1.00.

WHEELER'S HERODOTUS.

HERODOTUS, from the Text of Schweighæuser. Edited by Charles S. Wheeler, A.M., late Tutor in Greek in Harvard University. Stereotype Edition. 2 vols. 12mo. With Map. \$2.00.

THE ALCESTIS OF EURIPIDES.

THE ALCESTIS OF EURIPIDES, with Notes, for the Use of Colleges in the United States. By Theodore D. Woolsey, President of Yale College. New Edition, revised. 12mo. pp. 142. 56 cents.

THE ANTIGONE OF SOPHOCLES.

THE ANTIGONE OF SOPHOCLES, with Notes, for the Use of Colleges in the United States. By Theodore D. Woolsey, President of Yale College. Revised Edition, with new Notes. 12mo. pp. 144. 56 cents.

THE PROMETHEUS OF ÆSCHYLUS.

THE PROMETHEUS OF ÆSCHYLUS, with Notes, for the Use of Colleges in the United States. By Theodore D. Woolsey, President of Yale College. New Edition, revised. 12mo. pp. 118. 56 cents.

THE ELECTRA OF SOPHOCLES.

THE ELECTRA OF SOPHOCLES, with Notes, for the Use of Colleges in the United States. By Theodore D. Woolsey, President of Yale College. New Edition, revised. 12mo. pp. 160. 56 cents.

THE -GORGIAS OF PLATO.

THE GORGIAS OF PLATO, chiefly according to Stallbaum's Text, with Notes. By Theodore D. Woolsey, President of Yale College. New Edition, with Additions. 12mo. pp. 298. \$ 1.00.

** "The beauty of the type and the thorough work of the editor, leave nothing to desire. The body of notes at the end are remarkable for a union of deep learning, acute judgment, and fine taste." — London Examiner.


BOWEN'S VIRGIL.

P. VIRGILII MARONIS BUCOLICA, GEORGICA, ET ÆNEIS. Virgil; with English Notes, prepared for the Use of Classical Schools and Colleges. By Francis Bowen, Professor in Harvard University. Stereotype Edition. 8vo. pp. 600. \$2.25.









Sh was Jai (‹ 12711 Gr/. Herry.

